

THE AGES DIGITAL LIBRARY  
**REFERENCE**

Word Pictures in the  
New Testament  
**Volume 2 -**  
**Luke**

*By A.T. Robertson*

*To the Students of the Words, Works and Ways of God:*

Welcome to the AGES Digital Library. We trust your experience with this and other volumes in the Library fulfills our motto and vision which is our commitment to you:

MAKING THE WORDS OF THE WISE  
AVAILABLE TO ALL — INEXPENSIVELY.

**AGES Software Rio, WI USA**  
**Version 1.0 © 2000**

# THE GOSPEL OF LUKE

## BY WAY OF INTRODUCTION

There is not room here for a full discussion of all the interesting problems raised by Luke as the author of the Gospel and Acts. One can find them ably handled in the Introduction to Plummer's volume on Luke's Gospel in the "International and Critical Commentary", in the Introduction to Ragg's volume on Luke's Gospel in the "Westminster Commentaries", in the Introduction to Easton's "Gospel According to St. Luke", Hayes' "Synoptic Gospels and the Book of Acts", Ramsay's "Luke the Physician", Harnack's "Date of the Acts and the Synoptic Gospels", Foakes-Jackson and Kirsopp Lake's "Beginnings of Christianity", Carpenter's "Christianity According to St. Luke", Cadbury's "The Making of Luke-Acts", McLachlan's "St. Luke: The Man and His Work", Robertson's "Luke the Historian in the Light of Research", to go no further. It is a fascinating subject that appeals to scholars of all shades of opinion.

### THE SAME AUTHOR FOR GOSPEL AND ACTS

The author of Acts refers to the Gospel specifically as "the first treatise," *ton prooton logon*, (Acts 1:1) and both are addressed to Theophilus (Luke 1:3; Acts 1:1). He speaks of himself in both books as "me" (*kamoi*, Luke 1:3) and *I made* (*epoieesameen*, Acts 1:1). He refers to himself with others as "we" and "us" as in Acts 16:10, the "we" sections of Acts. The unity of Acts is here assumed until the authorship of Acts is discussed in Volume III. The same style appears in Gospel and Acts, so that the presumption is strongly in support of the author's statement. It is quite possible that the formal Introduction to the Gospel (Luke 1:1-4) was intended to apply to the Acts also which has only an introductory clause. Plummer argues that to suppose that the author of Acts imitated the Gospel purposely is to suppose a literary miracle. Even Cadbury, who is not convinced of the Lucan authorship, says: "In my study of Luke and Acts, their unity is a fundamental and illuminating axiom." He adds: "They are not merely two independent writings from the same pen; they are a single continuous

work. Acts is neither an appendix nor an afterthought. It is probably an integral part of the author's original plan and purpose."

### THE AUTHOR OF ACTS A COMPANION OF PAUL

The proof of this position belongs to the treatment of Acts, but a word is needed here. The use of "we" and "us" in Acts 16:10 and from Acts 20:6 to the end of chapter Acts 28 shows it beyond controversy if the same man wrote the "we" sections and the rest of the Acts. This proof Harnack has produced with painstaking detail in his "Date of the Acts and the Synoptic Gospels" and in his volume "The Acts of the Apostles" and in his "Luke the Physician".

### THIS COMPANION OF PAUL A PHYSICIAN

The argument for this position lies in the use of medical terms throughout the Gospel and the Acts. Hobart in his "Medical Language of St. Luke" proves that the author of both Gospel and Acts shows a fondness for medical terms best explained by the fact that he was a physician. Like most enthusiasts he overdid it and some of his proof does not stand the actual test of sifting. Harnack and Hawkins in his "Horae Synopticae" have picked out the most pertinent items which will stand. Cadbury in his "Style and Literary Method of Luke" denies that Luke uses Greek medical words more frequently in proportion than Josephus, Philo, Plutarch, or Lucian. It is to miss the point about Luke merely to count words. It is mainly the interest in medical things shown in Luke and Acts. The proof that Luke is the author of the books does not turn on this fact. It is merely confirmatory. Paul calls Luke "the beloved physician" (*ho iatros ho agapeetos*, Colossians 4:14), "my beloved physician." Together they worked in the Island of Malta (Acts 28:8-10) where many were healed and Luke shared with Paul in the appreciation of the natives who "came and were healed (*etherapeuonto*) who also honoured us with many honours." The implication there is that Paul wrought miracles of healing (*iasato*), while Luke practised his medical art also. Other notes of the physician's interest will be indicated in the discussion of details like his omitting Mark's apparent discredit of physicians (Mark 5:26) by a milder and more general statement of a chronic case (Luke 8:43).

## THIS COMPANION AND AUTHOR LUKE

All the Greek manuscripts credit the Gospel to Luke in the title. We should know that Luke wrote these two books if there was no evidence from early writers. Irenaeus definitely ascribes the Gospel to Luke as does Clement of Alexandria, Tertullian, the Muratorian Fragment. Plummer holds that the authorship of the four great Epistles of Paul (I and II Corinthians, Galatians, Romans) which even Baur accepted, is scarcely more certain than the Lukan authorship of the Gospel. Even Renan says: "There is no very strong reason for supposing that Luke was not the author of the Gospel which bears his name."

### A SKETCH OF LUKE

His name is not a common one, and is probably a shortened form of *Lukios* and *Lukanos*. Some of the manuscripts of the Gospel actually have as the title *Kata Lukanon*. Dean Plumptre suggests that the Latin poet Lucanus was named after Luke who probably was the family physician when he was born. That is conjecture as well as the notion of Hayes that, since the brothers Gallio and Seneca were uncles of Lucanus they were influenced by Luke to be friendly toward Paul both in Corinth and in Rome. It is probable that Luke was a Greek, certainly a Gentile, possibly a freedman. So this man who wrote more than one-fourth of the New Testament was not a Jew. It is not certain whether his home was in Antioch or in Philippi. It is also uncertain whether he was already converted when Paul met him at Troas. The Codex Bezae has a "we" passage after Acts 11:27| which, if genuine, would bring Luke in contact with Paul before Troas. Hayes thinks that he was a slave boy in the family of Theophilus at Antioch, several conjectures in one. We do not know that Theophilus lived at Antioch. It may have been Rome. But, whether one of Paul's converts or not, he was a loyal friend to Paul. If he lived at Antioch, he could have studied medicine there and the great medical temple of Aesculapius was at Aegae, not far away. As a Greek physician, Luke was a university man and in touch with the science of his day. Greek medicine is the beginning of the science of medicine as it is known today. Tradition calls him a painter, but of that we know nothing. Certainly he was a humanist and a man of culture and broad sympathies and personal charm. He was the first genuine scientist who faced the problem of Christ and of Christianity. It must be said of him that he wrote his books with open mind and not as a credulous enthusiast.

## THE DATE OF THE GOSPEL

There are two outstanding facts to mark off the date of this Gospel by Luke. It was later than the Gospel of Mark since Luke makes abundant use of it. It was before the Acts of the Apostles since he definitely refers to it in Acts 1:1. Unfortunately the precise date of both "termini" is uncertain. There are still some scholars who hold that the author of the Acts shows knowledge of the "Antiquities" of Josephus and so is after A.D. 85, a mistaken position, in my opinion, but a point to be discussed when Acts is reached. Still others more plausibly hold that the Acts was written after the destruction of Jerusalem and that the Gospel of Luke has a definite allusion to that event (Luke 21:20f.), which is interpreted as a prophecy "post eventum" instead of a prediction by Christ a generation beforehand. Many who accept this view hold to authorship of both Acts and Gospel by Luke. I have long held the view, now so ably defended by Harnack, that the Acts of the Apostles closes as it does for the simple and obvious reason that Paul was still a prisoner in Rome. Whether Luke meant the Acts to be used in the trial in Rome, which may or may not have come to pass, is not the point. Some argue that Luke contemplated a third book which would cover the events of the trial and Paul's later career. There is no proof of that view. The outstanding fact is that the book closes with Paul already a prisoner for two years in Rome. If the Acts was written about A.D. 63, as I believe to be the case, then obviously the Gospel comes earlier. How much before we do not know. It so happens that Paul was a prisoner a little over two years in Caesarea. That period gave Luke abundant opportunity for the kind of research of which he speaks in Luke 1:1-4. In Palestine he could have access to persons familiar with the earthly life and teachings of Jesus and to whatever documents were already produced concerning such matters. Luke may have produced the Gospel towards the close of the stay of Paul in Caesarea or during the early part of the first Roman imprisonment, somewhere between A.D. 59 and 62. The other testimony concerns the date of Mark's Gospel which has already been discussed in volume I. There is no real difficulty in the way of the early date of Mark's Gospel. All the facts that are known admit, even argue for a date by A.D. 60. If Mark wrote his Gospel in Rome, as is possible, it would certainly be before A.D. 64, the date of the burning of Rome by Nero. There are scholars, however, who argue for a much earlier date for his gospel, even as early as A.D. 50. The various aspects of the Synoptic problem are ably discussed by Hawkins in his "Horae Synopticae", by Sanday and others in

“Oxford Studies in the Synoptic Problem”, by Streeter in his “The Four Gospels”, by Hayes in his “The Synoptic Gospels and the Book of Acts”, by Harnack in his “Date of the Acts and the Synoptic Gospels”, by Stanton in his “The Gospels as Historical Documents”, and by many others. My own views are given at length in my “Studies in Mark’s Gospel” and in “Luke the Historian in the Light of Research”.

## **THE SOURCES OF THE GOSPEL**

In his Preface or Prologue (Luke 1:1-4) the author tells us that he had two kinds of sources, oral and written, and that they were many, how many we have no way of telling. It is now generally accepted that we know two of his written sources, Mark’s Gospel and Q or the Logia of Jesus (written by Matthew, Papias says). Mark is still preserved and it is not difficult for any one by the use of a harmony of the Gospels to note how Luke made use of Mark, incorporating what he chose, adapting it in various ways, not using what did not suit his purposes. The other source we only know in the non-Markan portions of Matthew and Luke, that is the material common to both, but not in Mark. This also can be noted by any one in a harmony. Only it is probable that this source was more extensive than just the portions used by both Matthew and Luke. It is probable that both Matthew and Luke each used portions of the Logia not used by the other. But there is a large portion of Luke’s Gospel which is different from Mark and Matthew. Some scholars call this source L. There is little doubt that Luke had another document for the material peculiar to him, but it is also probable that he had several others. He spoke of “many.” This applies especially to chapters 9 to 21. But Luke expressly says that he had received help from “eye-witnesses and ministers of the word,” in oral form this means. It is, then, probable that Luke made numerous notes of such data and used them along with the written sources at his command. This remark applies particularly to chapters 1 and 2 which have a very distinct Semitic (Aramaic) colouring due to the sources used. It is possible, of course, that Mary the mother of Jesus may have written a statement concerning these important matters or that Luke may have had converse with her or with one of her circle. Ramsay, in his volume, “Was Christ Born at Bethlehem?” shows the likelihood of Luke’s contact with Mary or her circle during these two years at Caesarea. Luke handles the data acquired with care and skill as he claims in his Prologue and as the result shows. The outcome is what Renan called the most beautiful book in the world.

## THE CHARACTER OF THE BOOK

Literary charm is here beyond dispute. It is a book that only a man with genuine culture and literary genius could write. It has all the simple grace of Mark and Matthew plus an indefinable quality not in these wonderful books. There is a delicate finish of detail and proportion of parts that give the balance and poise that come only from full knowledge of the subject, the chief element in a good style according to Dr. James Stalker. This scientific physician, this man of the schools, this converted Gentile, this devoted friend of Paul, comes to the study of the life of Christ with a trained intellect, with an historian's method of research, with a physician's care in diagnosis and discrimination, with a charm of style all his own, with reverence for and loyalty to Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour. One could not afford to give up either of the Four Gospels. They each supplement the other in a wonderful way. John's Gospel is the greatest book in all the world, reaching the highest heights of all. But if we had only Luke's Gospel, we should have an adequate portrait of Jesus Christ as Son of God and Son of Man. If Mark's is the Gospel for the Romans and Matthew's for the Jews, the Gospel of Luke is for the Gentile world. He shows the sympathy of Jesus for the poor and the outcast. Luke understands women and children and so is the universal Gospel of mankind in all phases and conditions. It is often called the Gospel of womanhood, of infancy, of prayer, of praise. We have in Luke the first Christian hymns. With Luke we catch some glimpses of the child Jesus for which we are grateful. Luke was a friend and follower of Paul, and verbal parallels with Paul's Epistles do occur, but there is no Pauline propaganda in the Gospel as Moffatt clearly shows ("Intr. to Lit. of the N.T.", p. 281). The Prologue is in literary "Koin," and deserves comparison with those in any Greek and Latin writers. His style is versatile and is often coloured by his source. He was a great reader of the Septuagint as is shown by occasional Hebraisms evidently due to reading that translation Greek. He has graciousness and a sense of humour as McLachlan and Ragg show. Every really great man has a saving sense of humor as Jesus himself had. Ramsay dares to call Luke, as shown by the Gospel and Acts, the greatest of all historians not even excepting Thucydides. Ramsay has done much to restore Luke to his rightful place in the estimation of modern scholars. Some German critics used to cite Luke 2:1-7 as a passage containing more historical blunders than any similar passage in any historian. The story of how papyri and inscriptions have fully justified Luke in every statement here made is

carefully worked out by Ramsay in his various books, especially in “The Bearing of Recent Discovery on the Trustworthiness of the New Testament”. The main feature of this proof appears also in my “Luke the Historian in the Light of Research”. So many items, where Luke once stood alone, have been confirmed by recent discoveries that the burden of proof now rests on those who challenge Luke in those cases where he still stands alone.



## CHAPTER 1

☞ **Luke 1:1** *Forasmuch as (epeideeper)*. Here alone in the N.T., though common in literary Attic. Appears in the papyri. A triple compound (*epei* = since, *dee* = admittedly true, *per* = intensive particle to emphasize importance).

*Many (polloi)*. How many no one knows, but certainly more than two or three. We know that Luke used the Logia of Jesus written by Matthew in Aramaic (Papias) and Mark's Gospel. Undoubtedly he had other written sources.

*Have taken in hand (epecheireesan)*. A literal translation of *epicheire* “ (from *cheir*, hand and *epi*, upon). Both Hippocrates and Galen use this word in their introduction to their medical works. Here only in the N.T., though a common literary word. Common in the papyri for undertaking with no idea of failure or blame. Luke does not mean to cast reflection on those who preceded him. The apocryphal gospels were all much later and are not in his mind. Luke had secured fuller information and planned a book on a larger scale and did surpass them with the result that they all perished save Mark's Gospel and what Matthew and Luke possess of the Logia of Jesus. There was still room for Luke's book. That motive influences every author and thus progress is made.

*To draw up, a narrative (anataxasthai dieegeesin)*. Ingressive aorist middle infinitive. This verb *anataxasthai* has been found only in Plutarch's *Moral*. 968 CD about an elephant "rehearsing" by moonlight certain tricks it had been taught (Moulton and Milligan, *Vocabulary*). That was from memory going regularly through the thing again. But the idea in the word is plain enough. The word is composed of *tass* “, a common verb for arranging things in proper order and *ana*, again. Luke means to say that those before him had made attempts to rehearse in orderly fashion various matters about Christ.

“The expression points to a connected series of narratives in some order (*taxis*), topical or chronological rather than to isolated narratives” (Bruce).

“They had produced something more than mere notes or anecdotes” (Plummer).

*Diegeesis* means leading or carrying a thing through, not a mere incident. Galen applies this word some seventy-five times to the writing of Hippocrates.

*Which have been fulfilled* (*toon pepleeroophoreemenoon*). Perfect passive participle from *pleerophoreoo* and that from *pleerees* (full) and *pheroo* (to bring). Hence to bring or make full. The verb is rare outside of the LXX and the N.T. Papyri examples occur for finishing off a legal matter or a financial matter in full. Deissmann (*Light from the Ancient East*, pp. 86f.) gives examples from the papyri and inscriptions for completing a task or being convinced or satisfied in mind. The same ambiguity occurs here. When used of persons in the N.T. the meaning is to be convinced, or fully persuaded (<sup><402></sup>Romans 4:21; 14:5; <sup><401></sup>Hebrews 6:11; 10:22). When used of things it has the notion of completing or finishing (<sup><505></sup>2 Timothy 4:5,17). Luke is here speaking of “matters” (*pragματοon*). Luke may refer to the matters connected with Christ’s life which have been brought to a close among us or accomplished. Bruce argues plausibly that he means fulness of knowledge “concerning the things which have become widely known among us Christians.” In <sup><102></sup>Colossians 2:2 we have “fulness of understanding” (*tees pleerophorias tees suneseoos*). In modern Greek the verb means to inform. The careful language of Luke here really pays a tribute to those who had preceded him in their narratives concerning Christ.

<sup><402></sup>**Luke 1:2** *Even as* (*kathoos*). This participle was condemned by the Atticists though occurring occasionally from Aristotle on. It is in the papyri. Luke asserts that the previous narratives had their sound basis.

*Delivered unto us* (*paredoosan heemin*). Second aorist active indicative of *paradidoomi*. Luke received this tradition along with those who are mentioned above (the many). That is he was not one of the “eyewitnesses.” He was a secondary, not a primary, witness of the events. Tradition has come to have a meaning of unreliability with us, but that is not the idea here. Luke means to say that the handing down was dependable, not mere wives’ fables. Those who drew up the narratives had as sources of knowledge those who handed down the data. Here we have both written and oral sources. Luke had access to both kinds.

*Which from the beginning were eyewitnesses and ministers of the word* (*hoi ap’ archees autoptai kai hupeperetai genomenoi tou logou*). “Who” is better than “which” for the article here. The word for

*eyewitnesses* (*autoptai*) is an old Greek word and appears in the papyri also. It means seeing with one's own eyes. It occurs here only in the N.T. We have the very word in the medical term *autopsy*. Greek medical writers often had the word. It is a different word from *epoptai* (eyewitness) in <sup><6016></sup>2 Peter 1:16, a word used of those who beheld heavenly mysteries. The word for "ministers" (*hupeeretai*), under rowers or servants we have had already in <sup><4075></sup>Matthew 5:25; 26:58; <sup><4154></sup>Mark 14:54,65, which see. We shall see it again in <sup><4040></sup>Luke 4:20 of the attendant in the synagogue. In the sense of a preacher of the gospel as here, it occurs also in <sup><4236></sup>Acts 26:16. Here "the word" means the gospel message, as in <sup><4004></sup>Acts 6:4; 8:4, etc.

*From the beginning* apparently refers to the beginning of the ministry of Jesus as was true of the apostles (<sup><4012></sup>Acts 1:22) and of the early apostolic preaching (<sup><4037></sup>Acts 10:37-43). The Gospel of Mark follows this plan. The Gospel of Luke goes behind this in chapters 1 and 2 as does Matthew in chapters 1 and 2. But Luke is not here referring to himself. The matters about the childhood of Jesus Christ would not form part of the traditional preaching for obvious reasons.

<sup><4008></sup>**Luke 1:3** *It seemed good to me also* (*edoxe kamoi*). A natural conclusion and justification of Luke's decision to write his narrative. They had ample reason to draw up their narratives. Luke has more reason to do so because of his fuller knowledge and wider scope.

*Having traced the course of all things* (*pareekolouthēekoti pfsin*). The perfect active participle of a common verb of the ancient Greek. Literally it means to follow along a thing in mind, to trace carefully. Both meanings occur abundantly in the ancient Greek. Cadbury (Appendix C to *Beginnings of Christianity*, Vol. II, pp. 489ff.) objects to the translation "having traced" here as implying research which the word does not here mean. Milligan (*Vocabulary*) is somewhat impressed by this argument. See my discussion of the point in Chapter XVI of *Studies in the Text of the N.T.* (The Implications in Luke's Preface) where the point is made that Luke here claims fulness of knowledge before he began to write his book. He had the traditions of the eyewitnesses and ministers of the word and the narratives previously drawn up. Whether he was a personal contemporary with any or all of these events we do not know and it is not particularly pertinent. He had *mentally* followed along by the side of these events. Galen used this verb for the investigation of symptoms. Luke got himself ready to write before he began by full and accurate knowledge of the

subject. *Akriboos* (accurately) means going into minute details, from *akron*, the topmost point. And he did it

*from the first (anoother)*. He seems to refer to the matters in <sup><4005></sup>Luke 1:5-2:52, the Gospel of the Infancy.

*In order (kathexees)*. Chronological order in the main following Mark's general outline. But in <sup><4005></sup>Luke 9:51-18:10 the order is often topical. He has made careful investigation and his work deserves serious consideration.

*Most excellent Theophilus (kratiste Theophile)*. The name means god-lover or god-beloved. He may have been a believer already. He was probably a Gentile. Ramsay holds that "most excellent" was a title like "Your Excellency" and shows that he held office, perhaps a Knight. So of Felix (<sup><4235></sup>Acts 23:26) and Festus (<sup><4025></sup>Acts 26:25). The adjective does not occur in the dedication in <sup><4000></sup>Acts 1:1.

<sup><4004></sup>**Luke 1:4** *Mightest know (epignoois)*. Second aorist active subjunctive of *epignooskoo*. Full knowledge (*epi-*), in addition to what he already has.

*The certainty (teen asphaleian)*. Make no slip (*sphaloo*, to totter or fall, and *a* privative). Luke promises a reliable narrative. "Theophilus shall know that the faith which he has embraced has an impregnable historical foundation" (Plummer).

*The things (logoon)*. Literally "words," the details of the words in the instruction.

*Wast instructed (katecheethees)*. First aorist passive indicative. Not in O.T. and rare in ancient Greek. Occurs in the papyri. The word *eecheoo* is our word echo (cf. <sup><5008></sup>1 Thessalonians 1:8 for *exeecheetai*, has sounded forth). *Katecheoo* is to sound down, to din, to instruct, to give oral instruction. Cf. <sup><5140></sup>1 Corinthians 14:9; <sup><4212></sup>Acts 21:21,24; 18:25; <sup><8005></sup>Galatians 6:6. Those men doing the teaching were called *catechists* and those receiving it were called *catechumens*. Whether Theophilus was still a catechumen is not known. This Preface by Luke is in splendid literary *Koin*, and is not surpassed by those in any Greek writer (Herodotus, Thucydides, Polybius). It is entirely possible that Luke was familiar with this habit of Greek historians to write prefaces since he was a man of culture.

**Luke 1:5** *There was (egeneto)*. Not the usual *en* for “was,” but there arose or came into notice. With this verse the literary *Koin*, of verses 1 to 4 disappears. To the end of chapter 2 we have the most Hebraistic (Aramaic) passage in Luke’s writings, due evidently to the use of documents or notes of oral tradition. Plummer notes a series of such documents ending with 1:80, 2:40, 2:52. If the mother of Jesus was still alive, Luke could have seen her. She may have written in Aramaic an account of these great events. Natural reserve would keep her from telling too much and from too early publicity. Luke, as a physician, would take special interest in her birth report. The supernatural aspects disturb only those who do not admit the real Incarnation of Jesus Christ and who are unable to believe that God is superior to nature and that the coming of the Son of God to earth justifies such miraculous manifestations of divine power. Luke tells his story from the standpoint of Mary as Matthew gives his from the standpoint of Joseph. The two supplement each other. We have here the earliest documentary evidence of the origins of Christianity that has come down to us (Plummer).

*Herod, King of Judea (Heerooidou basileoos tees Ioudaias)*. This note of time locates the events before the death of Herod the Great (as he was called later), appointed King of Judea by the Roman Senate B.C. 40 at the suggestion of Octavius and Antony. He died B.C. 4.

*Of the course of Abijah (ex epheemerias Abia)*. Not in old Greek, but in LXX and modern Greek. Papyri have a verb derived from it, *epheemereoo*. Daily service (<sup><1633></sup>Nehemiah 13:30; <sup><1378></sup>1 Chronicles 25:8) and then a course of priests who were on duty for a week (<sup><1376></sup>1 Chronicles 23:6; 28:13). There were 24 such courses and that of Abijah was the eighth (<sup><1340></sup>1 Chronicles 24:10; <sup><1484></sup>2 Chronicles 8:14). Only four of these courses (Jedaiah, Immer, Pashur, Harim) returned from Babylon, but these four were divided into twenty-four with the old names. Each of these courses did duty for eight days, sabbath to sabbath, twice a year. On sabbaths the whole course did duty. At the feast of tabernacles all twenty-four courses were present.

*Of the daughters of Aaron (ek toon thugateroon Aaroon)*. “To be a priest and married to a priest’s daughter was a double distinction” (Plummer). Like a preacher married to a preacher’s daughter.

☞**Luke 1:6** *Righteous before God* (*dikaioi enantion tou theou*). Old Testament conception and idiom. ☞**Luke 2:25** about Simeon. Expanded in Old Testament language. Picture of “noblest product of Old Testament education” (Ragg) is Zacharias and Elisabeth, Mary and Joseph, Simeon and Anna who were “privileged to see with clear eyes the dawn of the New Testament revelation.”

☞**Luke 1:7** *Because that* (*kathoti*). Good Attic word, according to what. Only in Luke and Acts in the N.T. In the papyri.

*Well stricken in years* (*probebeekotes en tais heemerais autoon*). Wycliff has it right: “Had gone far in their days.” Perfect active participle. See also verse 18.

☞**Luke 1:8** *While he executed the priest’s office* (*en tooi hierateuein auton*). A favourite idiom in Luke, *en* with the articular infinitive and the accusative of general reference where the genitive absolute could have been used or a temporal conjunction and finite verb. It is proper Greek, but occurs often in the LXX, which Luke read, particularly in imitation of the Hebrew infinitive construct. The word *hierateuoo* does not appear in the ancient Greek, but in the LXX and this one example in Luke. It is on the Rosetta Stone and the early inscriptions so that the word was simply applied by the LXX translators from current usage.

☞**Luke 1:9** *His lot was* (*elache*). Literally,

*he obtained the lot*. Second aorist active indicative of *lagchanoo*, to obtain by lot, a very old verb from Homer on. It is used either with the genitive as here, or the accusative as in ☞**Acts 1:17**; ☞**2 Peter 1:1**. Papyri show examples with the accusative. It was only once in a lifetime that a priest obtained the lot of going (*eiselthoon*, here nominative aorist active participle agreeing with the subject of *elache*) into the sanctuary (*ton naon*, not *to hieron*, the outer courts) and burning incense on the golden altar.

“It was the great moment of Zacharias’s life, and his heart was no doubt alert for the supernatural” (Ragg).

The fortunate lot was “a white stone” to which ☞**Revelation 2:17** may refer.

*Burn incense* (*tou thumiasai*). Here only in the N.T. Occurs on inscriptions. Hobart finds it used by medical writers for fumigating herbs.

“Ascending the steps to the Holy Place, the priests spread the coals on the golden altar, and arranged the incense, and the chief operating priest was then left alone within the Holy Place to await the signal of the president to burn the incense. It was probably at this time that the angel appeared to Zacharias” (Vincent).

◀◀◀ **Luke 1:10** *Were praying without* (*een proseuchomenon exoo*).

Periphrastic imperfect indicative picturing the posture of the people while the clouds of incense rose on the inside of the sanctuary.

◀◀◀ **Luke 1:11** *Appeared* (*oophthee*). First aorist passive indicative. It is the form used by Paul of the resurrection appearances of Jesus (◀◀◀◀◀ 1 Corinthians 15:5-8). There is no use in trying to explain away the reality of the angel. We must choose between admitting an objective appearance and a myth (Plummer).

◀◀◀ **Luke 1:13** *Is heard* (*eiseekousthee*). First aorist passive indicative. A sort of timeless aorist, “was heard” when made, and so “is heard” now. Probably the prayer was for a son in spite of the great age of Elisabeth, though the Messianic redemption is possible also.

*John* (*Iooaneen*). The word means that God is gracious. The mention of the name should have helped Zacharias to believe. The message of the angel (verses 13-17) takes on a metrical form when turned into Hebrew (Ragg) and it is a prose poem in Greek and English like ◀◀◀◀◀ Luke 1:30-33,35-37,42-45,46-55,68-70; ◀◀◀◀◀ Luke 2:10-12,14,29-32,34-35. Certainly Luke has preserved the earliest Christian hymns in their oldest sources. He is the first critic of the sources of the Gospels and a scholarly one.

◀◀◀ **Luke 1:14** *Gladness* (*agalliasis*). Only in the LXX and N.T. so far as known. A word for extreme exultation.

*Rejoice* (*chareesontai*). Second future passive indicative. The coming of a prophet will indeed be an occasion for rejoicing.

◀◀◀ **Luke 1:15** *Strong drink* (*sikera*). A Hebrew word transliterated into Greek, an intoxicating drink. Here only in the N.T. John was to be a personal “dry” or Nazarite (◀◀◀◀◀ Numbers 6:3).

*Shall not drink* (*ou mee pieei*). Strong prohibition, double negative and second aorist subjunctive.



*The Holy Ghost* (*pneumatōs hagiou*). The Holy Spirit in contrast to the physical excitement of strong drink (Plummer). Luke uses this phrase 53 times, 12 in the Gospel, Mark and John 4 each, Matthew 5 times.

*Even from his mother's womb* (*eti ek koilias meetros autou*). A manifest Hebraism. Cf. verse 41.

**◀117▶ Luke 1:17** *Before his face* (*enoopion autou*). Not in the ancient Greek, but common in the papyri as in LXX and N.T. It is a vernacular *Koin*, word, adverb used as preposition from adjective *enoopios*, and that from *ho en oopi oon* (the one who is in sight).

*Autou* here seems to be “the Lord their God” in verse 16 since the Messiah has not yet been mentioned, though he was to be actually the Forerunner of the Messiah.

*In the spirit and power of Elijah* (*en pneumati kai dunamei Eleif*). See **◀341▶** Isaiah 40:1-11; **◀341▶** Malachi 3:1-5. John will deny that he is actually Elijah in person, as they expected (**◀402▶** John 1:21), but Jesus will call him Elijah in spirit (**◀402▶** Mark 9:12; **◀172▶** Matthew 17:12).

*Hearts of fathers* (*kardias pateroon*). Paternal love had died out. This is one of the first results of conversion, the revival of love in the home.

*Wisdom* (*phroneesei*). Not *sophia*, but a word for practical intelligence.

*Prepared* (*kateskeuasmēnon*). Perfect passive participle, state of readiness for Christ. This John did. This is a marvellous forecast of the character and career of John the Baptist, one that should have caught the faith of Zacharias.

**◀118▶ Luke 1:18** *Whereby* (*kata ti*). According to what. It was too good to be true and Zacharias demanded proof and gives the reason (for, *gar*) for his doubt. He had prayed for this blessing and was now sceptical like the disciples in the house of Mary about the return of Peter (**◀424▶** Acts 12:14f.).

**◀119▶ Luke 1:19** *Gabriel* (*Gabrieel*). The Man of God (**◀286▶** Daniel 8:6; 9:21). The other angel whose name is given in Scripture is Michael (**◀2103▶** Daniel 10:13,21; **◀6009▶** Jude 1:9; **◀6627▶** Revelation 12:7). The description of himself is a rebuke to the doubt of Zacharias.

**◀121▶ Luke 1:20** *Thou shalt be silent* (*eseei sioopoon*). Volitive future periphrastic.



*Not able to speak* (*mee dunamenos laleesai*). Negative repetition of the same statement. His dumbness will continue “until” (*achri hees heemeras*) the events come to pass “because” (*anth’ hoon*). The words were to become reality in due season (*kairon*, not *chronos*, time).

◀012▶ **Luke 1:21** *Were waiting* (*een prosdokoon*). Periphrastic imperfect again. An old Greek verb for expecting. Appears in papyri and inscriptions. It denotes mental direction whether hope or fear.

*They marvelled* (*ethaumazon*). Imperfect tense, were wondering. The Talmud says that the priest remained only a brief time in the sanctuary.

*While he tarried* (*en tooi chronizein*). See verse 8 for the same idiom.

◀012▶ **Luke 1:22** *Perceived* (*epegnoosan*). Second aorist indicative. Clearly knew because he was not able to pronounce the benediction from the steps (◀013▶ Numbers 6:24-26).

*Continued making signs* (*een dianeuoon*). Periphrastic imperfect again. He nodded and beckoned back and forth (*dia*, between). Further proof of a vision that caused his dumbness.

◀012▶ **Luke 1:23** *Ministration* (*leitourgias*). Our word liturgy. A common word in ancient Greek for public service, work for the people (*leoo ergon*). It is common in the papyri for the service of the Egyptian priesthood as we see it in the LXX of Hebrew priests (see also ◀886▶ Hebrews 8:6; 9:21; ◀012▶ 2 Corinthians 9:12; ◀817▶ Philippians 2:17,30).

◀012▶ **Luke 1:24** *Conceived* (*sunelaben*). Luke uses this word eleven times and it occurs only five other times in the N.T. It is a very old and common Greek word. He alone in the N.T. has it for conceiving offspring (◀012▶ Luke 1:24,31,36; 2:21) though ◀5015▶ James 1:15 uses it of lust producing sin. Hobart (*Medical Language of Luke*, p. 91) observes that Luke has almost as many words for pregnancy and barrenness as Hippocrates (*en gastri echein*, ◀012▶ Luke 21:23; *egkuos*, ◀016▶ Luke 2:5; *steira*, ◀017▶ Luke 1:7; *ateknos*, ◀018▶ Luke 20:28).

*Hid* (*periekruben*). Only here in the N.T., but in late *Koin*, writers. Usually considered second aorist active indicative from *perikruptoo*, though it may be the imperfect indicative of a late form *perikruboo*. If it is aorist it is the constative aorist. The preposition *peri* makes it mean completely (on all sides) hid.

◀4025▶ **Luke 1:25** *My reproach (oneidos mou)*. Keenly felt by a Jewish wife because the husband wanted an heir and because of the hope of the Messiah, and because of the mother's longing for a child.

◀4026▶ **Luke 1:26** *Was sent (apestalee)*. Second aorist passive indicative of *apostelloo* from which *apostle* comes. The angel Gabriel is God's messenger to Mary as to Zacharias (◀4019▶ Luke 1:19).

◀4027▶ **Luke 1:27** *Betrothed (emneesteumeneen)*. Perfect passive participle. Betrothal usually lasted a year and unfaithfulness on the part of the bride was punished with death (◀4028▶ Deuteronomy 23:24f.).

◀4028▶ **Luke 1:28** *Highly favoured (kecharitoomenee)*. Perfect passive participle of *charitoo* and means endowed with grace (*charis*), enriched with grace as in ▶4006▶ Ephesians 1:6, *non ut mater gratiae, sed ut filia gratiae* (Bengel). The Vulgate *gratiae plena* "is right, if it means 'full of grace which thou hast received'; wrong, if it means 'full of grace which thou hast to bestow'" (Plummer). The oldest MSS. do not have "Blessed art thou among women" here, but in verse 42.

◀4029▶ **Luke 1:29** *Cast in her mind (dielogizeto)*. Imperfect indicative. Note aorist *dietarachthee*. Common verb for reckoning up different reasons. She was both upset and puzzled.

◀4030▶ **Luke 1:30** *Favour (charin)*. Grace. Same root as *chairoo* (rejoice) and *charitoo* in verse 28. To find favour is a common O.T. phrase. *Charis* is a very ancient and common word with a variety of applied meanings. They all come from the notion of sweetness, charm, loveliness, joy, delight, like words of grace, ▶4002▶ Luke 4:22, growing grace, ▶4009▶ Ephesians 4:29, with grace, ▶5046▶ Colossians 4:6. The notion of kindness is in it also, especially of God towards men as here. It is a favourite word for Christianity, the Gospel of the grace of God (◀4011▶ Acts 20:24) in contrast with law or works (◀4016▶ John 1:16). Gratitude is expressed also (◀4012▶ Luke 6:32), especially to God (◀4017▶ Romans 6:17).

*With God (para tooi theooi)*. Beside God.

◀4031▶ **Luke 1:31** *Conceive in thy womb (sulleempseei en gastri)*. Adding *en gastri* to the verb of ▶4024▶ Luke 1:24. Same idiom in ▶3074▶ Isaiah 7:14 of Immanuel.

*Jesus (Ieesoun)*. As to Joseph in <sup><4021></sup>Matthew 1:21, but without the explanation of the meaning. See on Matthew.

<sup><4013></sup>**Luke 1:32** *The Son of the Most High (huios Hupsistou)*. There is no article in the Greek, but the use of Most High in verse 35 clearly of God as here. In <sup><4015></sup>Luke 6:35 we find “sons of the Most High” (*huioi Hupsistou*) so that we cannot insist on deity here, though that is possible. The language of <sup><4074></sup>2 Samuel 7:14; <sup><2307></sup>Isaiah 9:7 is combined here.

<sup><4013></sup>**Luke 1:33** *Shall be no end (ouk estai telos)*. Luke reports the perpetuity of this Davidic kingdom over the house of Jacob with no Pauline interpretation of the spiritual Israel though that was the true meaning as Luke knew. Joseph was of the house of David (<sup><4017></sup>Luke 1:27) and Mary also apparently (<sup><4015></sup>Luke 2:5).

<sup><4013></sup>**Luke 1:35** *Shall overshadow thee (episkiasei)*. A figure of a cloud coming upon her. Common in ancient Greek in the sense of obscuring and with accusative as of Peter’s shadow in <sup><4015></sup>Acts 5:15. But we have seen it used of the shining bright cloud at the Transfiguration of Jesus (<sup><4075></sup>Matthew 17:5; <sup><4007></sup>Mark 9:7; <sup><4034></sup>Luke 9:34). Here it is like the Shekinah glory which suggests it (<sup><4038></sup>Exodus 40:38) where the cloud of glory represents the presence and power of God.

*Holy, the Son of God (Hagion huios theou)*. Here again the absence of the article makes it possible for it to mean “Son of God.” See <sup><4019></sup>Matthew 5:9. But this title, like the Son of Man (*Hosea huios tou anthroopou*) was a recognized designation of the Messiah. Jesus did not often call himself Son of God (<sup><4073></sup>Matthew 27:43), but it is assumed in his frequent use of the Father, the Son (<sup><4017></sup>Matthew 11:27; <sup><4021></sup>Luke 10:21; <sup><4019></sup>John 5:19ff.). It is the title used by the Father at the baptism (<sup><4012></sup>Luke 3:22) and on the Mount of Transfiguration (<sup><4015></sup>Luke 9:35). The wonder of Mary would increase at these words. The Miraculous Conception or Virgin Birth of Jesus is thus plainly set forth in Luke as in Matthew. The fact that Luke was a physician gives added interest to his report.

<sup><4013></sup>**Luke 1:36** *Kinswoman (suggenis)*. Not necessarily cousin, but simply relative.

<sup><4013></sup>**Luke 1:37** *No word (ouk rheema)*. *Rheema* brings out the single item rather than the whole content (*logos*). So in verse 38.

<013> **Luke 1:39** *Arose* (*anastfsa*). Luke is very fond of this word, sixty times against twenty-two in the rest of the N.T.

*Into the hill country* (*eis teen orineen*). Luke uses this adjective twice in this context (here and <016> Luke 1:65) instead of *to oros*, the mountains. It is an old word and is in the LXX, but nowhere else in the N.T. The name of the city where Zacharias lived is not given unless Judah here means Juttah (<055> Joshua 15:55). Hebron was the chief city of this part of Judea.

<014> **Luke 1:40** *Saluted* (*eespasato*). Her first glance at Elisabeth showed the truth of the angel's message. The two mothers had a bond of sympathy.

<014> **Luke 1:41** *Leaped* (*eskirteesen*). A common enough incident with unborn children (<022> Genesis 25:22), but Elisabeth was filled with the Holy Spirit to understand what had happened to Mary.

<014> **Luke 1:42** *With a loud cry* (*kraugeei megaleei*). A moment of ecstatic excitement.

*Blessed art thou* (*eulogeemene*). Perfect passive participle. A Hebraistic equivalent for the superlative.

<014> **Luke 1:43** *The mother of my Lord* (*hee meeteer tou Kuriou mou*). See <011> Psalm 110:1. Only by the help of the Holy Spirit could Elisabeth know that Mary was to be the mother of the Messiah.

<015> **Luke 1:45** *For* (*hoti*). It is not certain whether *hoti* here is "that" or "because." It makes good sense either way. See also <016> Luke 7:16. This is the first beatitude in the New Testament and it is similar to the last one in the Gospels spoken to Thomas to discourage his doubt (<019> John 20:29). Elisabeth wishes Mary to have full faith in the prophecy of the angel. This song of Elisabeth is as real poetry as is that of Mary (1:47-55) and Zacharias (1:68-70). All three spoke under the power of the Holy Spirit. These are the first New Testament hymns and they are very beautiful. Plummer notes four strophes in Mary's Magnificat (46-48,49,50,51-53,54,55). Every idea here occurs in the Old Testament, showing that Mary's mind was full of the spiritual message of God's word.

<016> **Luke 1:46** *Doth magnify* (*megalunei*). Latin, *magnificat*. Harnack argues that this is also the song of Elisabeth because a few Latin MSS.

have it so, but Mary is correct. She draws her material from the O.T. and sings in the noblest strain.

**Luke 1:47** *Hath rejoiced (eegalliasen)*. This is aorist active indicative. Greek tenses do not correspond to those in English. The verb *agalliaoo* is a Hellenistic word from the old Greek *agalloo*. It means to exult. See the substantive *agalliasis* in **Luke 1:14,44**. Mary is not excited like Elisabeth, but breathes a spirit of composed rapture.

*My spirit (to pneuma mou)*. One need not press unduly the difference between “soul” (*psuchee*) in verse 46 and “spirit” here. Bruce calls them synonyms in parallel clauses. Vincent argues that the soul is the principle of individuality while the spirit is the point of contact between God and man. It is doubtful, however, if the trichotomous theory of man (body, soul, and spirit) is to be insisted on. It is certain that we have an inner spiritual nature for which various words are used in **Mark 12:30**. Even the distinction between intellect, emotions, and will is challenged by some psychologists.

*God my Saviour (tooi theooi tooi soteeri mou)*. Article with each substantive. God is called Saviour in the O.T. (**Deuteronomy 32:15**, **Psalm 24:5; 95:1**).

**Luke 1:48** *The low estate (teen tapeinoosin)*. The bride of a carpenter and yet to be the mother of the Messiah. Literal sense here as in **Luke 1:52**.

*Shall call me blessed (makariousin me)*. So-called Attic future of an old verb, to felicitate. Elisabeth had already given her a beatitude (*makaria*, **Luke 1:45**). Another occurs in **Luke 11:27**. But this is a very different thing from the worship of Mary (Mariolatry) by Roman Catholics. See my *The Mother of Jesus: Her Problems and Her Glory*.

**Luke 1:50** *Fear (phoboumenois)*. Dative of the present middle participle. Here it is reverential fear as in **Acts 10:2**; **Colossians 3:22**. The bad sense of dread appears in **Matthew 21:46**; **Mark 6:20**; **Luke 12:4**.

**Luke 1:51** *Showed strength (epoiesen kratos)*. “Made might” (Wycliff). A Hebrew conception as in **Psalm 118:15**. Plummer notes six aorist indicatives in this sentence (51-63), neither corresponding to our English idiom, which translates here by “hath” each time.

*Imagination (dianoifi)*. Intellectual insight, moral understanding.

☞**Luke 1:52** *Princes (dunastas)*. Our word dynasty is from this word. It comes from *dunamai*, to be able.

☞**Luke 1:54** *Hath holpen (antelabeto)*. Second aorist middle indicative. A very common verb. It means to lay hold of with a view to help or succour.

*Servant (paidos)*. Here it means “servant,” not “son” or “child,” its usual meaning.

☞**Luke 1:58** *Had magnified (emegalunen)*. Aorist active indicative. Same verb as in verse 46.

*Rejoiced with her (sunchairon auteei)*. Imperfect tense and pictures the continual joy of the neighbours, accented also by *sun-* (cf. ☞**Philippians 2:18**) in its mutual aspect.

☞**Luke 1:59** *Would have called (ekaloun)*. Conative imperfect, tried to call.

☞**Luke 1:62** *Made signs (eneneuon)*. Imperfect tense, repeated action as usual when making signs. In ☞**Luke 1:22** the verb used of Zacharias is *dianeuoon*.

*What he would have him called (to ti an theloi kaleisthai auto)*. Note article *to* with the indirect question, accusative of general reference. The optative with *an* is here because it was used in the direct question (cf. ☞**Acts 17:18**), and is simply retained in the indirect.

*What would he wish him to be called? (if he could speak)*, a conclusion of the fourth-class condition.

☞**Luke 1:63** *Tablet (pinakidion)*. Diminutive of *pinakis*. In Aristotle and the papyri for writing tablet, probably covered with wax. Sometimes it was a little table, like Shakespeare’s “the table of my memory” (Hamlet, i.5). It was used also of a physician’s note-book.

*Wrote, saying (egrapsen legoon)*. Hebrew way of speaking (☞**2 Kings 10:6**).

☞**Luke 1:64** *Immediately* (*parachreema*). Nineteen times in the N.T., seventeen in Luke.

*Opened* (*aneooichthee*). First aorist passive indicative with double augment. The verb suits “mouth,” but not “tongue” (*gloossa*). It is thus a zeugma with tongue. Loosed or some such verb to be supplied.

☞**Luke 1:65** *Fear* (*phobos*). Not terror, but religious awe because of contact with the supernatural as in the case of Zacharias (☞**Luke 1:12**). Were noised abroad (*dielaleito*). Imperfect passive. Occurs in Polybius. In the N.T. only here and ☞**Luke 6:11**. It was continuous talk back and forth between (*dia*) the people.

☞**Luke 1:66** *What then* (*ti ara*). With all these supernatural happenings they predicted the marvellous career of this child. Note *Ti*,

*what*, not *Tis*,

*who*. Cf. ☞**Acts 12:18**.

*They laid them up* (*ethento*, second aorist middle indicative) as Mary did (2:19).

*The hand of the Lord* (*cheir Kuriou*). Luke’s explanation in addition to the supernatural events. The expression occurs only in Luke’s writing (☞**Acts 11:21; 13:11**).

☞**Luke 1:67** *Prophesied* (*epropheeteusen*). Under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. This *Benedictus* (*Eulogeetos*,

*Blessed*) of Zacharias (68-79) may be what is referred to in verse 64 “he began to speak blessing God” (*eulogoon*). Nearly every phrase here is found in the O.T. (Psalms and Prophets). He, like Mary, was full of the Holy Spirit and had caught the Messianic message in its highest meaning.

☞**Luke 1:68** *Hath visited* (*epeskepsato*). An old Greek word with a Hebraic colouring to look into with a view to help. The papyri have plenty of examples of the verb in the sense of inspecting, examining.

*Redemption* (*lutroosin*) here originally referred to political redemption, but with a moral and spiritual basis (verses 75,77).

**Luke 1:69** *Horn of salvation (keras sooteerias)*. A common metaphor in the O.T. (<sup><0120></sup>1 Samuel 2:10; <sup><0213></sup>2 Samuel 23:3, etc.). It represents strength like the horns of bulls. Cf. Ps. 132:17.

**Luke 1:70** *Since the world began (ap' aioonos)*. Better “from of old” (Weymouth, American Revision).

**Luke 1:73** *The oath which he sware (horkon hon oomosen)*.

Antecedent attracted to case of the relative. The oath appears in <sup><0216></sup>Genesis 22:16-18. The oppression of the Gentiles seems to be in the mind of Zacharias. It is not certain how clearly he grasped the idea of the spiritual Israel as Paul saw it in Galatians and Romans.

**Luke 1:74** *Delivered (rhusthentas)*. First aorist passive participle of an old verb, *ruomai*. The accusative case appears, where the dative could have been used to agree with *heemin*, because of the infinitive *latreuein* (verse 74)

*to serve* (from *latros*, for hire). But Plato uses the word of service for God so that the bad sense does not always exist.

**Luke 1:75** *In holiness and righteousness (en hosiotetei kai dikaiosuneei)*. Not a usual combination (<sup><0024></sup>Ephesians 4:24; <sup><0008></sup>Titus 1:8; <sup><0210></sup>1 Thessalonians 2:10). The Godward and the manward aspects of conduct (Bruce). *Hosios*, the eternal principles of right, *dikaiois*, the rule of conduct before men.

**Luke 1:76** *Yea and thou (kai su de)*. Direct address to the child with forecast of his life (cf. <sup><0113></sup>Luke 1:13-17).

*Prophet (prophetees)*. The word here directly applied to the child. Jesus will later call John a prophet and more than a prophet.

*The Lord (Kuriou)*. Jehovah as in 1:16.

**Luke 1:77** *Knowledge of salvation (gnoosin sooteerias)*. “This is the aim and end of the work of the Forerunner” (Plummer).

**Luke 1:78** *Tender mercy (splugchna eleous)*. Bowels of mercy literally (<sup><0118></sup>1 Peter 3:8; <sup><0311></sup>James 3:11). Revised margin has it, hearts of mercy.



*The dayspring from on high* (*anatolee ex hupsous*). Literally, rising from on high, like the rising sun or stars (<sup><2309></sup>Isaiah 60:19). The word is used also of a sprouting plant or branch (<sup><2436></sup>Jeremiah 23:5; <sup><3062></sup>Zechariah 6:12), but that does not suit here.

*Shall visit* (*epeskepsetai*), correct text, cf. <sup><4068></sup>Luke 1:68.

<sup><4079></sup>**Luke 1:79** *To shine upon* (*epiphfnai*). First aorist active infinitive of *epiphainoo* (liquid verb). An old verb to give light, to shine upon, like the sun or stars. See also <sup><4471></sup>Acts 27:20; <sup><5021></sup>Titus 2:11; 3:4.

*The shadow of death* (*skifi thanatou*). See <sup><1470></sup>Psalm 107:10, where darkness and shadow of death are combined as here. Cf. also <sup><2308></sup>Isaiah 9:1. See on <sup><1046></sup>Matthew 4:16. To guide (*tou kateuthunai*). Genitive of the articular infinitive of purpose. The light will enable them in the dark to see how to walk in a straight path that leads to “the way of peace.” We are still on that road, but so many stumble for lack of light, men and nations.

<sup><4080></sup>**Luke 1:80** *Grew* (*eeuxane*). Imperfect active, was growing.

*Waxed strong* (*ekrataiouto*). Imperfect again. The child kept growing in strength of body and spirit.

*His shewing* (*anadeixeos autou*). Here alone in the N.T. It occurs in Plutarch and Polybius. The verb appears in a sacrificial sense. The boy, as he grew, may have gone up to the passover and may have seen the boy Jesus (<sup><4080></sup>Luke 2:42-52), but he would not know that he was to be the Messiah. So these two boys of destiny grew on with the years, the one in the desert hills near Hebron after Zacharias and Elisabeth died, the other, the young Carpenter up in Nazareth, each waiting for “his shewing unto Israel.”

## CHAPTER 2

◀️**Luke 2:1** *Decree from Caesar Augustus (dogma para Kaisaros Augoustou)*. Old and common word from *dokeoo*, to think, form an opinion. No such decree was given by Greek or Roman historians and it was for long assumed by many scholars that Luke was in error. But papyri and inscriptions have confirmed Luke on every point in these crucial verses 2:1-7. See W.M. Ramsay's books (*Was Christ Born at Bethelhem? Luke the Physician. The Bearing of Recent Discovery on the Trustworthiness of the N.T.*).

*The World (teen oikoumeneen)*. Literally,

*the inhabited (land, geen)*. Inhabited by the Greeks, then by the Romans, then the whole world (Roman world, the world ruled by Rome). So  
 ◀️Acts 11:28; 17:6.

*Should be enrolled (apographesthai)*. It was a census, not a taxing, though taxing generally followed and was based on the census. This word is very old and common. It means to write or copy off for the public records, to register.

◀️**Luke 2:2** *The first enrolment (apographee prootee)*. A definite allusion by Luke to a series of censuses instituted by Augustus, the second of which is mentioned by him in ◀️Acts 5:37. This second one is described by Josephus and it was supposed by some that Luke confused the two. But Ramsay has shown that a periodical fourteen-year census in Egypt is given in dated papyri back to A.D. 20. The one in ◀️Acts 5:37 would then be A.D. 6. This is in the time of Augustus. The first would then be B.C. 8 in Egypt. If it was delayed a couple of years in Palestine by Herod the Great for obvious reasons, that would make the birth of Christ about B.C. 6 which agrees with the other known data

*When Quirinius (Kureeniou)*. Genitive absolute. Here again Luke has been attacked on the ground that Quirinius was only governor of Syria once and that was A.D. 6 as shown by Josephus (*Ant. XVIII. I.I*). But Ramsay has proven by inscriptions that Quirinius was twice in Syria and that Luke is correct here also. See summary of the facts in my *Luke the Historian in the Light of Research*, pp. 118-29.

◀◀◀ **Luke 2:3** *Each to his own city* (*hekastos eis teen heautou polin*). A number of papyri in Egypt have the heading enrolment by household (*apographee kat' oikian*). Here again Luke is vindicated. Each man went to the town where his family register was kept.

◀◀◀ **Luke 2:5** *To enrol himself with Mary* (*apograpsasthai sun Mariam*). Direct middle. “With Mary” is naturally taken with the infinitive as here. If so, that means that Mary’s family register was in Bethlehem also and that she also belonged to the house of David. It is possible to connect “with Mary” far back with “went up” (*anebee*) in verse 4, but it is unnatural to do so. There is no real reason for doubting that Mary herself was a descendant of David and that is the obvious way to understand Luke’s genealogy of Jesus in ◀◀◀ Luke 3:23-38). The Syriac Sinaitic expressly says that both Joseph and Mary were of the house and city of David.

*Betrothed* (*emneesteumeneen*). Same verb as in ◀◀◀ Luke 1:27, but here it really means “married” or “espoused” as ◀◀◀ Matthew 1:24f. shows. Otherwise she could not have travelled with Joseph.

*Great with child* (*enkuooi*). Only here in N.T. Common Greek word.

◀◀◀ **Luke 2:6** *That she should be delivered* (*tou tekein auteen*).

*For the bearing the child as to her*. A neat use of the articular infinitive, second aorist active, with the accusative of general reference. From *tiktoo*, common verb.

◀◀◀ **Luke 2:7** *Her firstborn* (*ton prootokon*). The expression naturally means that she afterwards had other children and we read of brothers and sisters of Jesus. There is not a particle of evidence for the notion that Mary refused to bear other children because she was the mother of the Messiah.

*Wrapped in swaddling clothes* (*esparganoosen*). From *sparganon*, a swathing band. Only here and verse 12 in the N.T., but in Euripides, Aristotle, Hippocrates, Plutarch. Frequent in medical works.

*In a manger* (*en phatnee*). In a crib in a stall whether in a cave (Justin Martyr) or connected with the inn we do not know. The cattle may have been out on the hills or the donkeys used in travelling may have been feeding in this stall or another near.

*In the inn (en tooi katalumati)*. A lodging-house or khan, poor enough at best, but there was not even room in this public place because of the crowds for the census. See the word also in <4221> Luke 22:11; <4144> Mark 14:14 with the sense of guest-room (cf. <1013> 1 Kings 1:13). It is the Hellenistic equivalent for *katagoogeion* and appears also in one papyrus. See <1024> Exodus 4:24. There would sometimes be an inner court, a range or arches, an open gallery round the four sides. On one side of the square, outside the wall, would be stables for the asses and camels, buffaloes and goats. Each man had to carry his own food and bedding.

<4185> **Luke 2:8** *Abiding in the field (agraulountes)*. From *agros*, field and *aulee*, court. The shepherds were making the field their court. Plutarch and Strabo use the word.

*Keeping watch (phulassontes phulakas)*. Cognate accusative. They were bivouacking by night and it was plainly mild weather. In these very pastures David had fought the lion and the bear to protect the sheep (<0973> 1 Samuel 17:34f.). The plural here probably means that they watched by turns. The flock may have been meant for the temple sacrifices. There is no way to tell.

<4187> **Luke 2:9** *Stood by them (epestee autois)*. Ingressive aorist active indicative. Stepped by their side. The same word in <4427> Acts 12:7 of the angel there. Paul uses it in the sense of standing by in <4423> Acts 22:20. It is a common old Greek word, *ephistemi*.

*Were sore afraid (ephobeethesasan phobon megan)*. First aorist passive indicative with cognate accusative (the passive sense gone), they feared a great fear.

<4190> **Luke 2:10** *I bring you good tidings of great joy (euaggelizomai humin charan megaleen)*. Wycliff, "I evangelize to you a great joy." The active verb *euaggelizoo* occurs only in late Greek writers, LXX, a few papyri examples, and the N.T. The middle (deponent) appears from Aristophanes on. Luke and Paul employ both substantive *euaggelion* and verb *euaggelizoo* very frequently. It is to Paul's influence that we owe their frequency and popularity in the language of Christendom (George Milligan, *The Epistles to the Thessalonians*, p. 143). The other Gospels do not have the verb save <4115> Matthew 11:5 and that in a quotation (<2610> Isaiah 61:1).

<401> **Luke 2:11** *Is born (etechthee)*. First aorist passive indicative from *tiktoo*. Was born.

*Saviour (sooteer)*. This great word is common in Luke and Paul and seldom elsewhere in the N.T. (Bruce). The people under Rome's rule came to call the emperor "Saviour" and Christians took the word and used it of Christ. See inscriptions (Deissmann, *Light from the Ancient East*, p. 344).

*Christ the Lord (Christos Kurios)*. This combination occurs nowhere else in the N.T. and it is not clear what it really means. Luke is very fond of *Kurios* (

*Lord*) where the other Gospels have Jesus. It may mean "Christ the Lord," "Anointed Lord," "Messiah, Lord," "The Messiah, the Lord," "An Anointed One, a Lord," or "Lord Messiah." It occurs once in the LXX (<200> Lamentations 4:20) and is in Ps. of Sol. 17:36. Ragg suggests that our phrase "the Lord Jesus Christ" is really involved in "A Saviour (Jesus) which is Christ the Lord." See on <400> Matthew 1:1 for Christ and <402> Matthew 21:3 for Lord.

<403> **Luke 2:13** *Host (stratias)*. A military term for a band of soldiers common in the ancient Greek. Bengel says: "Here the army announces peace."

*Praising (ainountoon)*. Construction according to sense (plural, though *stratias* is singular).

<404> **Luke 2:14** *Among men in whom he is well pleased (en anthroopois eudokias)*. The Textus Receptus (Authorized Version also has *eudokia*, but the genitive *eudokias* is undoubtedly correct, supported by the oldest and best uncials. (Aleph, A B D W). C has a lacuna here. Plummer justly notes how in this angelic hymn Glory and Peace correspond, in the highest and on earth, to God and among men of goodwill. It would be possible to connect "on earth" with "the highest" and also to have a triple division. There has been much objection raised to the genitive *eudokias*, the correct text. But it makes perfectly good sense and better sense. As a matter of fact real peace on earth exists only among those who are the subjects of God's goodwill, who are characterized by goodwill toward God and man. This word *eudokia* we have already had in <405> Matthew 11:26. It does not occur in the ancient Greek. The word is confined to Jewish and Christian

writings, though the papyri furnish instances of *eudokeesis*. Wycliff has it “to men of goodwill.”

◀115▶ **Luke 2:15** *Said to one another* (*elaloun pros alleelous*). Imperfect tense, inchoative, “began to speak,” each to the other. It suggests also repetition, they kept saying,

*Now* (*dee*). A particle of urgency.

*This thing* (*to rheema touto*). A Hebraistic and vernacular use of *rheema* (something said) as something done. See on ▶116▶ Luke 1:65. The ancient Greek used *logos* in this same way.

◀116▶ **Luke 2:16** *With haste* (*speusantes*). Aorist active participle of simultaneous action.

*Found* (*aneuran*). Second aorist active indicative of a common Greek verb *aneuriskoo*, but only in Luke in the N.T. The compound *ana* suggests a search before finding.

◀117▶ **Luke 2:17** *Made known* (*egnoorisan*). To others (verse 18) besides Joseph and Mary. The verb is common from Aeschylus on, from the root of *ginooskoo* (to know). It is both transitive and intransitive in the N.T.

◀119▶ **Luke 2:19** *Kept* (*suneteerei*). Imperfect active. She kept on keeping together (*sun-*) all these things. They were meat and drink to her. She was not astonished, but filled with holy awe. The verb occurs from Aristotle on. She could not forget. But did not Mary keep also a Baby Book? And may not Luke have seen it?

*Pondering* (*sunballousa*). An old Greek word. Placing together for comparison. Mary would go over each detail in the words of Gabriel and of the shepherds and compare the sayings with the facts so far developed and brood over it all with a mother’s high hopes and joy.

◀121▶ **Luke 2:21** *His name was called Jesus* (*kai ekleethee to onoma autou Ieesous*). The *kai* is left untranslated or has the sense of “then” in the apodosis. The naming was a part of the ceremony of circumcision as is shown also in the case of John the Baptist (▶115▶ Luke 1:59-66).

◀122▶ **Luke 2:22** *The days of their purification* (*hai heemerai tou katharismou autoon*). The old manuscripts have “their” (*autoon*) instead of

“her” (*autees*) of the later documents. But it is not clear whether “their” refers to Mary and Joseph as is true of “they brought” or to Mary and the child. The mother was Levitically unclean for forty days after the birth of a son (<sup><812></sup>Leviticus 12:1-8).

*To present him to the Lord (parasteesai tooi Kuriooi)*. Every first-born son was thus redeemed by the sacrifice (<sup><1232></sup>Exodus 13:2-12) as a memorial of the sparing of the Israelitish families (<sup><4815></sup>Numbers 18:15f.). The cost was about two dollars and a half in our money.

<sup><1123></sup>**Luke 2:23** *In the law of the Lord (en nomooi Kuriou)*. No articles, but definite by preposition and genitive. Vincent notes that “law” occurs in this chapter five times. Paul (<sup><804></sup>Galatians 4:4) will urge that Jesus “was made under the law” as Luke here explains. The law did not require that the child be brought to Jerusalem. The purification concerned the mother, the presentation the son.

<sup><1124></sup>**Luke 2:24** *A pair of turtledoves, or two young pigeons (Zeugos trugonoon ee duo nossous peristeroon)*. The offspring of the poor, costing about sixteen cents, while a lamb would cost nearly two dollars. The “young of pigeons” is the literal meaning.

<sup><1125></sup>**Luke 2:25** *Devout (eulabees)*. Used only by Luke (<sup><4115></sup>Acts 2:5; 8:2; 22:12) in the N.T. Common in ancient Greek from Plato on. It means taking hold well or carefully (*eu* and *labein*) and so reverently, circumspectly.

*Looking for the consolation of Israel (prosdechomenos parakleesin tou Israel)*. Old Greek verb to admit to one’s presence (<sup><2152></sup>Luke 15:2) and then to expect as here and of Anna in verse 38.

*Parakl<sup>^</sup>sin* here means the Messianic hope (<sup><23110></sup>Isaiah 11:10; 40:1), calling to one’s side for cheer.

*Upon him (ep’ auton)*. This is the explanation of his lively Messianic hope. It was due to the Holy Spirit. Simeon and Anna are representatives of real piety in this time of spiritual dearth and deadness.

<sup><1126></sup>**Luke 2:26** *It had been revealed unto him (een autooi kechreematismenon)*. Periphrastic past perfect passive indicative. Common Greek verb. First to transact business from *chreema* and that from *chraomai*, to use, make use of; then to do business with public officials, to

give advice (judges, rulers, kings), then to get the advice of the Delphic and other oracles (Diodorus, Plutarch). The LXX and Josephus use it of God's commands. A Fayum papyrus of 257 B.C. has the substantive *chreemastismos* for a divine response (cf. <sup><5104></sup>Romans 11:4). See Deissmann, *Light From the Ancient East*, p. 153.

*Before (prin ee)*. Classic Greek idiom after a negative to have subjunctive as here (only example in the N.T.) or the optative after past tense as in <sup><4256></sup>Acts 25:16 (subjunctive changed to optative in indirect discourse). Elsewhere in the N.T. the infinitive follows *prin* as in <sup><4018></sup>Matthew 1:18.

<sup><4077></sup>**Luke 2:27** *When the parents brought in the child Jesus (en tooi eisagagein tous goneis to paidion leesoun)*. A neat Greek and Hebrew idiom difficult to render into English, very common in the LXX;

*In the bringing the Child Jesus as to the parents*. The articular infinitive and two accusatives (one the object, the other accusative of general reference).

*After the custom of the law (kata to eithismenon tou nomou)*. Here the perfect passive participle *eithismenon*, neuter singular from *ethizoo* (common Greek verb, to accustom) is used as a virtual substantive like *to ethos* in 1:8. Luke alone in the N.T. uses either word save *ethos* in <sup><5190></sup>John 19:40, though *eiootha* from *ethoo*, occurs also in <sup><4075></sup>Matthew 27:15; <sup><4101></sup>Mark 10:1.

<sup><4073></sup>**Luke 2:28** *Then he (kai autos)*. *Kai* as in 2:21. *Autos*, emphatic subject, he after the parents.

*Arms (agkalas)*. Old Greek word, here only in the N.T. It means the curve or inner angle of the arm.

<sup><4074></sup>**Luke 2:29** *Now lettest thou (nun apoluéis)*. Present active indicative,

*Thou art letting*. The *Nunc Dimittis*, adoration and praise. It is full of rapture and vivid intensity (Plummer) like the best of the Psalms. The verb *apoluoo* was common for the manumission of slaves and Simeon here calls himself "thy slave (*doulon sou*), Lord (*Despota*, our despot)." See <sup><4012></sup>2 Peter 2:1.

<sup><4023></sup>**Luke 2:31** *Of all the peoples (pantoon toon laoon)*. Not merely Jews. Another illustration of the universality of Luke's Gospel seen already in



1:70 in the hymn of Zacharias. The second strophe of the song according to Plummer showing what the Messiah will be to the world after having shown what the Messiah is to Simeon.

☞ **Luke 2:32** *Revelation to the Gentiles (apokalupsin ethnoon).*

Objective genitive. The Messiah is to be light (*phoos*) for the Gentiles in darkness (☞ Luke 1:70) and glory (*doxa*) for Israel (cf. ☞ Romans 9:1-5; ☞ Isaiah 49:6). The word *ethnos* originally meant just a crowd or company, then a race or nation, then the nations other than Israel (the people, *ho laos*) or the people of God. The word Gentile is Latin from *gens*, a tribe or nation. But the world-wide mission of the Messiah comes out clearly in these early chapters in Luke.

☞ **Luke 2:33** *His father and his mother (ho pateer autou kai hee meeteer).* Luke had already used “parents” in 2:27. He by no means intends to deny the Virgin Birth of Jesus so plainly stated in 1:34-38. He merely employs here the language of ordinary custom. The late MSS. wrongly read “and Joseph” instead of “his father.”

*Were marvelling (een thaumazontes).* The masculine gender includes the feminine when both are referred to. But *een* is singular, not *eesan*, the normal imperfect plural in this periphrastic imperfect. This is due to the wide space between copula and participle. The copula *een* agrees in number with *ho pateer* while the participle coming last agrees with both *ho pater kai hee meeteer* (cf. ☞ Matthew 17:3; 22:40). If one wonders why they marvelled at Simeon’s words after what they had heard from Gabriel, Elisabeth, and the Shepherds, he should bear in mind that every parent is astonished and pleased at the fine things others see in the child. It is a mark of unusual insight for others to see so much that is obvious to the parent. Simeon’s prophecy had gone beyond the angel’s outline and it was surprising that he should know anything about the child’s destiny.

☞ **Luke 2:34** *Is set for the falling and the rising up of many in Israel (Keitai eis ptoosin kai anastasin polloon en tooi Israel).* Present indicative of the old defective verb appearing only in present and imperfect in the N.T. Sometimes it is used as the passive of *titheemi* as here. The falling of some and the rising up of others is what is meant. He will be a stumbling-block to some (☞ Isaiah 8:14; ☞ Matthew 21:42,44; ☞ Romans 9:33; ☞ 1 Peter 2:16f.) who love darkness rather than light (☞ John 3:19), he will be the cause of rising for others (☞ Romans 6:4,9;

⚭Ephesians 2:6). “Judas despairs, Peter repents: one robber blasphemes, the other confesses” (Plummer). Jesus is the magnet of the ages. He draws some, he repels others. This is true of all epoch-making men to some extent.

*Spoken against (antilegomenon)*. Present passive participle, continuous action. It is going on today. Nietzsche regarded Jesus Christ as the curse of the race because he spared the weak.

⚭**Luke 2:35** *A sword (rhomphaia)*. A large sword, properly a long Thracian javelin. It occurs in the LXX of Goliath’s sword (⚭1 Samuel 17:51). How little Mary understood the meaning of Simeon’s words that seemed so out of place in the midst of the glorious things already spoken, a sharp thorn in their roses, a veritable bitter-sweet. But one day Mary will stand by the Cross of Christ with this Thracian javelin clean through her soul, *stabat Mater Dolorosa* (⚭John 19:25). It is only a parenthesis here, and a passing cloud perhaps passed over Mary’s heart already puzzled with rapture and ecstasy.

*May be revealed (apokalupthoosin)*. Unveiled. First aorist passive subjunctive after *hopoos an* and expresses God’s purpose in the mission of the Messiah. He is to test men’s thoughts (*dialogismo*) and purposes. They will be compelled to take a stand for Christ or against him. That is true today.

⚭**Luke 2:36** *One Anna a prophetess (Hanna propheetis)*. The word *propheetis* occurs in the N.T. only here and ⚭Revelation 2:20. In old Greek writers it means a woman who interprets oracles. The long parenthesis into verse 37 tells of her great age. Montefiore makes it 106 as she was 15 when married, married 7 years, a widow 84.

⚭**Luke 2:37** *Which departed not (hee ouk ahistato)*. Imperfect indicative middle. She kept on not leaving. The Spirit kept her in the temple as he led Simon to the temple (Plummer). The case of “the temple” (*tou hierou*) is ablative.

*Night and day (nukta kai heemeran)*. Accusative of duration of time, all night and all day. She never missed a service in the temple.

⚭**Luke 2:38** *Coming up (epistfsa)*. Second aorist active participle. The word often has the notion of coming suddenly or bursting in as of Martha

in <sup><100></sup>Luke 10:40. But here it probably means coming up and standing by and so hearing Simeon's wonderful words so that her words form a kind of footnote to his.

*Gave thanks* (*anthoomologeito*). Imperfect middle of a verb (*anthomologeoo*) in common use in Greek writers and in the LXX though here alone in the N.T. It had the idea of a mutual agreement or of saying something before one (*anti*). Anna was evidently deeply moved and repeated her thanksgiving and kept speaking (*elalei*, imperfect again) “to all them that were looking for (*prosdechomenois*, as in <sup><1015></sup>Luke 1:35 of Simeon) the redemption of Jerusalem (*lutroosin Ierousaleem*).” There was evidently a group of such spirits that gathered in the temple either men around her and Simeon or whom she met from time to time. There was thus a nucleus of old saints in Jerusalem prepared for the coming of the Messiah when he at last appears as the Messiah in Jerusalem (John 2 and 3). These probably all passed away. But they had a happy hour of hope and joy. The late MSS. have “in Jerusalem” but “of Jerusalem” is correct. What they meant by the “redemption of Jerusalem” is not clear, whether political or spiritual or both. Simeon was looking for the consolation of Israel (<sup><1025></sup>Luke 2:25) and Zacharias (<sup><1016></sup>Luke 1:68) sang of redemption for Israel (<sup><2301></sup>Isaiah 40:2).

<sup><1029></sup>**Luke 2:39** *To their own city Nazareth* (*eis polin heautoon Nazaret*). See on <sup><1023></sup>Matthew 2:23 about Nazareth. Luke tells nothing of the flight to Egypt and the reason for the return to Nazareth instead of Bethlehem, the place of the birth of Jesus as told in <sup><1013></sup>Matthew 2:13-23. But then neither Gospel gives all the details of this period. Luke has also nothing about the visit of the wise men (<sup><1011></sup>Matthew 2:1-12) as Matthew tells nothing of the shepherds and of Simeon and Anna (<sup><1018></sup>Luke 2:8-28). The two Gospels supplement each other.

<sup><1010></sup>**Luke 2:40** *The child grew* (*eeuxane*). Imperfect indicative of a very ancient verb (*auxanoo*). This child grew and waxed strong (*ekrataiouto*, imperfect middle), a hearty vigorous little boy (*paidion*). Both verbs Luke used in 1:80 of the growth of John the Baptist as a child. Then he used also *pneumati*, in spirit. Here in addition to the bodily development Luke has “filled with wisdom” (*pleeroumenon sophifi*). Present passive participle, showing that the process of filling with wisdom kept pace with the bodily growth. If it were only always true with others! We need not be troubled over this growth in wisdom on the part of Jesus any more than over his

bodily growth. “The intellectual, moral, and spiritual growth of the Child, like the physical, was real. His was a perfect humanity developing perfectly, unimpeded by hereditary or acquired defects. It was the first instance of such a growth in history. For the first time a human infant was realizing the ideal of humanity” (Plummer).

*The grace of God (charis theou).* In full measure.

◀194▶ **Luke 2:41** *Every year (kat’ etos).* This idiom only here in the N.T., a common Greek construction. Every male was originally expected to appear at the passover, pentecost, and tabernacles (◀1234▶ Exodus 23:14-17; 34:23; ▶5166▶ Deuteronomy 16:16). But the Dispersion rendered that impossible. But pious Palestinian Jews made a point of going at least to the passover. Mary went with Joseph as a pious habit, though not required by law to go.

◀195▶ **Luke 2:42** *Twelve years old (etoon doodeka).* Predicate genitive. Luke does not say that Jesus had not been to Jerusalem before, but at twelve a Jewish boy became a “son of the law” and began to observe the ordinances, putting on the phylacteries as a reminder.

*They went up (anabainontoon autoon).* Genitive absolute with present active participle, a loose construction here, for the incident narrated took place *after* they had gone up, not *while* they were going up.

“On their usual going up” (Plummer).

◀196▶ **Luke 2:43** *When they had fulfilled the days (teleioosantoon tas heemeras).* Genitive absolute again, but aorist participle (effective aorist). “The days” may mean the full seven days (◀1215▶ Exodus 12:15f.; ▶1236▶ Leviticus 23:6-8; ▶5167▶ Deuteronomy 16:3), or the two chief days after which many pilgrims left for home.

*As they were returning (en tooi hupostrephein antous).* The articular infinitive with *en*, a construction that Luke often uses (◀1121▶ Luke 1:21; 2:27).

*The boy, Jesus (Ieesous ho pais).* More exactly, “Jesus the boy.” In verse 40 it was “the child” (“*to paidion*”), here it is “the boy” (*ho pais*, no longer the diminutive form). It was not disobedience on the part of “the boy” that made him remain behind, but intense interest in the services of the temple; “involuntary preoccupation” (Bruce) held him fast.

◀◀44▶▶ **Luke 2:44** *In the company* (*en teei sunodifi*). The caravan going together on the road or way (*sun, hodos*), a journey in company, then by metonymy the company itself. A common Greek word (Plutarch, Strabo, etc.). The women usually went ahead and the men followed. Joseph may have thought Jesus was with Mary and Mary that he was with Joseph.

“The Nazareth caravan was so long that it took a whole day to look through it” (Plummer).

*They sought for him* (*anezeetoun auton*). Imperfect active. Common Greek verb. Note force of *ana*. They searched up and down, back and forth, a thorough search and prolonged, but in vain.

◀◀45▶▶ **Luke 2:45** *Seeking for him* (*anazeetountes auton*). Present participle of the same verb. This was all that was worth while now, finding the lost boy.

◀◀46▶▶ **Luke 2:46** *After three days* (*meta heemeras treis*). One day out, one day back, and on the third day finding him.

*In the temple* (*en tooi hierooi*). Probably on the terrace where members of the Sanhedrin gave public instruction on sabbaths and feast-days, so probably while the feast was still going on. The rabbis probably sat on benches in a circle. The listeners on the ground, among whom was Jesus the boy in a rapture of interest.

*Both hearing them and asking them questions* (*kai akouonta autoon kai eperootoonta autous*). Paul sat at the feet of Gamaliel (◀◀4218▶▶ Acts 22:3). Picture this eager boy alive with interest. It was his one opportunity in a theological school outside of the synagogue to hear the great rabbis expound the problems of life. This was the most unusual of all children, to be sure, in intellectual grasp and power. But it is a mistake to think that children of twelve do not think profoundly concerning the issues of life. What father or mother has ever been able to answer a child's questions?

◀◀4197▶▶ **Luke 2:47** *Were amazed* (*existanto*). Imperfect indicative middle, descriptive of their continued and repeated astonishment. Common verb *existeemi* meaning that they stood out of themselves as if their eyes were bulging out. The boy had a holy thirst for knowledge (Plummer), and he used a boy's way of learning.

*At his understanding* (*epi teei sunesei*). Based on (*epi*), the grasp and comprehension from *sunieemi*, comparing and combining things. Cf.

◀1123▶ Mark 12:33.

*His answers* (*tais apokrisesisin autou*). It is not difficult to ask hard questions, but this boy had astounding answers to their questions, revealing his amazing intellectual and spiritual growth.

◀1123▶ **Luke 2:48** *They were astonished* (*exeplogeesan*). Second aorist passive indicative of an old Greek word (*ekpleessoo*), to strike out, drive out by a blow. Joseph and Mary “were struck out” by what they saw and heard. Even they had not fully realized the power in this wonderful boy. Parents often fail to perceive the wealth of nature in their children.

◀1123▶ **Luke 2:49** *Son* (*teknon*). Child, literally. It was natural for Mary to be the first to speak.

*Why* (*Ti*). The mother’s reproach of the boy is followed by a confession of negligence on her part and of Joseph (*sorrowing, odunoomenoi*).

*Thy father* (*ho pater sou*). No contradiction in this. Alford says: “Up to this time Joseph had been so called by the holy child himself, but from this time never.”

*Sought* (*ezeetoumen*). Imperfect tense describing the long drawn out search for three days.

*How is it that* (*Ti hoti*). The first words of Jesus preserved to us. This crisp Greek idiom without copula expresses the boy’s amazement that his parents should not know that there was only one possible place in Jerusalem for him.

*I must be* (*dei einai me*). Messianic consciousness of the necessity laid on him. Jesus often uses *dei* (must) about his work. Of all the golden dreams of any boy of twelve here is the greatest.

*In my Father’s house* (*en tois tou patros mou*). Not “about my Father’s business,” but “in my Father’s house” (cf. ◀0451▶ Genesis 41:51). Common Greek idiom. And note “my,” not “our.” When the boy first became conscious of his peculiar relation to the Father in heaven we do not know. But he has it now at twelve and it will grow within him through the years ahead in Nazareth.

<4125> **Luke 2:50** *They understood not (ou suneekan)*. First aorist active indicative (one of the k aorists). Even Mary with all her previous preparation and brooding was not equal to the dawning of the Messianic consciousness in her boy. “My Father is God,” Jesus had virtually said, “and I must be in His house.” Bruce observes that a new era has come when Jesus calls God “Father,” not *Despotes*. “Even we do not yet fully understand” (Bruce) what Jesus the boy here said.

<4125> **Luke 2:51** *He was subject unto them (een hupotassomenos autois)*. Periphrastic imperfect passive. He continued subject unto them, this wondrous boy who really knew more than parents and rabbis, this gentle, obedient, affectionate boy. The next eighteen years at Nazareth (<4125> Luke 3:23) he remained growing into manhood and becoming the carpenter of Nazareth (<4125> Mark 6:3) in succession to Joseph (<4125> Matthew 13:55) who is mentioned here for the last time. Who can tell the wistful days when Jesus waited at Nazareth for the Father to call him to his Messianic task?

*Kept (dieteerei)*. Imperfect active. Ancient Greek word (*diateereo*), but only here and <4125> Acts 15:29 in the N.T. though in <4125> Genesis 37:11. She kept thoroughly (*dia*) all these recent sayings (or things, *rheemata*). In <4125> Luke 2:19 *suneteerei* is the word used of Mary after the shepherds left. These she kept pondering and comparing all the things. Surely she has a full heart now. Could she foresee how destiny would take Jesus out beyond her mother’s reach?

<4125> **Luke 2:52** *Advanced in wisdom and stature (proekopten teei sophifi kai heelikifi)*. Imperfect active, he kept cutting his way forward as through a forest or jungle as pioneers did. He kept growing in stature (*heelikia* may mean age, as in <4125> Luke 12:25, but stature here) and in wisdom (more than mere knowledge). His physical, intellectual, moral, spiritual development was perfect. “At each stage he was perfect for that stage” (Plummer).

*In favour (chariti)*. Or grace. This is ideal manhood to have the favour of God and men.



## CHAPTER 3

ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ **Luke 3:1** *Now in the fifteenth year (en etei de pentekaidekatooi).*

Tiberius Caesar was ruler in the provinces two years before Augustus Caesar died. Luke makes a six-fold attempt here to indicate the time when John the Baptist began his ministry. John revived the function of the prophet (*Ecce Homo*, p. 2) and it was a momentous event after centuries of prophetic silence. Luke begins with the Roman Emperor, then mentions Pontius Pilate Procurator of Judea, Herod Antipas Tetrarch of Galilee (and Perea), Philip, Tetrarch of Iturea and Trachonitis, Lysanias, Tetrarch of Abilene (all with the genitive absolute construction) and concludes with the high-priesthood of Annas and Caiaphas (son-in-law and successor of Annas). The ancients did not have our modern system of chronology, the names of rulers as here being the common way. Objection has been made to the mention of Lysanias here because Josephus (*Ant.* XXVII. I) tells of a Lysanias who was King of Abila up to B.C. 36 as the one referred to by Luke with the wrong date. But an inscription has been found on the site of Abilene with mention of “Lysanias the tetrarch” and at the time to which Luke refers (see my *Luke the Historian in the Light of Research*, pp. 167f.). So Luke is vindicated again by the rocks.

ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ **Luke 3:2** *The Word of God came unto John (egeneto rheema theou epi Iooaneen).* The great epoch marked by *egeneto* rather than *een*.

*Rheema theou* is some particular utterance of God (Plummer), common in LXX, here alone in the N.T. Then John is introduced as the son of Zacharias according to Chapter 1. Matthew describes him as the Baptist, Mark as the Baptizer. No other Gospel mentions Zacharias. Mark begins his Gospel here, but Matthew and Luke have two Infancy Chapters before. Luke alone tells of the coming of the word to John. All three Synoptics locate him “in the wilderness” (*en teei ereemooi*) as here, ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ Mark 1:4; ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ Matthew 3:1 (adding “of Judea”).

ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ **Luke 3:3** *All the region round about Jordan (pfsan perichooron tou Iordanou).* The wilderness was John’s abode (ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ Luke 1:80) so that he began preaching where he was. It was the plain (ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ Genesis 13:10f.) or valley of the Jordan, El Ghor, as far north as Succoth (ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ 2 Chronicles 4:17). Sometimes he was on the eastern bank of the Jordan (ⲀⲓⲢⲏⲗ John



10:40), though usually on the west side. His baptizing kept him near the river.

*The baptism of repentance unto remission of sins* (*baptisma metanoias eis aphasis hamartioon*). The same phrase as in <sup><ARB></sup>Mark 1:4, which see for discussion of these important words. The word remission (*aphesis*) “occurs in Luke more frequently than in all the other New Testament writers combined” (Vincent). In medical writers it is used for the relaxing of disease.

<sup><ARB></sup>**Luke 3:4** *As it is written* (*hoos gegraptai*). The regular formula for quotation, perfect passive indicative of *graphoo*.

*Isaiah the prophet* (*Esaiou tou propheetou*). The same phrase in <sup><ARB></sup>Mark 1:2 (correct text) and <sup><ARB></sup>Matthew 3:3. Mark, as we have seen, adds a quotation from <sup><ARB></sup>Malachi 3:1 and Luke gives verses 4 and 5 of Isaiah 40 not in Matthew or Mark (<sup><ARB></sup>Luke 3:5,6). See <sup><ARB></sup>Matthew 3:3; <sup><ARB></sup>Mark 1:3 for discussion of <sup><ARB></sup>Luke 4:4.

<sup><ARB></sup>**Luke 3:5** *Valley* (*pharagx*). Here only in the N.T., though in the LXX and ancient Greek. It is a ravine or valley hedged in by precipices.

*Shall be filled* (*pleerootheesetai*). Future passive indicative of *pleeroo*. In 1845 when the Sultan visited Brusa the inhabitants were called out to clear the roads of rocks and to fill up the hollows. Oriental monarchs often did this very thing. A royal courier would go ahead to issue the call. So the Messiah sends his herald (John) before him to prepare the way for him. Isaiah described the preparation for the Lord’s triumphal march and John used it with great force.

*Hill* (*bounos*). Called a Cyrenaic word by Herodotus, but later Greek writers use it as does the LXX.

*Brought low* (*tapeinootheesetai*). Future passive indicative of *tapeinoo*. Literal meaning here of a verb common in the metaphorical sense.

*Crooked* (*skolia*). Common word, curved, opposite of *orthos* or *euthus*, straight.

<sup><ARB></sup>**Luke 3:6** *All flesh* (*pfsa sarx*). Used in the N.T. of the human race alone, though in the LXX brutes are included.

*The salvation of God (to soteirion tou theou).* The saving act of God. This phrase aptly describes Luke's Gospel which has in mind the message of Christ for all men. It is the universal Gospel.

◀OR▶ **Luke 3:7** *To the multitude that went out (tois exporeuomenois ochlois).* Plural,

*Multitudes.* The present participle also notes the repetition of the crowds as does *elegen* (imperfect), he used to say. ▶OR▶ Matthew 3:7-10 singles out the message of John to the Pharisees and Sadducees, which see for discussion of details. Luke gives a summary of his preaching to the crowds with special replies to these inquiries: the multitudes, 10,11, the publicans 12,13, the soldiers 14.

*To be baptized of him (baptistheenai hup' autou).* This is the purpose of their coming. ▶OR▶ Matthew 3:7 has simply "to his baptism." John's metaphors are from the wilderness (vipers, fruits, axe, slave boy loosing sandals, fire, fan, thrashing-floor, garner, chaff, stones).

*Who warned you? (tis hepedeixen humin;).* The verb is like our "suggest" by proof to eye, ear, or brain (▶OR▶ Luke 6:47; 12:5; ▶OR▶ Acts 9:16; 20:35; ▶OR▶ Matthew 3:7). Nowhere else in the N.T. though common ancient word (*hupodeiknumi*, show under, point out, give a tip or private hint).

◀OR▶ **Luke 3:10** *Asked (epeerootoon).* Imperfect tense, repeatedly asked.

*What then must we do? (ti oun poieesoomen;).* Deliberative aorist subjunctive. More exactly,

*What then are we to do,*

*What then shall we do?* Same construction in verses 12,14. The *oun* refers to the severe things already said by John (▶OR▶ Luke 3:7-9).

◀OR▶ **Luke 3:11** *Coats (chitooonas).* The inner and less necessary undergarment. The outer indispensable *himation* is not mentioned. Note the specific and different message to each class. John puts his finger on the weaknesses of the people right before him.

◀OR▶ **Luke 3:12** *Also publicans (kai teloonai).* We have had the word already in Matthew (▶OR▶ Matthew 5:46; 9:10; 11:19; 18:17; 21:31f.) and Mark (▶OR▶ Mark 11:15f.). It is sometimes coupled with harlots and other sinners, the outcasts of society. The word is made up from *telos*, tax, and

*ooneomai*, to buy, and is an old one. The renter or collector of taxes was not popular anywhere, but least of all when a Jew collected taxes for the Romans and did it by terrible graft and extortions.

*Extort* (*prassete*). The verb means only to do or practice, but early the tax-collectors learned how to “do” the public as regular “blood-suckers.” Lucian links them with crows and sycophants.

~~Q84~~ **Luke 3:14** *Soldiers also* (*kai strateuomenoi*). Men on service, *militantes* rather than *milites* (Plummer). So Paul in ~~Q84~~ 2 Timothy 2:4. An old word like *stratiootees*, soldier. Some of these soldiers acted as police to help the publicans. But they were often rough and cruel.

*Do violence to no man* (*meedena diaseiseete*). Here only in the N.T., but in the LXX and common in ancient Greek. It means to shake (seismic disturbance, earthquake) thoroughly (*dia*) and so thoroughly to terrify, to extort money or property by intimidating (3 Macc. 7:21). The Latin employs *concutere*, so. It was a process of blackmail to which Socrates refers (Xenophon, *Memorabilia*, ii. 9,1). This was a constant temptation to soldiers. Might does not make right with Jesus.

*Neither exact anything wrongfully* (*meede sukophanteeseete*). In Athens those whose business it was to inform against any one whom they might find exporting figs out of Attica were called fig-showers or sycophants (*sukophantai*). From *sukon*, fig, and *phainoo*, show. Some modern scholars reject this explanation since no actual examples of the word meaning merely a fig-shower have been found. But without this view it is all conjectural. From the time of Aristophanes on it was used for any malignant informer or calumniator. These soldiers were tempted to obtain money by informing against the rich, blackmail again. So the word comes to mean to accuse falsely. The sycophants came to be a regular class of informers or slanderers in Athens. Socrates is quoted by Xenophon as actually advising Crito to employ one in self-defence, like the modern way of using one gunman against another. Demosthenes pictures a sycophant as one who “glides about the market like a scorpion, with his venomous sting all ready, spying out whom he may surprise with misfortune and ruin and from whom he can most easily extort money, by threatening him with an action dangerous in its consequences” (quoted by Vincent). The word occurs only in Luke in the N.T., here and in ~~Q88~~ Luke 19:8 in the confession of Zaccheus. It occurs in the LXX and often in the old Greek.

*Be content with your wages* (*arkeisthe tois opsooniois humoon*).

Discontent with wages was a complaint of mercenary soldiers. This word for wages was originally anything cooked (*opson*, cooked food), and bought (from *ooneomai*, to buy). Hence, “rations,” “pay,” wages.

*Opsarion*, diminutive of *opson*, was anything eaten with bread like broiled fish. So *opsoonion* comes to mean whatever is bought to be eaten with bread and then a soldier’s pay or allowance (Polybius, and other late Greek writers) as in <sup><400></sup>1 Corinthians 9:7. Paul uses the singular of a preacher’s pay (<sup><410></sup>2 Corinthians 11:8) and the plural of the wages of sin (<sup><412></sup>Romans 6:23) = death (death is the diet of sin).

<sup><415></sup>**Luke 3:15** *Were in expectation* (*prosdokoontos*). Genitive absolute of this striking verb already seen in 1:21.

*Reasoned* (*dialogizomenoon*). Genitive absolute again. John’s preaching about the Messiah and the kingdom of God stirred the people deeply and set them to wondering.

*Whether haply he were the Christ* (*meepote autos eiee ho Christos*).

Optative *eiee* in indirect question changed from the indicative in the direct (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1031). John wrought no miracles and was not in David’s line and yet he moved people so mightily that they began to suspect that he himself (*autos*) was the Messiah. The Sanhedrin will one day send a formal committee to ask him this direct question (<sup><419></sup>John 1:19).

<sup><416></sup>**Luke 3:16** *He that is mightier than I* (*ho ischuroteros mou*). Like

<sup><400></sup>Mark 1:7, “the one mightier than I.” Ablative case (*mou*) of comparison. John would not turn aside for the flattery of the crowd. He was able to take his own measure in comparison with the Messiah and was loyal to him (see my *John the Loyal*). Compare <sup><416></sup>Luke 3:16 with <sup><400></sup>Mark 1:7f. and <sup><411></sup>Matthew 3:11f. for discussion of details. Luke has “fire” here after “baptize with the Holy Ghost” as <sup><411></sup>Matthew 3:11, which see. This bold Messianic picture in the Synoptic Gospels shows that John saw the Messiah’s coming as a judgment upon the world like fire and the fan of the thrashing-floor, and with unquenchable fire for the chaff (<sup><417></sup>Luke 3:17; <sup><412></sup>Matthew 3:12). But he had the spiritual conception also, the baptism in the Holy Spirit which will characterize the Messiah’s Mission and so will far transcend the water baptism which marked the ministry of John.

◀418▶ **Luke 3:18** *Many other exhortations* (*polla men oun kai hetera*).

Literally, many and different things did John *evangelize*, *euaggelizeto*, to the people. Luke has given a bare sample of the wonderful messages of the Baptist. Few as his words preserved are they give a definite and powerful conception of his preaching.

◀419▶ **Luke 3:19** *Reproved* (*elegchomenos*). Present passive participle of *elegchoo*, an old verb meaning in Homer to treat with contempt, then to convict (◀4185▶ Matthew 18:15), to expose (◀4151▶ Ephesians 5:11), to reprove as here. The substantive *elegchos* means proof (◀5101▶ Hebrews 11:1) and *elegmos*, censure (◀5162▶ 2 Timothy 3:16). Josephus (*Ant.* XVIII. V.4) shows how repulsive this marriage was to Jewish feeling.

*Evil things* (*poneeroon*). Incorporated into the relative sentence. The word is from *ponos*, *poneoo*, toil, work, and gives the active side of evil, possibly with the notion of work itself as evil or at least an annoyance. The “evil eye” (*ophthalmos poneeros* in ◀4172▶ Mark 7:22) was a “mischief working eye” (Vincent). In ◀4153▶ Matthew 6:23 it is a diseased eye. So Satan is “the evil one” (◀4157▶ Matthew 5:37; 6:13, etc.). It is a very common adjective in the N.T. as in the older Greek.

*Had done* (*epoieesen*). Aorist active indicative, not past perfect, merely a summary constative aorist, *he did*.

◀4191▶ **Luke 3:20** *Added* (*prosetheeken*). First aorist active indicative (kappa aorist). Common verb (*prostitheemi*) in all Greek. In N.T. chiefly in Luke and Acts. Hippocrates used it of applying wet sponges to the head and Galen of applying a decoction of acorns. There is no evidence that Luke has a medical turn to the word here. The absence of the conjunction *hoti* (that) before the next verb *katekleisen* (shut up) is asyndeton. This verb literally means

*shut down*, possibly with a reference to closing down the door of the dungeon, though it makes sense as a perfective use of the preposition, like our “shut up” without a strict regard to the idea of “down.” It is an old and common verb, though here and ◀4150▶ Acts 26:10 only in the N.T. See ◀4143▶ Matthew 14:3 for further statement about the prison.

◀4192▶ **Luke 3:21** *When all the people were baptised* (*en tooi baptistheenai hapanta ton laon*). The use of the articular aorist infinitive here with *en* bothers some grammarians and commentators. There is no element of time

in the aorist infinitive. It is simply punctiliar action, literally “in the being baptized as to all the people.” Luke does not say that all the people were baptized before Jesus came or were baptized at the same time. It is merely a general statement that Jesus was baptized in connexion with or at the time of the baptizing of the people as a whole.

*Jesus also having been baptized (kai Ieesou baptisthentos).* Genitive absolute construction, first aorist passive participle. In Luke’s sentence the baptism of Jesus is merely introductory to the descent of the Holy Spirit and the voice of the Father. For the narrative of the baptism see <sup><4000></sup>Mark 1:9; <sup><4183></sup>Matthew 3:13-16.

*And praying (kai proseuchomenou).* Alone in Luke who so often mentions the praying of Jesus. Present participle and so naturally meaning that the heaven was opened while Jesus was praying though not necessarily in answer to his prayer.

*The heaven was opened (aneoichtheenai ton ouranon).* First aorist passive infinitive with double augment, whereas the infinitive is not supposed to have any augment. The regular form would be *anoichtheenai* as in D (Codex Bezae). So the augment appears in the future indicative *kateaxei* (<sup><4120></sup>Matthew 12:20) and the second aorist passive subjunctive *kateagoosin* (<sup><4381></sup>John 19:31). Such unusual forms appear in the *Koin.*,. This infinitive here with the accusative of general reference is the subject of *egeneto* (it came to pass). <sup><4186></sup>Matthew 3:16 uses the same verb, but <sup><4010></sup>Mark 1:10 has *schizomenous*, rent asunder.

<sup><4182></sup>**Luke 3:22 Descended (katabeenai).** Same construction as the preceding infinitive.

*The Holy Ghost (to pneuma to hagion).* The Holy Spirit. <sup><4010></sup>Mark 1:10 has merely the Spirit (*to pneuma*) while <sup><4186></sup>Matthew 3:16 has the Spirit of God (*pneuma theou*).

*In a bodily form (soomatikooi eidei).* Alone in Luke who has also “as a dove” (*hoos peristeran*) like Matthew and Mark. This probably means that the Baptist saw the vision that looked like a dove. Nothing is gained by denying the fact or possibility of the vision that looked like a dove. God manifests his power as he will. The symbolism of the dove for the Holy Spirit is intelligible. We are not to understand that this was the beginning of the Incarnation of Christ as the Cerinthian Gnostics held. But this fresh

influx of the Holy Spirit may have deepened the Messianic consciousness of Jesus and certainly revealed him to the Baptist as God's Son.

*And a voice came out of heaven (kai phooneen ex ouranou genesthai).* Same construction of infinitive with accusative of general reference. The voice of the Father to the Son is given here as in <sup><4011></sup>Mark 1:11, which see, and <sup><4017></sup>Matthew 3:17 for discussion of the variation there. The Trinity here manifest themselves at the baptism of Jesus which constitutes the formal entrance of Jesus upon his Messianic ministry. He enters upon it with the Father's blessing and approval and with the power of the Holy Spirit upon him. The deity of Christ here appears in plain form in the Synoptic Gospels. The consciousness of Christ is as clear on this point here as in the Gospel of John where the Baptist describes him after his baptism as the Son of God (<sup><4033></sup>John 1:34).

<sup><4023></sup>**Luke 3:23** *Jesus Himself (autos Iesus).* Emphatic intensive pronoun calling attention to the personality of Jesus at this juncture. When he entered upon his Messianic work.

*When he began to teach (archomenos).* The words "to teach" are not in the Greek text. The Authorized Version "began to be about thirty years of age," is an impossible translation. The Revised Version rightly supplies "to teach" (*didaskein*) after the present participle *archomenos*. Either the infinitive or the participle can follow *archomai*, usually the infinitive in the *Koin*. It is not necessary to supply anything (<sup><4022></sup>Acts 1:22).

*Was about thirty years of age (een hoosei etoon triakonta).* Tyndale has it right "Jesus was about thirty yere of age when he beganne." Luke does not commit himself definitely to precisely thirty years as the age of Christ. The Levites entered upon full service at that age, but that proves nothing about Jesus. God's prophets enter upon their task when the word of God comes to them. Jesus may have been a few months under or over thirty or a year or two less or more.

*Being Son (as was supposed) of Joseph, the son of Heli (oon huios hoos enomizeto Iooseph tou Helei).* For the discussion of the genealogy of Jesus see on <sup><4001></sup>Matthew 1:1-17. The two genealogies differ very widely and many theories have been proposed about them. At once one notices that Luke begins with Jesus and goes back to Adam, the Son of God, while Matthew begins with Abraham and comes to



“Joseph the husband of Mary of whom was born Jesus who is called Christ” (<sup><016</sup>Matthew 1:16).

Matthew employs the word “begot” each time, while Luke has the article *tou* repeating *huiou* (Son) except before Joseph. They agree in the mention of Joseph, but Matthew says that “Jacob begat Joseph” while Luke calls “Joseph the son of Heli.” There are other differences, but this one makes one pause. Joseph, of course, did not have two fathers. If we understand Luke to be giving the real genealogy of Jesus through Mary, the matter is simple enough. The two genealogies differ from Joseph to David except in the cases of Zorobabel and Salathiel. Luke evidently means to suggest something unusual in his genealogy by the use of the phrase “as was supposed” (*hoos enomizeto*). His own narrative in <sup><026</sup>Luke 1:26-38 has shown that Joseph was not the actual father of Jesus. Plummer objects that, if Luke is giving the genealogy of Jesus through Mary, *huios* must be used in two senses here (son as was supposed of Joseph, and grandson through Mary of Heli). But that is not an unheard of thing. In neither list does Matthew or Luke give a complete genealogy. Just as Matthew uses “begat” for descent, so does Luke employ “son” in the same way for descendant. It was natural for Matthew, writing for Jews, to give the legal genealogy through Joseph, though he took pains to show in <sup><016</sup>Matthew 1:16,18-25 that Joseph was not the actual father of Jesus. It was equally natural for Luke, a Greek himself and writing for the whole world, to give the actual genealogy of Jesus through Mary. It is in harmony with Pauline universality (Plummer) that Luke carries the genealogy back to Adam and does not stop with Abraham. It is not clear why Luke adds “the Son of God” after Adam (<sup><038</sup>Luke 3:38). Certainly he does not mean that Jesus is the Son of God only in the sense that Adam is. Possibly he wishes to dispose of the heathen myths about the origin of man and to show that God is the Creator of the whole human race, Father of all men in that sense. No mere animal origin of man is in harmony with this conception.



## CHAPTER 4

**☞ Luke 4:1** *Full of the Holy Spirit* (*pleerees pneumatōs hagiou*). An evident allusion to the descent of the Holy Spirit on Jesus at his baptism (☞ Luke 3:21f.). The distinctness of the Persons in the Trinity is shown there, but with evident unity. One recalls also Luke's account of the overshadowing of Mary by the Holy Spirit (☞ Luke 1:35). ☞ Matthew 4:1 says that "Jesus was led of the Spirit" while ☞ Mark 1:12 states that "the Spirit driveth him forth" which see for discussion.

"Jesus had been endowed with supernatural power; and He was tempted to make use of it in furthering his own interests without regard to the Father's will" (Plummer).

*Was led by the Spirit* (*eegeto en toi pneumatī*). Imperfect passive, continuously led. *En* may be the instrumental use as often, for ☞ Matthew 4:1 has here *hupo* of direct agency. But Matthew has the aorist passive *aneechthee* which may be ingressive as he has *eis teen ereemon* (into the wilderness) while Luke has *en tooi eremooi* (in the wilderness). At any rate Luke affirms that Jesus was now continuously under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. Hence in this same sentence he mentions the Spirit twice.

*During the forty days* (*heemerfs tesserakonta*). Accusative of duration of time, to be connected with "led" not with "tempted." He was led in the Spirit during these forty days (cf. ☞ Deuteronomy 8:2, forty years). The words are amphibolous also in ☞ Mark 1:13. ☞ Matthew 4:2 seems to imply that the three recorded temptations came at the close of the fasting for forty days. That can be true and yet what Luke states be true also. These three may be merely specimens and so "representative of the struggle which continued throughout the whole period" (Plummer).

**☞ Luke 4:2** *Being tempted* (*peirazomenos*). Present passive participle and naturally parallel with the imperfect passive *eegeto* (was led) in verse 1. This is another instance of poor verse division which should have come at the end of the sentence. See on ☞ Matthew 4:1; ☞ Mark 1:13 for the words "tempt" and "devil." The devil challenged the Son of man though also the Son of God. It was a contest between Jesus, full of the Holy Spirit, and the slanderer of men. The devil had won with Adam and Eve. He has hopes of triumph over Jesus. The story of this conflict is given only in

◀1001▶ Matthew 4:1-11; ▶1001▶ Luke 4:1-13. There is a mere mention of it in ▶1002▶ Mark 1:12f. So then here is a specimen of the Logia of Jesus (Q), a non-Markan portion of Matthew and Luke, the earliest document about Christ. The narrative could come ultimately only from Christ himself. It is noteworthy that it bears all the marks of the high conception of Jesus as the Son of God found in the Gospel of John and in Paul and Hebrews, the rest of the New Testament in fact, for Mark, Matthew, Luke, Acts, Peter, and Jude follow in this same strain. The point is that modern criticism has revealed the Messianic consciousness of Jesus as God's Son at his Baptism and in his Temptations at the very beginning of his ministry and in the oldest known documents about Christ (The Logia, Mark's Gospel).

*He did eat nothing* (*ouk ephagen ouden*). Second aorist (constative) active indicative of the defective verb *esthioo*. Mark does not give the fast.

◀1002▶ Matthew 4:2 has the aorist active participle *neesteusas* which usually means a religious fast for purposes of devotion. That idea is not excluded by Luke's words. The entrance of Jesus upon his Messianic ministry was a fit time for this solemn and intense consecration. This mental and spiritual strain would naturally take away the appetite and there was probably nothing at hand to eat. The weakness from the absence of food gave the devil his special opportunity to tempt Jesus which he promptly seized.

*When they were completed* (*suntelestheisoon autoon*). Genitive absolute with the first aorist passive participle feminine plural because *hemeroon* (days) is feminine. According to Luke the hunger (*epeinasen*, became hungry, ingressive aorist active indicative) came at the close of the forty days as in ▶1002▶ Matthew 4:2.

◀1003▶ **Luke 4:3** *The Son of God* (*huios tou theou*). No article as in

◀1003▶ Matthew 4:3. So refers to the relationship as Son of God rather than to the office of Messiah. Manifest reference to the words of the Father in

◀1002▶ Luke 3:22. Condition of the first class as in Matthew. The devil assumes that Jesus is Son of God.

*This stone* (*tooi lithooi toutooi*). Perhaps pointing to a particular round stone that looked in shape and size like a loaf of bread. Stanley (*Sinai and Palestine*, p. 154) on Mt. Carmel found crystallizations of stones called "Elijah's melons." The hunger of Jesus opened the way for the diabolic suggestion designed to inspire doubt in Jesus toward his Father. Matthew has "these stones."

*Bread* (*artos*). Better “loaf.” For discussion of this first temptation see on <sup><QHB></sup>Matthew 4:3f. Jesus felt the force of each of the temptations without yielding at all to the sin involved. See discussion on Matthew also for reality of the devil and the objective and subjective elements in the temptations. Jesus quotes <sup><RHB></sup>Deuteronomy 8:3 in reply to the devil.

<sup><QHB></sup>**Luke 4:5** *The world* (*tees oikoumenees*). The inhabited world. In <sup><QHB></sup>Matthew 4:8 it is *tou kosmou*.

*In a moment of time* (*en stigmeei chronou*). Only in Luke and the word *stigmee* nowhere else in the N.T. (from *stizoo*, to prick, or puncture), a point or dot. In Demosthenes, Aristotle, Plutarch. Like our “second” of time or tick of the clock. This panorama of all the kingdoms of the world and the glory of them in a moment of time was mental, a great feat of the imagination (a mental satanic “movie” performance), but this fact in no way discredits the idea of the actual visible appearance of Satan also. This second temptation in Luke is the third in Matthew’s order. Luke’s order is geographical (wilderness, mountain, Jerusalem). Matthew’s is climacteric (hunger, nervous dread, ambition). There is a climax in Luke’s order also (sense, man, God). There is no way to tell the actual order.

<sup><QHB></sup>**Luke 4:6** *All this authority* (*teen exousian tauteen hapasan*).

<sup><QHB></sup>Matthew 4:9 has “all these things.” Luke’s report is more specific.

*And the glory of them* (*kai teen doxan autoon*). <sup><QHB></sup>Matthew 4:8 has this in the statement of what the devil did, not what he said.

*For it hath been delivered unto me* (*hoti emoi paradedotai*). Perfect passive indicative. Satan here claims possession of world power and Jesus does not deny it. It may be due to man’s sin and by God’s permission. Jesus calls Satan the ruler of this world (<sup><BZB></sup>John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11).

*To whomsoever I will* (*hoi an theloo*). Present subjunctive with *an* in an indefinite relative sentence. This audacious claim, if allowed, makes one wonder whether some of the world rulers are not, consciously or unconsciously, agents of the devil. In several American cities there has been proven a definite compact between the police and the underworld of crime. But the tone of Satan here is one of superiority to Jesus in world power. He offers him a share in it on one condition.

<sup><QHB></sup>**Luke 4:7** *Wilt worship before me* (*proskuneeseeis enoopion emou*).

<sup><QHB></sup>Matthew 4:9 has it more bluntly “worship me.” That is what it really

comes to, though in Luke the matter is more delicately put. It is a condition of the third class (*ean* and the subjunctive). Luke has it “thou therefore if” (*su oun ean*), in a very emphatic and subtle way. It is the ingressive aorist (*proskuneeseis*), just bow the knee once up here in my presence. The temptation was for Jesus to admit Satan’s authority by this act of prostration (fall down and worship), a recognition of authority rather than of personal merit.

*It shall all be thine (estai sou pfsa)*. Satan offers to turn over all the keys of world power to Jesus. It was a tremendous grand-stand play, but Jesus saw at once that in that case he would be the agent of Satan in the rule of the world by bargain and graft instead of the Son of God by nature and world ruler by conquest over Satan. The heart of Satan’s program is here laid bare. Jesus here rejected the Jewish idea of the Messiah as an earthly ruler merely. “He rejects Satan as an ally, and thereby has him as an implacable enemy” (Plummer.)

<sup><404B></sup>**Luke 4:8** *Thou shalt worship (proskuneeseis)*. Satan used this verb to Jesus who turns it against him by the quotation from <sup><R63></sup>Deuteronomy 6:13. Jesus clearly perceived that one could not worship both Satan and God. He had to choose whom he would serve. Luke does not give the words, “Get thee hence, Satan” (<sup><404D></sup>Matthew 4:10), for he has another temptation to narrate.

<sup><404D></sup>**Luke 4:9** *Led him (eegagen)*. Aorist active indicative of *agoo*.  
<sup><404E></sup>Matthew 4:5 has *paralambanei* (dramatic present).

*The wing of the temple (to pterugion tou hierou)*. See on <sup><404E></sup>Matthew 4:5. It is not easy to determine precisely what it was.

*From hence (enteuthen)*. This Luke adds to the words in Matthew, which see.

*To guard thee (tou diaphulaxai se)*. Not in <sup><404E></sup>Matthew 4:6 quoted by Satan from <sup><404F></sup>Psalms 91:11,12. Satan does not misquote this Psalm, but he misapplies it and makes it mean presumptuous reliance on God. This compound verb is very old, but occurs here alone in the N.T. and that from the LXX. Luke repeats *hoti* (recitative *hoti* after *gegraptai*, is written) after this part of the quotation.

<sup><404G></sup>**Luke 4:12** *It is said (eireetai)*. Perfect passive indicative, stands said, a favourite way of quoting Scripture in the N.T. In <sup><404G></sup>Matthew 4:7 we

have the usual “it is written” (*gegraptai*). Here Jesus quotes <sup><816></sup>Deuteronomy 6:16. Each time he uses Deuteronomy against the devil. The LXX is quoted. It is the volitive future indicative with *ouk*, a common prohibition. Jesus points out to the devil that testing God is not trusting God (Plummer).

<sup><813></sup>**Luke 4:13** *Every temptation* (*panta peirasmon*). These three kinds exhaust the avenues of approach (the appetites, the nerves, the ambitions). Satan tried them all. They formed a cycle (Vincent). Hence “he was in all points tempted like as we are” (<sup><815></sup>Hebrews 4:15). “The enemy tried all his weapons, and was at all points defeated” (Plummer). Probably all during the forty days the devil tempted him, but three are representatives of all.

*For a season* (*achri kairou*). Until a good opportunity should return, the language means. We are thus to infer that the devil returned to his attack from time to time. In the Garden of Gethsemane he tempted Jesus more severely than here. He was here trying to thwart the purpose of Jesus to go on with his Messianic plans, to trip him at the start. In Gethsemane the devil tried to make Jesus draw back from the culmination of the Cross with all its agony and horror. The devil attacked Jesus by the aid of Peter (<sup><813></sup>Mark 8:33), through the Pharisees (<sup><814></sup>John 8:40ff.), besides Gethsemane (<sup><812></sup>Luke 22:42,53).

<sup><814></sup>**Luke 4:14** *Returned* (*hupestrepson*). Luke does not fill in the gap between the temptations in the wilderness of Judea and the Galilean Ministry. He follows the outline of Mark. It is John’s Gospel alone that tells of the year of obscurity (Stalker) in various parts of the Holy Land.

*In the power of the Spirit* (*en teei dunamei tou pneumatos*). Luke in these two verses (14,15) gives a description of the Galilean Ministry with three marked characteristics (Plummer): the power of the spirit, rapid spread of Christ’s fame, use of the Jewish synagogues. Luke often notes the power of the Holy Spirit in the work of Christ. Our word dynamite is this same word *dunamis* (power).

*A fame* (*phemeē*). An old Greek word found in the N.T. only here and <sup><812></sup>Matthew 9:26. It is from *pheemi*, to say. Talk ran rapidly in every direction. It assumes the previous ministry as told by John.

<sup><815></sup>**Luke 4:15** *And he taught* (*kai autos edidasken*). Luke is fond of this mode of transition so that it is not certain that he means to emphasize “he

himself' as distinct from the rumour about him. It is the imperfect tense, descriptive of the habit of Jesus. The synagogues were an open door to Jesus before the hostility of the Pharisees was aroused.

*Being glorified* (*doxazomenos*). Present passive participle, durative action like the imperfect *edidasken*. General admiration of Jesus everywhere. He was the wonder teacher of his time. Even the rabbis had not yet learned how to ridicule and oppose Jesus.

**Luke 4:16** *Where he had been brought up* (*hou een tethrammenos*). Past perfect passive periphrastic indicative, a state of completion in past time, from *trepheethai*, a common Greek verb. This visit is before that recorded in **Mark 6:1-6**; **Matthew 13:54-58** which was just before the third tour of Galilee. Here Jesus comes back after a year of public ministry elsewhere and with a wide reputation (**Luke 4:15**). Luke may have in mind **2:51**, but for some time now Nazareth had not been his home and that fact may be implied by the past perfect tense.

*As his custom was* (*kata to eioothos autooi*). Second perfect active neuter singular participle of an old *ethoo* (Homer), to be accustomed. Literally according to what was customary to him (*autooi*, dative case). This is one of the flashlights on the early life of Jesus. He had the habit of going to public worship in the synagogue as a boy, a habit that he kept up when a grown man. If the child does not form the habit of going to church, the man is almost certain not to have it. We have already had in Matthew and Mark frequent instances of the word synagogue which played such a large part in Jewish life after the restoration from Babylon.

*Stood up* (*anestee*). Second aorist active indicative and intransitive. Very common verb. It was the custom for the reader to stand except when the Book of Esther was read at the feast of Purim when he might sit. It is not here stated that Jesus had been in the habit of standing up to read here or elsewhere. It was his habit to go to the synagogue for worship. Since he entered upon his Messianic work his habit was to teach in the synagogues (**Luke 4:15**). This was apparently the first time that he had done so in Nazareth. He may have been asked to read as Paul was in Antioch in Pisidia (**Acts 13:15**). The ruler of the synagogue for that day may have invited Jesus to read and speak because of his now great reputation as a teacher. Jesus could have stood up voluntarily and appropriately because of his interest in his home town.

*To read (anagnoonai)*. Second aorist active infinitive of *anaginooskoo*, to recognize again the written characters and so to read and then to read aloud. It appears first in Pindar in the sense of read and always so in the N.T. This public reading aloud with occasional comments may explain the parenthesis in <sup><QMT></sup>Matthew 24:15 (Let him that readeth understand).

<sup><QMT></sup>**Luke 4:17** *Was delivered (epedothee)*. First aorist passive indicative of *epididoomi*, to give over to, a common verb. At the proper stage of the service “the attendant” or “minister” (*hupeeretees*, under rower) or “beadle” took out a roll of the law from the ark, unwrapped it, and gave it to some one to read. On sabbath days some seven persons were asked to read small portions of the law. This was the first lesson or *Parashah*. This was followed by a reading from the prophets and a discourse, the second lesson or *Haphtarah*. This last is what Jesus did.

*The book of the prophet Isaiah (biblion tou propheetou Esaiou)*. Literally, “a roll of the prophet Isaiah.” Apparently Isaiah was handed to Jesus without his asking for it. But certainly Jesus cared more for the prophets than for the ceremonial law. It was a congenial service that he was asked to perform. Jesus used Deuteronomy in his temptations and now Isaiah for this sermon. The Syriac Sinaitic manuscript has it that Jesus stood up after the attendant handed him the roll.

*Opened (anoixas)*. Really it was

*unrolled (anaptuxas)* as Aleph D have it. But the more general term *anoixas* (from *anoigoo*, common verb) is probably genuine. *Anaptussoo* does not occur in the N.T. outside of this passage if genuine.

*Found the place (heuren ton topon)*. Second aorist active indicative. He continued to unroll (rolling up the other side) till he found the passage desired. It may have been a fixed lesson for the day or it may have been his own choosing. At any rate it was a marvellously appropriate passage (<sup><2300></sup>Isaiah 61:1,2 with one clause omitted and some words from <sup><2306></sup>Isaiah 58:6). It is a free quotation from the Septuagint.

*Where it was written (hou een gegrammenon)*. Periphrastic pluperfect passive again as in <sup><QMT></sup>Luke 4:16.

<sup><QMT></sup>**Luke 4:18** *Anointed me (echrisen me)*. First aorist active indicative of the verb *chrioo* from which



*Christ (Christos)* is derived, the Anointed One. Isaiah is picturing the Jubilee year and the release of captives and the return from the Babylonian exile with the hope of the Messiah through it all. Jesus here applies this Messianic language to himself. “The Spirit of the Lord is upon me” as was shown at the baptism (<sup><418></sup>Luke 3:21) where he was also “anointed” for his mission by the Father’s voice (<sup><412></sup>Luke 3:22).

*To the poor (ptoochois)*. Jesus singles this out also as one of the items to tell John the Baptist in prison (<sup><412></sup>Luke 7:22). Our word *Gospel* is a translation of the Greek *Euaggelion*, and it is for the poor.

*He hath sent me (apestalken me)*. Change of tense to perfect active indicative. He is now on that mission here. Jesus is God’s *Apostle* to men (<sup><417B></sup>John 17:3, Whom thou didst send).

*Proclaim (keeruxai)*. As a herald like Noah (<sup><415></sup>2 Peter 2:5).

*To the captives (aichmalootois)*. Prisoners of war will be released (*aichmee*, a spear point, and *halootos*, from *haliskomai*, to be captured). Captured by the spear point. Common word, but here only in the N.T.

*Set at liberty (aposteilai)*. First aorist active infinitive of *apostelloo*. Same verb as *apestalken*, above. Brought in here from <sup><281B></sup>Isaiah 58:6. Plummer suggests that Luke inserts it here from memory. But Jesus could easily have turned back the roll and read it so.

*Them that are bruised (tethrausmenous)*. Perfect passive participle of *thrauoo*, an old verb, but here only in the N.T. It means to break in pieces broken in heart and often in body as well. One loves to think that Jesus felt it to be his mission to mend broken hearts like pieces of broken earthenware, real rescue-mission work. Jesus mends them and sets them free from their limitations.

<sup><419></sup>**Luke 4:19** *The acceptable year of the Lord (eniauton Kuriou dektion)*. He does not mean that his ministry is to be only one year in length as Clement of Alexandria and Origen argued. That is to turn figures into fact. The Messianic age has come, Jesus means to say. On the first day of the year of Jubilee the priests with sound of trumpet proclaimed the blessings of that year (<sup><425B></sup>Leviticus 25:8-17). This great passage justly pictures Christ’s conception of his mission and message.



◀◀◀ **Luke 4:20** *He closed the book (ptuxas to biblion)*. Aorist active participle of *ptussoo*. Rolled up the roll and gave it back to the attendant who had given it to him and who put it away again in its case.

*Sat down (ekathisen)*. Took his seat there as a sign that he was going to speak instead of going back to his former seat. This was the usual Jewish attitude for public speaking and teaching (◀◀◀ Luke 5:3; ◀◀◀ Matthew 5:1; ◀◀◀ Mark 4:1; ◀◀◀ Acts 16:13).

*Were fastened on him (eesan atenizontes autooi)*. Periphrastic imperfect active and so a vivid description. Literally, the eyes of all in the synagogue were gazing fixedly upon him. The verb *atenizoo* occurs in Aristotle and the Septuagint. It is from the adjective *atenees* and that from *teinoo*, to stretch, and copulative or intensive *a*, not *a* privative. The word occurs in the N.T. here and in ◀◀◀ Luke 22:56, ten times in Acts, and in ◀◀◀ 2 Corinthians 3:7,13. Paul uses it of the steady eager gaze of the people at Moses when he came down from the mountain when he had been communing with God. There was something in the look of Jesus here that held the people spellbound for the moment, apart from the great reputation with which he came to them. In small measure every effective speaker knows what it is to meet the eager expectations of an audience.

◀◀◀ **Luke 4:21** *And he began to say (eerxato de legein)*. Aorist ingressive active indicative and present infinitive. He began speaking. The moment of hushed expectancy was passed. These may or may not be the first words uttered here by Jesus. Often the first sentence is the crucial one in winning an audience. Certainly this is an arresting opening sentence.

*Hath been fulfilled (pepleerootai)*. Perfect passive indicative,

*stands fulfilled*. “Today this scripture (◀◀◀ Isaiah 61:1,2, just read) stands fulfilled in your ears.” It was a most amazing statement and the people of Nazareth were quick to see the Messianic claim involved. Jesus could only mean that the real year of Jubilee had come, that the Messianic prophecy of Isaiah had come true today, and that in him they saw the Messiah of prophecy. There are critics today who deny that Jesus claimed to be the Messiah. To be able to do that, they must reject the Gospel of John and all such passages as this one. And it is no apocalyptic eschatological Messiah whom Jesus here sets forth, but the one who forgives sin and binds up the broken-hearted. The words were too good to be true and to be spoken here at Nazareth by one of their own townsmen!

**☞ Luke 4:22** *Bare him witness (emarturoun)*. Imperfect active, perhaps inchoative. They all began to bear witness that the rumours were not exaggerations (☞ Luke 4:14) as they had supposed, but had foundation in fact if this discourse or its start was a fair sample of his teaching. The verb *martureoo* is a very old and common one. It is frequent in Acts, Paul's Epistles, and the Johannine books. The substantive *martur* is seen in our English *martyr*, one who witnesses even by his death to his faith in Christ.

*And wondered (kai ethaumazon)*. Imperfect active also, perhaps inchoative also. They began to marvel as he proceeded with his address. This verb is an old one and common in the Gospels for the attitude of the people towards Jesus.

*At the words of grace (epi tois logois tees charitos)*. See on ☞ Luke 1:30; 2:52 for this wonderful word *charis* so full of meaning and so often in the N.T. The genitive case (case of genus or kind) here means that the words that came out of the mouth of Jesus in a steady stream (present tense, *ekporeuomenois*) were marked by fascination and charm. They were "winning words" as the context makes plain, though they were also "gracious" in the Pauline sense of "grace." There is no necessary antithesis in the ideas of graceful and gracious in these words of Jesus.

*Is not this Joseph's son? (Ouchi huios estin Iooseph houtos;)*. Witness and wonder gave way to bewilderment as they began to explain to themselves the situation. The use of *ouchi* intensive form of *ouk* in a question expects the answer "yes." Jesus passed in Nazareth as the son of Joseph as Luke presents him in ☞ Luke 3:23. He does not stop here to correct this misconception because the truth has been already amply presented in ☞ Luke 1:28-38; 2:49. This popular conception of Jesus as the son of Joseph appears also in ☞ John 1:45. The puzzle of the people was due to their previous knowledge of Jesus as the carpenter (☞ Mark 6:3; the carpenter's son, ☞ Matthew 13:55). For him now to appear as the Messiah in Nazareth where he had lived and laboured as the carpenter was a phenomenon impossible to credit on sober reflection. So the mood of wonder and praise quickly turned with whispers and nods and even scowls to doubt and hostility, a rapid and radical transformation of emotion in the audience.

**☞ Luke 4:23** *Doubtless (pantoos)*. Adverb. Literally, at any rate, certainly, assuredly. Cf. ☞ Acts 21:22; 28:4.

*This parable (teen parableen tauteen)*. See discussion on Matthew 13. Here the word has a special application to a crisp proverb which involves a comparison. The word physician is the point of comparison. Luke the physician alone gives this saying of Jesus. The proverb means that the physician was expected to take his own medicine and to heal himself. The word *parablee* in the N.T. is confined to the Synoptic Gospels except <sup><800></sup>Hebrews 9:9; 11:19. This use for a proverb occurs also in <sup><056></sup>Luke 5:36; 6:39. This proverb in various forms appears not only among the Jews, but in Euripides and Aeschylus among the Greeks, and in Cicero's *Letters*. Hobart quotes the same idea from Galen, and the Chinese used to demand it of their physicians. The point of the parable seems to be that the people were expecting him to make good his claim to the Messiahship by doing here in Nazareth what they had heard of his doing in Capernaum and elsewhere. "Establish your claims by direct evidence" (Easton). This same appeal (Vincent) was addressed to Christ on the Cross (<sup><074></sup>Matthew 27:40,42). There is a tone of sarcasm towards Jesus in both cases.

*Heard done (eekousamen genomena)*. The use of this second aorist middle participle *genomena* after *eekousamen* is a neat Greek idiom. It is punctiliar action in indirect discourse after this verb of sensation or emotion (Robertson, *Grammar*, pp. 1040-42, 1122-24).

*Do also here (poieeson kai hoode)*. Ingressive aorist active imperative. Do it here in thy own country and town and do it now. Jesus applies the proverb to himself as an interpretation of their real attitude towards himself.

<sup><024></sup>**Luke 4:24** *And he said (eipen de)*. Also in <sup><013></sup>Luke 1:13. The interjection of these words here by Luke may indicate a break in his address, though there is no other indication of an interval here. Perhaps they only serve to introduce solemnly the new proverb like the words

*Verily I say unto you (ameen legoo humin)*. This proverb about the prophet having no honour in his own country Jesus had already applied to himself according to <sup><044></sup>John 4:44. Both <sup><004></sup>Mark 6:4 and <sup><037></sup>Matthew 13:57 give it in a slightly altered form on the last visit of Jesus to Nazareth. The devil had tempted Jesus to make a display of his power to the people by letting them see him floating down from the pinnacle of the temple (<sup><009></sup>Luke 4:9-11).

<4025> **Luke 4:25** *Three years and six months* (*etee tria kai meenas hex*).

Accusative of duration of time without *epi* (doubtful). The same period is given in <5157> James 5:17, the popular Jewish way of speaking. In <1180> 1 Kings 18:1 the rain is said to have come in the third year. But the famine probably lasted still longer.

<4025> **Luke 4:26** *Unto Zarephath* (*eis Sarepta*). The modern village Surafend on the coast road between Tyre and Sidon.

*Unto a woman that was a widow* (*pros gunaika cheeran*). Literally, unto a woman a widow (like our vernacular widow woman). This is an illustration of the proverb from the life of Elijah (<1178> 1 Kings 17:8,9). This woman was in the land of Sidon or Phoenicia, a heathen, where Jesus himself will go later.

<4025> **Luke 4:27** *In the time of Elisha the prophet* (*epi Elisaïou tou prophētou*). This use of *epi* with the genitive for “in the time of” is a good Greek idiom. The second illustration of the proverb is from the time of Elisha and is another heathen,

*Naaman the Syrian* (*Naiman ho Syros*). He was the lone leper that was cleansed by Elisha (<1181> 2 Kings 5:1,14).

<4025> **Luke 4:28** *They were all filled with wrath* (*epleestheesan pantes thumou*). First aorist passive indicative of the common verb *pimpleemi* followed by the genitive case. The people of Nazareth at once caught on and saw the point of these two Old Testament illustrations of how God in two cases blessed the heathen instead of the Jewish people. The implication was evident. Nazareth was no better than Capernaum if as good. He was under no special obligation to do unusual things in Nazareth because he had been reared there. Town pride was insulted and it at once exploded in a burst of rage.

<4025> **Luke 4:29** *They rose up and cast him forth* (*anastantes exebalon*). Second aorist ingressive active participle and second aorist effective active indicative. A movement towards lynching Jesus.

*Unto the brow of the hill* (*heeos ophruos tou orous*). Eyebrow (*ophrus*), in Homer, then any jutting prominence. Only here in the N.T. Hippocrates speaks of the eyebrow hanging over.

*Was built* (*oikodomeeto*). Past perfect indicative, stood built.

*That they might throw him down headlong* (*hooste katakreemnisai auton*). Neat Greek idiom with *hooste* for intended result, “so as to cast him down the precipice.” The infinitive alone can convey the same meaning (<sup><401P></sup>Matthew 2:2; 20:28; <sup><4023></sup>Luke 2:23). *Kreemnos* is an overhanging bank or precipice from *kremannumi*, to hang. *Kata* is down. The verb occurs in Xenophon, Demosthenes, LXX, Josephus. Here only in the N.T. At the southwest corner of the town of Nazareth such a cliff today exists overhanging the Maronite convent. Murder was in the hearts of the people. By pushing him over they hoped to escape technical guilt.

<sup><40B></sup>**Luke 4:30** *He went his way* (*eporeueto*). Imperfect tense, he was going on his way.

<sup><40E1></sup>**Luke 4:31** *Came down* (*kateelthen*). <sup><40I2></sup>Mark 1:21 has the historical present,

*they go into* (*eisporeuontai*). Capernaum (Tell Hum) is now the headquarters of the Galilean ministry, since Nazareth has rejected Jesus.

<sup><40E1></sup>Luke 4:31-37 is parallel with <sup><40I2></sup>Mark 1:21-28 which he manifestly uses. It is the first of Christ’s miracles which they give.

*Was teaching them* (*een didaskoon autous*). Periphrastic imperfect. Mark has *edidasken* first and then *en didaskoon*. “Them” here means the people present in the synagogue on the sabbath, construction according to sense as in <sup><40I2></sup>Mark 1:22.

<sup><40E2></sup>**Luke 4:32** Rest of the sentence as in Mark, which see, except that Luke omits “and not as their scribes” and uses *hoti een* instead of *hoos echoon*.

<sup><40E3></sup>**Luke 4:33** *Which had* (*echoon*). Mark has *en*.

*A spirit of an unclean demon* (*pneuma daimoniou akathartou*). Mark has “unclean spirit.” Luke’s phrase here is unique in this combination. Plummer notes that Matthew has *daimonion* ten times and *akatharton* twice as an epithet of *pneuma*; Mark has *daimonion* thirteen times and *akatharton* eleven times as an epithet of *pneuma*. Luke’s Gospel uses *daimonion* twenty-two times and *akatharton* as an epithet, once of *daimonion* as here and once of *pneuma*. In Mark the man is in (*en*) the power of the unclean spirit, while here the man “has” a spirit of an unclean demon.

*With a loud voice (phooneei megaleei)*. Not in Mark. Really a scream caused by the sudden contact of the demon with Jesus.

**Luke 4:34** *Ah! (Ea)*. An interjection frequent in the Attic poets, but rare in prose. Apparently second person singular imperative of *eaoo*, to permit. It is expressive of wonder, fear, indignation. Here it amounts to a diabolical screech. For the rest of the verse see discussion on <sup><41024></sup>Mark 1:24 and <sup><4189></sup>Matthew 8:29. The muzzle (*phimos*) occurs literally in <sup><4100></sup>1 Corinthians 9:9, <sup><34518></sup>1 Timothy 5:18, and metaphorically here and <sup><41025></sup>Mark 1:25; 4:39; <sup><41212></sup>Matthew 22:12.

**Luke 4:35** *Had thrown him down in the midst (rhipsan auton eis to meson)*. First aorist (effective) participle of *rhiptoo*, an old verb with violent meaning, to fling, throw, hurl off or down.

*Having done him no hurt (meeden blapsan auton)*. Luke as a physician carefully notes this important detail not in Mark. *Blaptoo*, to injure, or hurt, occurs in the N.T. only here and in <sup><41618></sup>Mark 16:18, though a very common verb in the old Greek.

**Luke 4:36** *Amazement came (egeneto thambos)*. Mark has *ethambeethesan*.

*They spake together one with another (sunelaloun pros alleelous)*. Imperfect indicative active and the reciprocal pronoun. Mark has simply the infinitive *sunzeetein* (question).

*For (hoti)*. We have here an ambiguous *hoti* as in <sup><4145></sup>Luke 1:45, which can be either the relative “that” or the casual *hoti* “because” or “for,” as the Revised Version has it. Either makes good sense. Luke adds here *dunamei* (with power) to Mark’s “authority” (*exousian*).

*And they come out (exerchontai)*. So Luke where Mark has “and they obey him” (*kai upakouousin autooi*).

**Luke 4:37** *Went forth a rumour (exeporeueto echos)*. Imperfect middle, kept on going forth. Our very word *echo* in this word. Late Greek form for *eechoo* in the old Greek. Used for the roar of the waves on the shore. So in <sup><4125></sup>Luke 21:25. Vivid picture of the resounding influence of this day’s work in the synagogue, in Capernaum.

**☞ Luke 4:38** *He rose up (anastas)*. Second aorist active participle of *anisteemi*, a common verb. B. Weiss adds here “from the teacher’s seat.” Either from his seat or merely leaving the synagogue. This incident of the healing of Peter’s mother-in-law is given in ☞ Mark 1:29-34 and ☞ Matthew 8:14-17, which see for details.

*Into the house of Simon (eis teen oikian Simoonos)*. “Peter’s house” (☞ Matthew 8:14). “The house of Simon and Andrew” (☞ Mark 1:29). Paul’s reference to Peter’s wife (☞ 1 Corinthians 9:5) is pertinent. They lived together in Capernaum. This house came also to be the Capernaum home of Jesus.

*Simon’s wife’s mother (penthera tou Simoonos)*. The word *penthera* for mother-in-law is old and well established in usage. Besides the parallel passages (☞ Mark 1:30; ☞ Matthew 8:14; ☞ Luke 4:38) it occurs in the N.T. only in ☞ Luke 12:53. The corresponding word *pentheros*, father-in-law, occurs in ☞ John 18:13 alone in the N.T.

*Was holden with a great fever (een sunechomenee puretooi megalooi)*. Periphrastic imperfect passive, the analytical tense accenting the continuous fever, perhaps chronic and certainly severe. Luke employs this verb nine times and only three others in the N.T. (☞ Matthew 4:24 passive with diseases here; ☞ 2 Corinthians 5:14 active; ☞ Philippians 1:23 passive). In ☞ Acts 28:8 the passive “with dysentery” is like the construction here and is a common one in Greek medical writers as in Greek literature generally. Luke uses the passive with “fear,” ☞ Luke 8:37, the active for holding the hands over the ears (☞ Acts 7:57) and for pressing one or holding together (☞ Luke 8:45; 19:43; 22:63), the direct middle for holding oneself to preaching (☞ Acts 18:5). It is followed here by the instrumental case. Hobart (*Medical Language of Luke*, p. 3) quotes Galen as dividing fevers into “great” (*megaloi*) and “small” (*smikroi*).

**☞ Luke 4:39** *He stood over her (epistas epanoo autees)*. Second aorist active participle. Only in Luke. Surely we are not to take Luke to mean that Jesus here took the exorcist’s position and was rebuking a malignant personality. The attitude of Jesus is precisely that of any kindly sympathetic physician. ☞ Mark 1:31; ☞ Matthew 8:15 mention the touch of her hand rather than the tender look over her head.



*Rebuked* (*epetimeesen*). Only in Luke. Jesus bade the fever leave her as he spoke to the wind and the waves and Luke uses this same verb (<sup><4084></sup>Luke 8:24).

*Rose up and ministered* (*anastfsa dieekonei*). Second aorist active participle as in verse 38, but inchoative imperfect tense *dieekonei*, from *diakoneoo* (note augment of compound verb). She rose up immediately, though a long high fever usually leaves one very weak. The cure was instantaneous and complete. She began to minister at once and kept it up.

<sup><4040></sup>**Luke 4:40** *When the sun was setting* (*dunontos tou heeliou*). Genitive absolute and present participle (*dunoo*, late form of *duoo*) picturing the sunset scene. Even <sup><4032></sup>Mark 1:32 has here the aorist indicative *edusen* (punctiliar active). It was not only cooler, but it was the end of the sabbath when it was not regarded as work (Vincent) to carry a sick person (<sup><4050></sup>John 5:10). And also by now the news of the cure of the demoniac of Peter's mother-in-law had spread all over the town.

*Had* (*eichon*). Imperfect tense including all the chronic cases.

*With divers diseases* (*nosois poikilais*). Instrumental case. For "divers" say "many coloured" or "variegated." See on <sup><4021></sup>Matthew 4:24; <sup><4034></sup>Mark 1:34.

*Brought* (*eegagon*). Constatative summary second aorist active indicative like <sup><4036></sup>Matthew 8:16, *prosenegkan*, where <sup><4032></sup>Mark 1:32 has the imperfect *epheron*, brought one after another.

*He laid his hands on every one of them and healed them* (*ho de heni hekastooi autoon tas cheiras epititheis etherapeuen autous*). Note the present active participle *epititheis* and the imperfect active *etherapeuen*, picturing the healing one by one with the tender touch upon each one. Luke alone gives this graphic detail which was more than a mere ceremonial laying on of hands. Clearly the cures of Jesus reached the physical, mental, and spiritual planes of human nature. He is Lord of life and acted here as Master of each case as it came.

<sup><4041></sup>**Luke 4:41** *Came out* (*exeercheto*, singular, or *exeerchonto*, plural). Imperfect tense, repetition, from one after another.

*Thou art the Son of God* (*Su ei ho huios tou theou*). More definite statement of the deity of Jesus than the witness of the demoniac in the synagogue (<sup><4026></sup>Luke 4:34; <sup><4024></sup>Mark 1:24), like the words of the Father



(~~Q12~~ Luke 3:22) and more so than the condition of the devil (~~Q13~~ Luke 4:3,9). In the Canterbury Revision “devils” should always be “demons” (*daimonia*) as here.

*Suffered them not to speak* (*ouk eia auta lalein*). Imperfect third singular active of *eaoo*, very old and common verb with syllabic augment *ei*. The tense accents the continued refusal of Jesus to receive testimony to his person and work from demons. Cf. ~~Q14~~ Matthew 8:4 to the lepers.

*Because they knew* (*hoti eeideisan*). Causal, not declarative, *hoti*. Past perfect of the second perfect *oida*.

*That he was the Christ* (*ton Christon auton einai*). Infinitive in indirect assertion with the accusative of general reference. *Ton Christon* =

*the Anointed*, the Messiah.

~~Q15~~ **Luke 4:42** *When it was day* (*genomenees heemeras*). Genitive absolute with aorist middle participle. ~~Q16~~ Mark 1:35 notes it was “a great while before day” (which see for discussion) when Jesus rose up to go after a restless night. No doubt, because of the excitement of the previous sabbath in Capernaum. He went out to pray (~~Q17~~ Mark 1:35).

*Sought after him* (*epezeetoun auton*). Imperfect active indicative. The multitudes kept at it until “they came unto him” (*eelthon heoos autou*, aorist active indicative). They accomplished their purpose, *heoos autou*, right up to him.

*Would have stayed him* (*kateichon auton*). Better,

*They tried to hinder him*. The conative imperfect active of *katechoo*, an old and common verb. It means either to hold fast (~~Q18~~ Luke 8:15), to take, get possession of (~~Q19~~ Luke 14:9) or to hold back, to retain, to restrain (~~Q20~~ Philemon 1:13; ~~Q21~~ Romans 1:18; 7:6; ~~Q22~~ 2 Thessalonians 2:6; ~~Q23~~ Luke 4:42). In this passage it is followed by the ablative case.

*That he should not go from them* (*tou mee poreuesthai ap' autoon*). Literally, “from going away from them.” The use of *mee* (not) after *kateichon* is the neat Greek idiom of the redundant negative after a verb of hindering like the French *ne* (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1171) .

~~Q24~~ **Luke 4:43** *I must* (*me dei*). Jesus felt the urge to go with the work of evangelism “to the other cities also,” to all, not to a favoured few.

*For therefore was I sent (hoti epi touto apestaleen).* “A phrase of Johannine ring” (Ragg). Second aorist passive indicative of *apostelloo*. Christ is the great Apostle of God to men.

~~<4044>~~ **Luke 4:44** *Was preaching (een keerussoon).* Periphrastic imperfect active, describing his first tour of Galilee in accord with the purpose just stated. One must fill in details, though ~~<4013>~~ Mark 1:39 and ~~<4023>~~ Matthew 8:23-25 tell of the mass of work done on this campaign.

## CHAPTER 5

**☞ Luke 5:1** *Pressed upon him (epikeisthai)*. Luke in this paragraph (☞ Luke 5:1-11; ☞ Mark 1:16-20; ☞ Matthew 4:18-22) does not follow the chronology of Mark as he usually does. It seems reasonably clear that the renewed call of the four fishermen came before the first tour of Galilee in ☞ Luke 4:42-44. It is here assumed that Luke is describing in his own way the incident given in Mark and Matthew above. Luke singles out Simon in a graphic way. This verb *epikeisthai* is an old one and means to *lie upon*, rest upon as of a stone on the tomb (☞ John 11:38) or of fish on the burning coals (☞ John 21:9). So it is used of a tempest (☞ Acts 27:20) and of the urgent demands for Christ's crucifixion (☞ Luke 23:23). Here it vividly pictures the eager crowds around Jesus. *En tooi epikeisthai* is a favourite idiom with Luke as we have already seen, *en* with the articular infinitive in the locative case.

*That (kai)*. *Kai* does not technically mean the declarative conjunction "that," but it is a fair rendering of the somewhat awkward idiom of Luke to a certain extent imitating the Hebrew use of *wav*.

*Was standing (een hestoois)*. Periphrastic second past perfect of *histeemi* which here is equal to a practical imperfect.

*By the lake (para teen limneen)*. The use of the accusative with *para*, alongside, after a verb of rest used to be called the pregnant use, came and was standing. But that is no longer necessary, for the accusative as the case of extension is the oldest of the cases and in later Greek regains many of the earlier uses of the other cases employed for more precise distinctions. See the same idiom in verse 2. We need not here stress the notion of extension.

"With characteristic accuracy Luke never calls it a sea, while the others never call it a lake" (Plummer).

☞ **Luke 5:2** *Two boats (ploia duo)*. Some MSS. have *ploiaria*, little boats, but *ploia* was used of boats of various sizes, even of ships like *nees*.

*The fishermen (hoi haleeis)*. It is an old Homeric word that has come back to common use in the *Koin*,. It means "sea-folk" from *hals*, sea.

*Were washing* (*epulunon*). Imperfect active, though some MSS. have aorist *epulunan*. Vincent comments on Luke's use of five verbs for washing: this one for cleaning, *apomassoo* for wiping the dust from one's feet (<sup><201></sup>Luke 10:11), *ekmassoo* of the sinful woman wiping Christ's feet with her hair (<sup><173></sup>Luke 7:38,44), *apolouoo* of washing away sins (symbolically, of course) as in <sup><216></sup>Acts 22:16, and *louoo* of washing the body of Dorcas (<sup><37></sup>Acts 9:37) and the stripes of the prisoners (<sup><63></sup>Acts 16:33). On "nets" see on <sup><10></sup>Matthew 4:20; <sup><18></sup>Mark 1:18.

<sup><3></sup>**Luke 5:3** *To put out a little* (*epanagagein oligon*). Second aorist infinitive of the double compound verb *ep-an-agoō*, found in Xenophon and late Greek writers generally. Only twice in the N.T. In <sup><18></sup>Matthew 21:18 in the sense of leading back or returning and here in the sense of leading a ship up upon the sea, to put out to sea, a nautical term.

*Taught* (*edikasken*). Imperfect active, picturing Jesus teaching from the boat in which he was seated and so safe from the jam of the crowd.

"Christ uses Peter's boat as a pulpit whence to throw the net of the Gospel over His hearers" (Plummer).

<sup><4></sup>**Luke 5:4** *Had left speaking* (*epausato laloon*). He ceased speaking (aorist middle indicative and present active participle, regular Greek idiom).

*Put out into the deep* (*epanagage eis to bathos*). The same double compound verb as in verse 3, only here second aorist active imperative second person singular.

*Let down* (*chaliasate*). Peter was master of the craft and so he was addressed first. First aorist active imperative second person plural. Here the whole crew are addressed. The verb is the regular nautical term for lowering cargo or boats (<sup><17></sup>Acts 27:17,30). But it was used for lowering anything from a higher place (<sup><4></sup>Mark 2:4; <sup><25></sup>Acts 9:25; <sup><13></sup>2 Corinthians 11:33). For a catch (*eis agran*). This purpose was the startling thing that stirred up Simon.

<sup><5></sup>**Luke 5:5** *Master* (*epistata*). Used only by Luke in the N.T. and always in addresses to Christ (<sup><24></sup>Luke 8:24,45; 9:33,49; <sup><13></sup>Luke 17:13). Common in the older writers for superintendent or overseer (one standing over another). This word recognizes Christ's authority.

*We toiled (kopiasantes)*. This verb is from *kopos* (*work, toil*) and occurs from Aristophanes on. It used to be said that the notion of weariness in toil appears only in the LXX and the N.T. But Deissmann (*Light from the Ancient East*, pp. 312f.) cites examples from inscriptions on tombstones quite in harmony with the use in the N.T. Peter's protest calls attention also to the whole night of fruitless toil.

*But at thy word (epi de tooi rheemati sou)*. On the base of *epi*. Acquiescence to show his obedience to Christ as "Master," but with no confidence whatsoever in the wisdom of this particular command. Besides, fishing in this lake was Peter's business and he really claimed superior knowledge on this occasion to that of Jesus.

**Luke 5:6** *They inclosed (sunekleisan)*. Effective aorist active indicative with perfective compound *sun*.

*They shut together. Were breaking (diereesseto)*. Imperfect passive singular (*diktua* being neuter plural). This is the late form of the old verb *diareegnumi*. The nets were actually tearing in two (*dia-*) and so they would lose all the fish.

**Luke 5:7** *They beckoned (kateneusan)*. Possibly they were too far away for a call to be understood. Simon alone had been ordered to put out into the deep. So they used signs.

*Unto their partners (tois metechois)*. This word *metochos*, from *metechoo*, to have with, means participation with one in common blessings (<sup><SIB></sup>Hebrews 3:1,14; 6:4; 12:8). While *koinoonos* (verse 10 here of James and John also) has the notion of personal fellowship, partnership. Both terms are here employed of the two pairs of brothers who have a business company under Simon's lead.

*Help them (sullabesthai)*. Second aorist middle infinitive. Take hold together with and so to help. Paul uses it in <sup><SIB></sup>Philippians 4:3. It is an old word that was sometimes employed for seizing a prisoner (<sup><DZS></sup>Luke 22:54) and for conception (*con-cipio*) by a woman (<sup><SIB></sup>Luke 1:24).

*So that they began to sink (hooste buthizesthai auta)*. Consecutive use of *hooste* and the infinitive (present tense, inchoative use, beginning to sink). An old verb from *buthos*. In the N.T. only here and <sup><SIB></sup>1 Timothy 6:9.

☞ **Luke 5:8** *Fell down at Jesus' knees* (*prosepesen tois gonasin Ieesou*). Just like Peter, from extreme self-confidence and pride (verse 5) to abject humiliation. But his impulse here was right and sincere. His confession was true. He was a sinful man.

☞ **Luke 5:9** *For he was amazed* (*thambos gar perieschen*). Literally, *For a wonder held him round*. Aorist active indicative. It held Peter fast and all the rest.

☞ **Luke 5:10** *Thou shalt catch men* (*eseei zoogroon*). Periphrastic future indicative, emphasizing the linear idea. The old verb *Zoogreoo* means to catch alive, not to kill. So then Peter is to be a catcher of men, not of fish, and to catch them alive and for life, not dead and for death. The great Pentecost will one day prove that Christ's prophecy will come true. Much must happen before that great day. But Jesus foresees the possibilities in Simon and he joyfully undertakes the task of making a fisher of men out of this poor fisher of fish.

☞ **Luke 5:11** *They left all, and followed him* (*aphentes panta eekoloutheesan*). Then and there. They had already become his disciples. Now they leave their business for active service of Christ. The conduct of this group of business men should make other business men to pause and see if Jesus is calling them to do likewise.

☞ **Luke 5:12** *Behold* (*kai idou*). Quite a Hebraistic idiom, this use of *kai* after *egeneto* (almost like *hoti*) with *idou* (interjection) and no verb.

*Full of leprosy* (*pleerees lepras*). ☞ Mark 1:40 and ☞ Matthew 8:2 have simply "a leper" which see. Evidently a bad case full of sores and far advanced as Luke the physician notes. The law (☞ Leviticus 13:12f.) curiously treated advanced cases as less unclean than the earlier stages.

*Fell on his face* (*pesoon epi prosoopon*). Second aorist active participle of *piptoo*, common verb. ☞ Mark 1:40 has "kneeling" (*gonupetoon*) and ☞ Matthew 8:40 "worshipped" (*prosekunei*). All three attitudes were possible one after the other. All three Synoptics quote the identical language of the leper and the identical answer of Jesus. His condition of the third class turned on the "will" (*theleis*) of Jesus who at once asserts his will (*theeloo*) and cleanses him. All three likewise mention the touch

(*heepsato*, verse 13) of Christ's hand on the unclean leper and the instantaneous cure.

**Luke 5:14** *To tell no man (meedeni eipeon)*. This is an indirect command after the verb "charged" (*pareeggeilen*). But Luke changes (*constructio variata*) to the direct quotation, a common idiom in Greek and often in Luke (<sup>400f</sup>Acts 1:4f.). Here in the direct form he follows <sup>404b</sup>Mark 1:43; <sup>408f</sup>Matthew 8:4. See discussion there about the direction to go to the priest to receive a certificate showing his cleansing, like our release from quarantine (<sup>483b</sup>Leviticus 13:39; 14:2-32).

*For a testimony unto them (eis marturion autois)*. The use of *autois* (them) here is "according to sense," as we say, for it has no antecedent in the context, just to people in general. But this identical phrase with absence of direct reference occurs in Mark and Matthew, pretty good proof of the use of one by the other. Both <sup>408f</sup>Matthew 8:4; <sup>415f</sup>Luke 5:14 follow <sup>404f</sup>Mark 1:44.

**Luke 5:15** *So much the more (mflon)*. <sup>404f</sup>Mark 1:45 has only "much" (*polla*, many), but Mark tells more about the effect of this disobedience.

*Went abroad (dieercheto)*. Imperfect tense. The fame of Jesus kept going.

*Came together (suneerchonto)*. Imperfect tense again. The more the report spread, the more the crowds came.

**Luke 5:16** *But he withdrew himself in the deserts and prayed (autos de een hupochooroon en tais ereemois kai proseuchomenos)*. Periphrastic imperfects. Literally, "But he himself was with drawing in the desert places and praying." The more the crowds came as a result of the leper's story, the more Jesus turned away from them to the desert regions and prayed with the Father. It is a picture of Jesus drawn with vivid power. The wild enthusiasm of the crowds was running ahead of their comprehension of Christ and his mission and message. *Hupochooreoo* (perhaps with the notion of slipping away secretly, *hupo-*) is a very common Greek verb, but in the N.T. occurs in Luke alone. Elsewhere in the N.T. *anachooreoo* (to go back) appears.

**Luke 5:17** *That (kai)*. Use of *kai* = *hoti* (that) like the Hebrew *wav*, though found in Greek also.

*He (autos)*. Luke sometimes has *autos* in the nominative as unemphatic “he” as here, not “he himself.”

*Was teaching (een didaskoon)*. Periphrastic imperfect again like our English idiom.

*Were sitting by (eesan katheemenoi)*. Periphrastic imperfect again. There is no “by” in the Greek.

*Doctors of the law (nomodidaskaloi)*. A compound word formed after analogy of *hierodidaskalos*, but not found outside of the N.T. and ecclesiastical writers, one of the very few words apparently N.T. in usage. It appears here and <sup><415></sup>Acts 5:34; <sup><500></sup>1 Timothy 1:7. It is not likely that Luke and Paul made the word, but they simply used the term already in current use to describe teachers and interpreters of the law. Our word “doctor” is Latin for “teacher.” These “teachers of the law” are called elsewhere in the Gospels “scribes” (*grammateis*) as in Matthew and Mark (see on <sup><415></sup>Matthew 5:20; 23:34) and <sup><412></sup>Luke 5:21; 19:47; 21:1; 22:2. Luke also employs *nomikos* (one skilled in the law, *nomos*) as in <sup><215></sup>Luke 10:25. One thinks of our LL.D. (Doctors of Civil and Canon Law), for both were combined in Jewish law. They were usually Pharisees (mentioned here for the first time in Luke) for which see on <sup><410></sup>Matthew 3:7,20. Luke will often speak of the Pharisees hereafter. Not all the “Pharisees” were “teachers of the law” so that both terms often occur together as in verse 21 where Luke has separate articles (*hoi grammateis kai hoi Pharisaioi*), distinguishing between them, though one article may occur as in <sup><415></sup>Matthew 5:20 or no article as here in verse 17. Luke alone mentions the presence here of these Pharisees and doctors of the law “which were come” (*hoi eesan eleeluthotes*, periphrastic past perfect active, *had come*).

*Out of every village of Galilee and Judea and Jerusalem (ek pasees koomees tees Galilaias kai Ioudaias kai Ierousaleem)*. Edersheim (*Jewish Social Life*) observes that the Jews distinguished Jerusalem as a separate district in Judea. Plummer considers it hyperbole in Luke to use “every village.” But one must recall that Jesus had already made one tour of Galilee which stirred the Pharisees and rabbis to active opposition. Judea had already been aroused and Jerusalem was the headquarters of the definite campaign now organized against Jesus. One must bear in mind that <sup><311></sup>John 4:1-4 shows that Jesus had already left Jerusalem and Judea because of the jealousy of the Pharisees. They are here on purpose to find



fault and to make charges against Jesus. One must not forget that there were many kinds of Pharisees and that not all of them were as bad as these legalistic and punctilious hypocrites who deserved the indictment and exposure of Christ in Matthew 23. Paul himself is a specimen of the finer type of Pharisee which, however, developed into the persecuting fanatic till Jesus changed his whole life.

*The power of the Lord was with him to heal* (*dunamis Kuriou een eis to ifsthai auton*). So the best texts. It is neat Greek, but awkward English: “Then was the power of the Lord for the healing as to him (Jesus).” Here *Kuriou* refers to Jehovah.

*Dunamis* (dynamite) is one of the common words for “miracles” (*dunameis*). What Luke means is that Jesus had the power of the Lord God to heal with. He does not mean that this power was intermittent. He simply calls attention to its presence with Jesus on this occasion.

**Luke 5:18** *That was palsied* (*hos een paralelumenos*). Periphrastic past perfect passive where <sup><402></sup>Mark 2:3; <sup><402></sup>Matthew 9:2 have *paralutikon* (our paralytic). Luke’s phrase is the technical medical term (Hippocrates, Galen, etc.) rather than Mark’s vernacular word (Ramsay, *Luke the Physician*, pp. 57f.).

*They sought* (*ezeetoun*). Conative imperfect.

**Luke 5:19** *By what way they might bring him in* (*poias eis enegkoosin auton*). Deliberative subjunctive of the direct question retained in the indirect.

*The housetop* (*to dooma*). Very old word. The flat roof of Jewish houses was usually reached by outside stairway. Cf. <sup><402></sup>Acts 10:9 where Peter went for meditation.

*Through the tiles* (*dia toon keramoon*). Common and old word for the tile roof. <sup><402></sup>Mark 2:4 speaks of digging a hole in this tile roof.

*Let him down* (*katheekan auton*). First aorist (k aorist) effective active of *kathieemi*, common verb. <sup><402></sup>Mark 2:4 has historical present *chaloosi*, the verb used by Jesus to Peter and in Peter’s reply (<sup><402></sup>Luke 5:4f.).

*With his couch* (*sun tooi klinidiooi*). Also in verse 24. Diminutive of *klinee* (verse 18) occurring in Plutarch and *Koin*, writers. <sup><402></sup>Mark 2:4 has *krabaton* (pallet). It doubtless was a pallet on which the paralytic lay.

*Into the midst before Jesus* (*eis to meson emprosthen tou Ieesou*). The four friends had succeeded, probably each holding a rope to a corner of the pallet. It was a moment of triumph over difficulties and surprise to all in the house (Peter's apparently, <sup><4001></sup>Mark 2:1).

<sup><4051></sup>**Luke 5:20** *Their faith* (*teen pistin autoon*). In all three Gospels.

*Man* (*anthroope*). Mark and Matthew have "child" or "Son" (*teknon*). Are forgiven (*apheoontai*). This Doric form of the perfect passive indicative is for the Attic *apheintai*. It appears also in <sup><4053></sup>Luke 5:23; 7:47,48; <sup><4015></sup>John 20:23; <sup><4012></sup>1 John 2:12. <sup><4016></sup>Mark 2:6; <sup><4010></sup>Matthew 9:2 have the present passive *aphientai*. Possibly this man's malady was due to his sin as is sometimes true (<sup><4054></sup>John 5:14). The man had faith along with that of the four, but he was still a paralytic when Jesus forgave his sins.

<sup><4051></sup>**Luke 5:21** *But God alone* (*ei mee monos ho theos*). Mark has *heis* (one) instead of *monos* (alone).

<sup><4052></sup>**Luke 5:22** *Perceiving* (*epignous*). Same form (second aorist active participle of *epiginooskoo*, common verb for knowing fully) in <sup><4018></sup>Mark 2:8.

*Reason ye* (*dialogizesthe*) as in <sup><4018></sup>Mark 2:8. <sup><4004></sup>Matthew 9:4 has *enthumeisthe*.

<sup><4054></sup>**Luke 5:24** *He saith unto him that was palsied* (*eipen tooi paralelumenooi*). This same parenthesis right in the midst of the words of Jesus is in <sup><4011></sup>Mark 2:11; <sup><4016></sup>Matthew 9:6, conclusive proof of interrelation between these documents. The words of Jesus are quoted practically alike in all three Gospels, the same purpose also *hina eideete* (second perfect active subjunctive).

<sup><4055></sup>**Luke 5:25** *Whereon he lay* (*eph' ho katekeito*). Imperfect, upon which he had been lying down. Luke uses this phrase instead of repeating *klinidion* (verse 24).

*Glorifying God* (*doxazoon ton theon*). As one can well imagine.

<sup><4056></sup>**Luke 5:26** *Amazement* (*ekstasis*). Something out of its place, as the mind. Here the people were almost beside themselves as we say with the same idiom. See on <sup><4050></sup>Mark 5:42. So they kept glorifying God (imperfect

tense, *edoxazon*) and at the same time “were filled with fear” (*eplestheesan phobou*, aorist passive).

*Strange things* (*paradoxa*). Our very word paradox, contrary to (*para*) received opinion (*doxa*). Plato, Xenophon, and Polybius use it. Here alone in the N.T.

**Luke 5:27** *A publican named Levi* (*teloonen onomati Leuein*).

Mark 2:13 has also “The son of Alphaeus” while Matthew 9:9 calls him “Matthew.” He had, of course, both names. All three use the same words (*epi to teloonion*) for the place of toll. See discussion of

*publican* (*telooones*) on Matthew 9:9. All three Gospels give the command of Jesus,

*Follow me* (*akolouthei*).

**Luke 5:28** *He forsook all* (*katalipoon panta*). This detail in Luke alone. He left his profitable business for the service of Christ.

*Followed him* (*eekolouthei autooi*). Imperfect active, perhaps inchoative. He began at once to follow him and he kept it up. Both Mark 2:14; Matthew 9:9 have the aorist (*eekoloutheesen*), perhaps ingressive.

**Luke 5:29** *A great feast* (*docheen megaleen*). Here and in Luke 14:13 only in the N.T. The word *dochee*, from *dechomai*, means reception. Occurs in Plutarch and LXX. Levi made Jesus a big reception.

*Publicans and others* (*teloonoon kai alloon*). Luke declines here to use “sinners” like Mark 2:15 and Matthew 9:10 though he does so in verse 30 and in Luke 15:1. None but social outcasts would eat with publicans at such a feast or barbecue, for it was a very large affair.

*Were sitting at meat with them* (*eesan met' autoon katakeimenoi*).

Literally, were reclining with them (Jesus and the disciples). It was a motley crew that Levi had brought together, but he showed courage as well as loyalty to Jesus.

**Luke 5:30** *The Pharisees and their scribes* (*hoi Pharisaioi kai hoi grammateis autoon*). Note article with each substantive and the order, not “scribes and Pharisees,” but “the Pharisees and the scribes of them” (the Pharisees). Some manuscripts omit “their,” but Mark 2:16 (the scribes

of the Pharisees) shows that it is correct here. Some of the scribes were Sadducees. It is only the Pharisees who find fault here.

*Murmured* (*egogguzon*). Imperfect active. Picturesque onomatopoeic word that sounds like its meaning. A late word used of the cooing of doves. It is like the buzzing of bees, like *tonthorruzoo* of literary Greek. They were not invited to this feast and would not have come if they had been. But, not being invited, they hang on the outside and criticize the disciples of Jesus for being there. The crowd was so large that the feast may have been served out in the open court at Levi's house, a sort of reclining garden party.

*The publicans and sinners* (*toon teloonoon kai hamartooloon*). Here Luke is quoting the criticism of the critics. Note one article making one group of all of them.

**Luke 5:31** *They that are whole* (*hoi hugiainontes*). Old Greek word for good health from *hugiees*, sound in body. So also in **Luke 7:10; 15:27;** **3 John 1:2**. This is the usual word for good health used by Greek medical writers. **Mark 2:17;** **Matthew 9:12** have *hoi ischuontes* (those who have strength).

**Luke 5:32** *To repentance* (*eis metanoian*). Alone in Luke not genuine in **Mark 2:17;** **Matthew 9:12**. Only sinners would need a call to repentance, a change of mind and life. For the moment Jesus accepts the Pharisaic division between "righteous" and "sinners" to score them and to answer their criticism. At the other times he will show that they only pretend to be "righteous" and are "hypocrites" in reality. But Jesus has here blazed the path for all soul-winners. The self-satisfied are the hard ones to win and they often resent efforts to win them to Christ.

**Luke 5:33** *Often* (*pukna*). Only in Luke. Common word for thick, compact, often.

*And make supplications* (*kai deeseis poiountai*). Only in Luke.

*But thine* (*hoi de soi*). Sharp contrast between the conduct of the disciples of Jesus and those of John and the Pharisees who here appear together as critics of Christ and his disciples (**Mark 2:18;** **Matthew 9:14**), though Luke does not bring that out sharply. It is probable that Levi had his reception for Jesus on one of the Jewish fast days and, if so, this would give special edge to their criticism.

⚭ Luke 5:34 *Can ye (mee dunasthe)*. So Luke, adding

*make, poiesai*, where Mark and Matthew have *mee dunantai*. All three have *mee* and expect the answer no.

⚭ Luke 5:35 *Then in those days (tote en ekeinai tais heemerai)*. Here ⚭ Mark 2:20 has “then in that day,” and ⚭ Matthew 9:15 only “then.”

⚭ Luke 5:36 *Also a parable (kai paraboleen)*. There are three parables here in the answer of Jesus (the bridegroom, the patch on the garment, the wineskin). They are not called parables save here, but they are parables and Luke’s language means that.

*Rendeth (schisas)*. This in Luke alone. Common verb. Used of splitting rocks (⚭ Matthew 27:51). Our word schism comes from it.

*Putteth it (epiballei)*. So ⚭ Matthew 9:16 when ⚭ Mark 2:21 has *epiraptei* (sews on). The word for “piece” or “patch” (*epibleema*) in all the three Gospels is from the verb *epiballoo*, to clap on, and is in Plutarch, Arrian, LXX, though the verb is as old as Homer. See on Matthew and Mark for distinction between *kainos* (fresh), *neos* (new), and *palaaios* (old).

*He will rend the new (kai to kainon schisei)*. Future active indicative. So the best MSS.

*Will not agree (ou sumphooneesei)*. Future active indicative. So the best manuscripts again.

*With the old (tooi palaiooi)*. Associative instrumental case. Instead of this phrase in Luke, ⚭ Mark 2:21; ⚭ Matthew 9:16 have “a worse rent” (*cheiron schisma*).

⚭ Luke 5:38 *Must be put (bleeteon)*. This verbal adjective in *-teos* rather than *-tos* appears here alone in the N.T. though it is common enough in Attic Greek. It is a survival of the literary style. This is the impersonal use and is transitive in sense here and governs the accusative “new wine” (*oinon neon*), though the agent is not expressed (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1097).

⚭ Luke 5:39 *The old is good (Hosea palaaios chreestos estin)*. So the best MSS. rather than *chreestoterous*, comparative (better). Westcott and Hort wrongly bracket the whole verse, though occurring in Aleph, B C L

and most of the old documents. It is absent in D and some of the old Latin MSS. It is the philosophy of the obscurantist, that is here pictured by Christ.

“The prejudiced person will not even try the new, or admit that it has any merits. He knows that the old is pleasant, and suits him; and that is enough; he is not going to change” (Plummer).

This is Christ’s picture of the reactionary Pharisees.

## CHAPTER 6

**☞ Luke 6:1** *On a sabbath (en sabbatooi)*. This is the second sabbath on which Jesus is noted by Luke. The first was **☞ Luke 4:31-41**. There was another in **☞ John 5:1-47**. There is Western and Syrian (Byzantine) evidence for a very curious reading here which calls this sabbath “secondfirst” (*deuteroprootooi*). It is undoubtedly spurious, though Westcott and Hort print it in the margin. A possible explanation is that a scribe wrote “first” (*prootooi*) on the margin because of the sabbath miracle in **☞ Luke 6:6-11**. Then another scribe recalled **☞ Luke 4:31** where a sabbath is mentioned and wrote “second” (*deuterooi*) also on the margin. Finally a third scribe combined the two in the word *deuteroprootooi* that is not found elsewhere. If it were genuine, we should not know what it means.

*Plucked (etillon)*. Imperfect active. They were plucking as they went on through (*diaporeuesthai*). Whether wheat or barley, we do not know, not our “corn” (maize).

*Did eat (eesthion)*. Imperfect again. See on **☞ Matthew 12:1f.**; **☞ Mark 2:23f.** for the separate acts in supposed violence of the sabbath laws.

*Rubbing them in their hands (psoochontes tais chersin)*. Only in Luke and only here in the N.T. This was one of the chief offences. “According to Rabbinical notions, it was reaping, threshing, winnowing, and preparing food all at once” (Plummer). These Pharisees were straining out gnats and swallowing camels! This verb *psoochoo* is a late one for *psaoo*, to rub.

**☞ Luke 6:3** *Not even this (oude touto)*. This small point only in Luke.

*What (ho)*. Literally,

*which*. **☞ Mark 2:25**; **☞ Matthew 12:3** have *ti* (what).

**☞ Luke 6:4** *Did take (laboon)*. Second aorist active participle of *labanoo*. Not in Mark and Matthew. See **☞ Matthew 12:1-8**; **☞ Mark 2:23-28** for discussion of details about the shewbread and the five arguments in defence of his conduct on the sabbath (example of David, work of the priests on the sabbath, prophecy of **☞ Hosea 6:6**, purpose of the sabbath for man, the Son of Man lord of the sabbath). It was an

overwhelming and crushing reply to these pettifogging ceremonialists to which they could not reply, but which increased their anger. Codex D transfers verse 5 to after verse 10 and puts here the following: “On the same day beholding one working on the sabbath he said to him: Man, if you know what you are doing, happy are you; but if you do not know, cursed are you and a transgressor of the law.”

◀◀◀ **Luke 6:6** *On another sabbath (en heterooi sabbatooi)*. This was a second (*heteron*, as it often means), but not necessarily the next, sabbath. This incident is given by all three synoptics (◀◀◀ Mark 3:1-6; ◀◀◀ Matthew 12:9-14; ◀◀◀ Luke 6:6-11). See Matt. and Mark for details. Only Luke notes that it was on a sabbath. Was this because Luke as a physician had to meet this problem in his own practise?

*Right hand (hee dexia)*. This alone in Luke, the physician’s eye for particulars.

◀◀◀ **Luke 6:7** *The scribes and the Pharisees (hoi grammateis kai hoi Pharisaioi)*. Only Luke here though Pharisees named in ◀◀◀ Matthew 12:14 and Pharisees and Herodians in ◀◀◀ Mark 3:6.

*Watched him (pareteerounto auton)*. Imperfect middle, were watching for themselves on the side (*para*). ◀◀◀ Mark 3:2 has the imperfect active *pareteeroun*. Common verb, but the proposition *para* gave an extra touch, watching either assiduously like the physician at the bedside or insidiously with evil intent as here.

*Would heal (therapeusei)*. But the present active indicative (*therapeuei*) may be the correct text here. So Westcott and Hort.

*That they might find out how to accuse him (hina heuroosin kateegorein autou)*. Second aorist active subjunctive of *heuriskoo* and the infinitive with it means to find out how to do a thing. They were determined to make a case against Jesus. They felt sure that their presence would prevent any spurious work on the part of Jesus.

◀◀◀ **Luke 6:8** *But he knew their thoughts (autos de eeidei tous dialogismous autoon)*. In Luke alone. Imperfect in sense, second past perfect in form *eeidei* from *oida*. Jesus, in contrast to these spies (Plummer), read their intellectual processes like an open book.



*His hand withered* (*xeeran teen cheira*). Predicate position of the adjective. So in <sup><ARB></sup>Mark 3:3.

*Stand forth* (*steethi*). Luke alone has this verb, second aorist active imperative. <sup><ARB></sup>Mark 3:3 has

*Arise into the midst* (*egeire eis to meson*). Luke has

*Arise and step forth into the midst* (*egeire kai steethi eis to meson*). Christ worked right out in the open where all could see. It was a moment of excitement when the man stepped forth (*estee*) there before them all.

<sup><ARB></sup>**Luke 6:9** *I ask you* (*eperootoo humfs*). They had questions in their hearts about Jesus. He now asks in addition (*ep'*) an open question that brings the whole issue into the open.

*A life* (*psucheen*). So the Revised Version. The rabbis had a rule: *Periculum vitae pellit sabbatum*. But it had to be a Jew whose life was in peril on the sabbath. The words of Jesus cut to the quick.

*Or to destroy it* (*ee apolesai*). On this very day these Pharisees were plotting to destroy Jesus (verse 7).

<sup><ARB></sup>**Luke 6:10** *He looked round about on them all* (*periblepsamenos*). First aorist middle participle as in <sup><ARB></sup>Mark 3:5, the middle voice giving a personal touch to it all. Mark adds “with anger” which Luke here does not put in. All three Gospels have the identical command:

*Stretch forth thy hand* (*exteinon teen cheira sou*). First aorist active imperative.

*Stretch out*, clean out, full length. All three Gospels also have the first aorist passive indicative *apekatestathee* with the double augment of the double compound verb *apokathisteemi*. As in Greek writers, so here the double compound means complete restoration to the former state.

<sup><ARB></sup>**Luke 6:11** *They were filled with madness* (*epleestheesan anoias*) First aorist passive (effective) with genitive: In <sup><ARB></sup>Luke 5:26 we saw the people filled with fear. Here is rage that is kin to insanity, for *anoias* is lack of sense (*a* privative and *nous*, mind). An old word, but only here and <sup><ARB></sup>2 Timothy 3:9 in the N.T.

*Communed* (*dielaloun*), imperfect active, picturing their excited counsellings with one another. <sup><4006></sup>Mark 3:6 notes that they bolted out of the synagogue and outside plotted even with the Herodians how to destroy Jesus, strange co-conspirators these against the common enemy.

*What they might do to Jesus* (*ti an poiesai en Iesou*). Luke puts it in a less damaging way than <sup><4006></sup>Mark 3:6; <sup><0124></sup>Matthew 12:14. This aorist optative with *an* is the deliberative question like that in <sup><4178></sup>Acts 17:18 retained in the indirect form here. Perhaps Luke means, not that they were undecided about killing Jesus, but only as to the best way of doing it. Already nearly two years before the end we see the set determination to destroy Jesus. We see it here in Galilee. We have already seen it at the feast in Jerusalem (<sup><4158></sup>John 5:18) where “the Jews sought the more to kill him.” John and the Synoptics are in perfect agreement as to the Pharisaic attitude toward Jesus.

<sup><0162></sup>**Luke 6:12** *He went out into the mountains to pray* (*exelthein auton eis to oros proseuxasthai*). Note *ex-* where <sup><4163></sup>Mark 3:13 has

*goeth up* (*anabainei*). Luke alone has “to pray” as he so often notes the habit of prayer in Jesus.

*He continued all night* (*een dianuktereuoōn*). Periphrastic imperfect active. Here alone in the N.T., but common in the LXX and in late Greek writers. Medical writers used it of whole night vigils.

*In prayer to God* (*en tei proseuchēi tou theou*). Objective genitive *toū theou*. This phrase occurs nowhere else. *Proseuchē* does not mean “place of prayer” or synagogue as in <sup><4163></sup>Acts 16:13, but the actual prayer of Jesus to the Father all night long. He needed the Father’s guidance now in the choice of the Apostles in the morning.

<sup><0163></sup>**Luke 6:13** *When it was day* (*hote egeneto heemera*). When day came, after the long night of prayer.

*He chose from them twelve* (*eklexamenos ap’ autoon doodeka*). The same root (*leg*) was used for picking out, selecting and then for saying. There was a large group of “disciples” or “learners” whom he “called” to him (*prosephooneesen*), and from among whom he chose (of himself, and for himself, indirect middle voice (*eklexamenos*). It was a crisis in the work of Christ. Jesus assumed full responsibility even for the choice of Judas who was not forced upon Jesus by the rest of the Twelve. “You did not choose

me, but I chose you,” (<sup><B516></sup>John 15:16) where Jesus uses *exellexasthe* and *exellexameen* as here by Luke.

*Whom also he named apostles (hous kai apostolous oonomasen).* So then Jesus gave the twelve chosen disciples this appellation. Aleph and B have these same words in <sup><A074></sup>Mark 3:14 besides the support of a few of the best cursives, the Bohairic Coptic Version and the Greek margin of the Harclean Syriac. Westcott and Hort print them in their text in <sup><A084></sup>Mark 3:14, but it remains doubtful whether they were not brought into Mark from <sup><A083></sup>Luke 6:13 where they are undoubtedly genuine. See <sup><A002></sup>Matthew 10:2 where the connection with sending them out by twos in the third tour of Galilee. The word is derived from *apostelloo*, to send (Latin, *mitto*) and apostle is missionary, one sent. Jesus applies the term to himself (*apesteilas*, <sup><B073></sup>John 17:3) as does <sup><S001></sup>Hebrews 3:1. The word is applied to others, like Barnabas, besides these twelve including the Apostle Paul who is on a par with them in rank and authority, and even to mere messengers of the churches (<sup><A023></sup>2 Corinthians 8:23). But these twelve apostles stand apart from all others in that they were all chosen at once by Jesus himself “that they might be with him” (<sup><A084></sup>Mark 3:14), to be trained by Jesus himself and to interpret him and his message to the world. In the nature of the case they could have no successors as they had to be personal witnesses to the life and resurrection of Jesus (<sup><A022></sup>Acts 1:22). The selection of Matthias to succeed Judas cannot be called a mistake, but it automatically ceased. For discussion of the names and groups in the list see discussion on <sup><A001></sup>Matthew 10:1-4; <sup><A084></sup>Mark 3:14-19.

<sup><A066></sup>**Luke 6:16** *Which was the traitor (hos egeneto prodotees).* Who became traitor, more exactly, *egeneto*, not *een*. He gave no signs of treachery when chosen.

<sup><A067></sup>**Luke 6:17** *He came down with them (katabas met' autoon).* Second aorist active participle of *katabainoo*, common verb. This was the night of prayer up in the mountain (Mark 31:3; <sup><A062></sup>Luke 6:12) and the choice of the Twelve next morning. The going up into the mountain of <sup><A080></sup>Matthew 5:1 may simply be a summary statement with no mention of what Luke has explained or may be a reference to the elevation, where he “sat down” (<sup><A081></sup>Matthew 5:1), above the plain or “level place” (*epi topou pedinou*) on the mountain side where Jesus “stood” or “stopped” (*estee*). It may be a level place towards the foot of the mountain. He stopped his descent at this level place and then found a slight elevation on the mountain side and

began to speak. There is not the slightest reason for making Matthew locate this sermon on the mountain and Luke in the valley as if the places, audiences, and topics were different. For the unity of the sermon see discussion on ~~<101>~~Matthew 5:1f. The reports in Matthew and Luke begin alike, cover the same general ground and end alike. The report in Matthew is longer chiefly because in Chapter 5, he gives the argument showing the contrast between Christ's conception of righteousness and that of the Jewish rabbis. Undoubtedly, Jesus repeated many of the crisp sayings here at other times as in Luke 12, but it is quite gratuitous to argue that Matthew and Luke have made up this sermon out of isolated sayings of Christ at various times. Both Matthew and Luke give too much that is local of place and audience for that idea. ~~<101>~~Matthew 5:1 speaks of "the multitudes" and "his disciples." ~~<101>~~Luke 6:17 notes "a great multitude of his disciples, and a great number of the people from all Judea and Jerusalem, and the sea coast of Tyre and Sidon." They agree in the presence of disciples and crowds besides the disciples from whom the twelve apostles were chosen. It is important to note how already people were coming from "the sea coast of Tyre and Sidon" "to hear him and to be healed (*iatheenai*, first aorist passive of *iaomai*) of their diseases." ~~<101>~~Luke 6:18

*With unclean spirits (apo pneumatoun akathartoon)* . In an amphibolous position for it can be construed with "troubled," (present passive participle *enochloumenoi*) or with "were healed" (imperfect passive, *etherapeuonto*). The healings were repeated as often as they came. Note here both verbs, *iaomai* and *therapeuoo*, used of the miraculous cures of Jesus. *Therapeuoo* is the verb more commonly employed of regular professional cures, but no such distinction is made here.

~~<101>~~**Luke 6:19** *Sought to touch him (ezeetoun haptesthai autou)*.

Imperfect active. One can see the surging, eager crowd pressing up to Jesus. Probably some of them felt that there was a sort of virtue or magic in touching his garments like the poor woman in ~~<101>~~Luke 8:43f. (~~<101>~~Mark 5:23; ~~<101>~~Matthew 9:21).

*For power came forth from him (hoti dunamis par' autou exeercheto)*.  
Imperfect middle,

*power was coming out from him*. This is the reason for the continual approach to Jesus.

*And healed them all (kai ifto pantas)*. Imperfect middle again. Was healing all, kept on healing all. The preacher today who is not a vehicle of power from Christ to men may well question why that is true. Undoubtedly the failure to get a blessing is one reason why many people stop going to church. One may turn to Paul's tremendous words in <sup><1013></sup>Philippians 4:13:

“I have strength for all things in him who keeps on pouring power into me”

(*panta ischuoo en tooi endunamounti me*). It was at a time of surpassing dynamic spiritual energy when Jesus delivered this greatest of all sermons so far as they are reported to us. The very air was electric with spiritual power. There are such times as all preachers know.

<sup><1011></sup>**Luke 6:20** *And he lifted up his eyes (kai autos eparas tous ophthalmous autou)*. First aorist active participle from *epairoo*. Note also Luke's favourite use of *kai autos* in beginning a paragraph. Vivid detail alone in Luke. Jesus looked the vast audience full in the face. <sup><1012></sup>Matthew 5:2 mentions that “he opened his mouth and taught them” (began to teach them, inchoative imperfect, *edidasken*). He spoke out so that the great crowd could hear. Some preachers do not open their mouths and do not look up at the people, but down at the manuscript and drawl along while the people lose interest and even go to sleep or slip out.

*Ye poor (hoi ptoochoi)*.

*The poor*, but “yours” (*humetera*) justifies the translation “ye.” Luke's report is direct address in all the four beatitudes and four woes given by him. It is useless to speculate why Luke gives only four of the eight beatitudes in Matthew or why Matthew does not give the four woes in Luke. One can only say that neither professes to give a complete report of the sermon. There is no evidence to show that either saw the report of the other. They may have used a common source like Q (the Logia of Jesus) or they may have had separate sources. Luke's first beatitude corresponds with Matthew's first, but he does not have “in spirit” after “poor.” Does Luke represent Jesus as saying that poverty itself is a blessing? It can be made so. Or does Luke represent Jesus as meaning what is in Matthew, poverty of spirit?

*The kingdom of God (hee basileia tou theou)*. <sup><1012></sup>Matthew 5:3 has “the kingdom of heaven” which occurs alone in Matthew though he also has the

one here in Luke with no practical difference. The rabbis usually said “the kingdom of heaven.” They used it of the political Messianic kingdom when Judaism of the Pharisaic sort would triumph over the world. The idea of Jesus is in the sharpest contrast to that conception here and always. See on <sup><A1R2></sup>Matthew 3:2 for discussion of the meaning of the word “kingdom.” It is the favourite word of Jesus for the rule of God in the heart here and now. It is both present and future and will reach a glorious consummation. Some of the sayings of Christ have apocalyptic and eschatological figures, but the heart of the matter is here in the spiritual reality of the reign of God in the hearts of those who serve him. The kingdom parables expand and enlarge upon various phases of this inward life and growth.

<sup><A1R2></sup>**Luke 6:21** *Now* (*nun*). Luke adds this adverb here and in the next sentence after “weep.” This sharpens the contrast between present sufferings and the future blessings.

*Filled* (*chortastheesesthe*). Future passive indicative. The same verb in <sup><A1R6></sup>Matthew 5:6. Originally it was used for giving fodder (*chortos*) to animals, but here it is spiritual fodder or food except in <sup><E1S6></sup>Luke 15:16; 16:21. Luke here omits “and thirst after righteousness.”

*Weep* (*klaiontes*). Audible weeping. Where <sup><A1R4></sup>Matthew 5:4 has “mourn” (*penthountes*).

*Shall laugh* (*gelasete*). Here <sup><A1R4></sup>Matthew 5:4 has “shall be comforted.” Luke’s words are terse.

<sup><A1R2></sup>**Luke 6:22** *When they shall separate you* (*hotan aphorisoosin humfs*). First aorist active subjunctive, from *aphorizoo*, common verb for marking off a boundary. So either in good sense or bad sense as here. The reference is to excommunication from the congregation as well as from social intercourse.

*Cast out your name as evil* (*exballoosin to onoma humoon hoos poneeron*). Second aorist active subjunctive of *ekballoo*, common verb. The verb is used in Aristophanes, Sophocles, and Plato of hissing an actor off the stage. The name of Christian or disciple or Nazarene came to be a byword of contempt as shown in the Acts. It was even unlawful in the Neronian persecution when Christianity was not a *religio licita*.

*For the Son of man’s sake* (*heneka tou huiou tou anthroopou*). Jesus foretold what will befall those who are loyal to him. The Acts of the

Apostles is a commentary on this prophecy. This is Christ's common designation of himself, never of others save by Stephen (<sup><4076></sup>Acts 7:56) and in the Apocalypse (<sup><4013></sup>Revelation 1:13; 14:14). But both Son of God and Son of man apply to him (<sup><4015></sup>John 1:50,52; <sup><4068></sup>Matthew 26:63f.). Christ was a real man though the Son of God. He is also the representative man and has authority over all men.

<sup><4023></sup>**Luke 6:23** *Leap for joy* (*skirteesate*). Old verb and in LXX, but only in Luke in the N.T. (here and <sup><4044></sup>Luke 1:41,44). It answers to Matthew's (<sup><4052></sup>Matthew 5:12) "be exceeding glad."

*Did* (*epoioun*). Imperfect active, the habit of "their fathers" (peculiar to both here). <sup><4052></sup>Matthew 5:12 has "persecuted." Thus they will receive a prophet's reward (<sup><4044></sup>Matthew 1:41).

<sup><4024></sup>**Luke 6:24** *But woe unto you that are rich* (*Pleen ouai humin tois plousiois*). Sharp contrast (*pleen*). As a matter of fact the rich Pharisees and Sadducees were the chief opposers of Christ as of the early disciples later (<sup><3081></sup>James 5:1-6).

*Ye have received* (*apechete*). Receipt in full *apechoo* means as the papyri show.

*Consolation* (*paraklesin*). From *parakaleoo*, to call to one's side, to encourage, to help, to cheer.

<sup><4025></sup>**Luke 6:25** *Now* (*nun*). Here twice as in verse 21 in contrast with future punishment. The joys and sorrows in these two verses are turned round, measure for measure reversed. The Rich Man and Lazarus (<sup><4069></sup>Luke 16:19-31) illustrate these contrasts in the present and the future.

<sup><4026></sup>**Luke 6:26** *In the same manner did their fathers* (*ta auta epoioun hoi pateres autoon*). Literally, their fathers did the same things to the false prophets. That is they spoke well (*kaloos*), finely of false prophets. Praise is sweet to the preacher but all sorts of preachers get it.

*Of you* (*humas*). Accusative case after words of speaking according to regular Greek idiom, to speak one fair, to speak well of one.

<sup><4027></sup>**Luke 6:27** *But I say unto you that hear* (*Alla humin legoo tois akouousin*). There is a contrast in this use of *alla* like that in <sup><4054></sup>Matthew 5:44. This is the only one of the many examples given by Matthew 5 of the



sharp antithesis between what the rabbis taught and what Jesus said. Perhaps that contrast is referred to by Luke. If necessary, *alla* could be coordinating or paratactic conjunction as in <sup><4071></sup>2 Corinthians 7:11 rather than adversative as apparently here. See <sup><4053></sup>Matthew 5:43f. Love of enemies is in the O.T., but Jesus ennobles the word, *agapaoo*, and uses it of love for one's enemies.

<sup><4053></sup>**Luke 6:28** *That despitefully use you (toon epeereazontoon humfs)*. This old verb occurs here only in the N.T. and in <sup><4056></sup>1 Peter 3:16, not being genuine in <sup><4054></sup>Matthew 5:44.

<sup><4053></sup>**Luke 6:29** *On the cheek (epi teen siagona)*. <sup><4053></sup>Matthew 5:39 has "right." Old word meaning jaw or jawbone, but in the N.T. only here and <sup><4053></sup>Matthew 5:39, which see for discussion. It seems an act of violence rather than contempt. Sticklers for extreme literalism find trouble with the conduct of Jesus in <sup><4052></sup>John 18:22f. where Jesus, on receiving a slap in the face, protested against it.

*Thy cloke (to himation)*,

*thy coat (ton chitooona)*. Here the upper and more valuable garment (*himation*) is first taken, the under and less valuable *chitooon* last. In <sup><4050></sup>Matthew 5:40 the process (apparently a legal one) is reversed.

*Withhold not (mee kooluseeis)*. Aorist subjunctive in prohibition against committing an act. Do not hinder him in his robbing. It is usually useless anyhow with modern armed bandits.

<sup><4053></sup>**Luke 6:30** *Ask them not again (mee apaitei)*. Here the present active imperative in a prohibition, do not have the habit of asking back. This common verb only here in the N.T., for *aitousin* is the correct text in <sup><4072></sup>Luke 12:20. The literary flavour of Luke's *Koin*, style is seen in his frequent use of words common in the literary Greek, but appearing nowhere else in the N.T.

<sup><4053></sup>**Luke 6:31** *As ye would (kathoos thelete)*. In <sup><4072></sup>Matthew 7:12 the Golden Rule begins: *Panta hosa ean thelete*. Luke has "likewise" (*homoioos*) where Matthew has *houtoos*. See on Matthew for discussion of the saying.

<sup><4053></sup>**Luke 6:32** *What thank have ye? (poia humin charis estin;)*. What grace or gratitude is there to you? <sup><4054></sup>Matthew 5:46 has *misthon* (reward).



◀163▶ **Luke 6:33** *Do good (agathopoieete)*. Third-class condition, *ean* and present subjunctive. This verb not in old Greek, but in LXX.

*Even sinners (kai hoi hamartooloi)*. Even the sinners, the article distinguishing the class. ▶166▶ Matthew 5:46 has “even the publicans” and 5:47 “even the Gentiles.” That completes the list of the outcasts for “sinners” includes “harlots” and all the rest.

◀164▶ **Luke 6:34** *If ye lend (ean daniseete)*. Third-class condition, first aorist active subjunctive from *danizoo* (old form *daneizoo*) to lend for interest in a business transaction (here in active to lend and ▶165▶ Matthew 5:42 middle to borrow and nowhere else in N.T.), whereas *kichreemi* (only ▶216▶ Luke 11:5 in N.T.) means to loan as a friendly act.

*To receive again as much (hina apolaboosin ta isa)*. Second aorist active subjunctive of *apolambanoo*, old verb, to get back in full like *apechoo* in 6:24. Literally here, “that they may get back the equal” (principal and interest, apparently). It could mean “equivalent services.” No parallel in Matthew.

◀165▶ **Luke 6:35** *But (pleen)*. Plain adversative like *pleen* in verse 24. Never despairing (*meeden apelpizontes*). *Meeden* is read by A B L Bohairic and is the reading of Westcott and Hort. The reading *meedena* is translated “despairing of no man.” The Authorized Version has it “hoping for nothing again,” a meaning for *apelpizoo* with no parallel elsewhere. Field (*Otium Nor.* iii. 40) insists that all the same the context demands this meaning because of *apelpizein* in verse 34, but the correct reading there is *elpizein*, not *apelpizein*. Here Field’s argument falls to the ground. The word occurs in Polybius, Diodorus, LXX with the sense of despairing and that is the meaning here. D and Old Latin documents have *nihil desperantes*, but the Vulgate has *nihil inde sperantes* (hoping for nothing thence) and this false rendering has wrought great havoc in Europe.

“On the strength of it Popes and councils have repeatedly condemned the taking of any interest whatever for loans. As loans could not be had without interest, and Christians were forbidden to take it, money lending passed into the hands of the Jews, and added greatly to the unnatural detestation in which Jews were held” (Plummer).

By “never despairing” or “giving up nothing in despair” Jesus means that we are not to despair about getting the money back. We are to help the apparently hopeless cases. Medical writers use the word for desperate or hopeless cases.

*Sons of the Most High (huoi Hupsistou).* In <sup><413></sup>Luke 1:32 Jesus is called “Son of the Highest” and here all real children or sons of God (<sup><476></sup>Luke 20:36) are so termed. See also <sup><415></sup>Luke 1:35,76 for the use of “the Highest” of God. He means the same thing that we see in <sup><415></sup>Matthew 5:45,48 by “your Father.”

*Toward the unthankful and evil (epi tous acharistous kai poneerous).* God the Father is kind towards the unkind and wicked. Note the one article with both adjectives.

<sup><416></sup>**Luke 6:36** *Even as your Father (kathoos ho pateer humoon).* In <sup><415></sup>Matthew 5:48 we have *hoos ho pateer humoon*. In both the perfection of the Father is placed as the goal before his children. In neither case is it said that they have reached it.

<sup><416></sup>**Luke 6:37** *And judge not (kai mee krinete).* *Mee* and the present active imperative, forbidding the habit of criticism. The common verb *krinoo*, to separate, we have in our English words critic, criticism, criticize, discriminate. Jesus does not mean that we are not to form opinions, but not to form them rashly, unfairly, like our prejudice.

*Ye shall not be judged (ou mee kritheete).* First aorist passive subjunctive with double negative *ou mee*, strong negative.

*Condemn not (mee katadikazete).* To give judgment (*dikee, dixazoo*) against (*kata*) one. *Mee* and present imperative. Either cease doing or do not have the habit of doing it. Old verb.

*Ye shall not be condemned (ou mee katadikastheete).* First aorist passive indicative again with the double negative. Censoriousness is a bad habit.

*Release (apoluete).* Positive command the opposite of the censoriousness condemned.

<sup><416></sup>**Luke 6:38** *Pressed down (pepiesmenon).* Perfect passive participle from *piezoo*, old verb, but here alone in the N.T., though the Doric form *piazoo*, to seize, occurs several times (<sup><473></sup>John 7:30,32,44).

*Shaken together* (*sesaleumenon*). Perfect passive participle again from common verb *saleuoo*.

*Running over* (*huperekchunnomenon*). Present middle participle of this double compound verb not found elsewhere save in A Q in <sup><3124></sup>Joel 2:24. *Chunoo* is a late form of *cheoo*. There is asyndeton here, no conjunction connecting these participles. The present here is in contrast to the two preceding perfects. The participles form an epexegetis or explanation of the “good measure” (*metron kalon*). Into your bosom (*eis ton kolpon humoon*). The fold of the wide upper garment bound by the girdle made a pocket in common use (<sup><1016></sup>Exodus 4:6; <sup><1067></sup>Proverbs 6:27; <sup><17912></sup>Psalms 79:12; <sup><2316></sup>Isaiah 65:6f.; <sup><2428></sup>Jeremiah 32:18). So <sup><2317></sup>Isaiah 65:7:

*I will measure their former work unto their bosom. Shall be measured to you again* (*antimetreethesetai*). Future passive indicative of the verb here only in the N.T. save late MSS. in <sup><4072></sup>Matthew 7:2. Even here some MSS. have *metreethesetai*. The *anti* has the common meaning of in turn or back, measured back to you in requital.

<sup><1013></sup>**Luke 6:39** *Also a parable* (*kai paraboleen*). Plummer thinks that the second half of the sermon begins here as indicated by Luke’s insertion of “And he spake (*eipen de*) at this point. Luke has the word *parable* some fifteen times both for crisp proverbs and for the longer narrative comparisons. This is the only use of the term *parable* concerning the metaphors in the Sermon on the Mount. But in both Matthew and Luke’s report of the discourse there are some sixteen possible applications of the word. Two come right together: The blind leading the blind, the mote and the beam. Matthew gives the parabolic proverb of the blind leading the blind later (<sup><1014></sup>Matthew 15:14). Jesus repeated these sayings on various occasions as every teacher does his characteristic ideas. So <sup><1010></sup>Luke 6:40; <sup><1011></sup>Matthew 10:24, <sup><1015></sup>Luke 6:45; <sup><1023></sup>Matthew 12:34f.

*Can* (*Meeti dunatai*). The use of *meeti* in the question shows that a negative answer is expected.

*Guide* (*hodeegein*). Common verb from *hodeegos* (guide) and this from *hodos* (way) and *hegeomai*, to lead or guide.

*Shall they not both fall?* (*ouchi amphoteroi empesountai;*). *Ouchi*, a sharpened negative from *ouk*, in a question expecting the answer Yes. Future middle indicative of the common verb *empiptoō*.

*Into a pit (eis bothunon).* Late word for older *bothros*.

**◀164▶ Luke 6:40** *The disciple is not above his master (ouk estin matheetees huper ton didaskalon).* Literally, a learner (or pupil) is not above the teacher. Precisely so in **◀1004▶ Matthew 10:24** where “slave” is added with “lord.” But here Luke adds: “But everyone when he is perfected shall be as his master” (*kateertismenos de pfs estai hoos ho didaskalos autou*). The state of completion, perfect passive participle, is noted in *kateertismenos*. The word is common for mending broken things or nets (**◀1021▶ Matthew 4:21**) or men (**◀801▶ Galatians 6:1**). So it is a long process to get the pupil patched up to the plane of his teacher.

**◀164▶ Luke 6:41** *Mote (karpfos)* and

*beam (dokon).* See on **◀1003▶ Matthew 7:3-5** for discussion of these words in this parabolic proverb kin to several of ours today.

**◀162▶ Luke 6:42** *Canst thou say (dunasai legein).* Here **◀1074▶ Matthew 7:4** has

*wilt thou say (ereis).*

*Beholdest not (ou blepoon).* **◀1004▶ Matthew 7:4** has “lo” (*idou*).

*Thou hypocrite (hupokrita).* Contrast to the studied politeness of “brother” (*adelphē*) above. Powerful picture of blind self-complacency and incompetence, the keyword to argument here.

**◀164▶ Luke 6:44** *Is known (ginoosketai).* The fruit of each tree reveals its actual character. It is the final test. This sentence is not in **◀1077▶ Matthew 7:17-20**, but the same idea is in the repeated saying (**◀1076▶ Matthew 7:16,20**): “By their fruits ye shall know them,” where the verb

*epign” sesthe* means full knowledge. The question in **◀1076▶ Matthew 7:16** is put here in positive declarative form. The verb is in the plural for “men” or “people,” *sullegousin*. See on **◀1076▶ Matthew 7:16**.

*Bramble bush (batou).* Old word, quoted from the LXX in **◀1126▶ Mark 12:26**; **◀2137▶ Luke 20:37** (from **◀1036▶ Exodus 3:6**) about the burning bush that Moses saw, and by Stephen (**◀1073▶ Acts 7:30,35**) referring to the same incident. Nowhere else in the N.T. “Galen has a chapter on its medicinal uses, and the medical writings abound in prescriptions of which it is an ingredient” (Vincent).

*Gather* (*trugoosin*). A verb common in Greek writers for gathering ripe fruit. In the N.T. only here and <sup><648></sup>Revelation 14:18f.

*Grapes* (*staphuleen*). Cluster of grapes.

<sup><166></sup>**Luke 6:45** *Bringeth forth* (*propherei*). In a similar saying repeated later. <sup><123></sup>Matthew 12:34f. has the verb *ekballei* (throws out, casts out), a bolder figure. “When men are natural, heart and mouth act in concert. But otherwise the mouth sometimes professes what the heart does not feel” (Plummer).

<sup><166></sup>**Luke 6:46** *And do not* (*kai ou poieite*). This is the point about every sermon that counts. The two parables that follow illustrate this point.

<sup><166></sup>**Luke 6:47** *Hears and does* (*akouoon kai poioon*). Present active participles. So in <sup><172></sup>Matthew 7:24. (Present indicative.)

*I will show you* (*hupodeixoo humin*). Only in Luke, not Matthew.

<sup><168></sup>**Luke 6:48** *Digged and went deep* (*eskapsen kai ebathunen*). Two first aorist indicatives. Not a *hendiadys* for dug deep. *Skaptoō*, to dig, is as old as Homer, as is *bathunōō*, to make deep.

*And laid a foundation* (*kai etheeken themelion*). That is the whole point. This wise builder struck the rock before he laid the foundation.

*When a flood arose* (*pleemmurees genomenees*). Genitive absolute. Late word for flood, *pleemmura*, only here in the N.T., though in <sup><818></sup>Job 40:18.

*Brake against* (*prosereexen*). First aorist active indicative from *proseegnumi* and in late writers *proseessoo*, to break against. Only here in the N.T. <sup><175></sup>Matthew 7:25 has *prosepesan*, from *prospiptoo*, to fall against.

*Could not shake it* (*ouk ischusen saleusai auteen*). Did not have strength enough to shake it.

*Because it had been well builded* (*dia to kaloos oikodomeesthai auteen*). Perfect passive articular infinitive after *dia* and with accusative of general reference.

◀169▶ **Luke 6:49** *He that heareth and doeth not* (*ho de akousas kai mee poieesas*). Aorist active participle with article. Particular case singled out (punctiliar, aorist).

*Like a man* (*homoios estin anthroopoi*). Associative instrumental case after *homoios* as in verse 47.

*Upon the earth* (*epi teen geen*). ▶172▶ Matthew 7:26 has “upon the sand” (*epi teen ammon*), more precise and worse than mere earth. But not on the rock.

*Without a foundation* (*chooris themeliou*). The foundation on the rock after deep digging as in verse 48.

*It fell in* (*sunepesen*). Second aorist active of *sunpiptoo*, to fall together, to collapse. An old verb from Homer on, but only here in the N.T.

*The ruin* (*to reegma*). The crash like a giant oak in the forest resounded far and wide. An old word for a rent or fracture as in medicine for laceration of a wound. Only here in the N.T.

## CHAPTER 7

◀400▶ **Luke 7:1** *After (epeidee, epei and dee)*. This conjunction was written *epei dee* in Homer and is simple *epei* with the intensive *dee* added and even *epei dee per* once in N.T. (◀400▶ Luke 1:1). This is the only instance of the temporal use of *epeidee* in the N.T. The causal sense occurs only in Luke and Paul, for *epei* is the correct text in ▶1246▶ Matthew 21:46.

*Had ended (epleeroosen)*. First aorist active indicative. There is here a reference to the conclusion of the Sermon on the Mount, but with nothing concerning the impression produced by the discourse such as is seen in ▶4028▶ Matthew 7:28. This verse really belongs as the conclusion of Chapter 6, not as the beginning of Chapter 7.

*In the ears of the people (eis tas akoas tou laou)*. *Akoe* from *akouoo*, to hear, is used of the sense of hearing (▶4217▶ 1 Corinthians 12:17), the ear with which one hears (▶4075▶ Mark 7:35; ▶3851▶ Hebrews 5:11), the thing heard or the report (▶5006▶ Romans 10:16) or oral instruction (▶4492▶ Galatians 3:2,5). Both ▶4085▶ Matthew 8:5-13; ▶4000▶ Luke 7:1-10 locate the healing of the centurion's servant in Capernaum where Jesus was after the Sermon on the Mount.

◀400▶ **Luke 7:2** *Centurion's servant (Hekatontarchou tinos doulos)*. Slave of a certain centurion (Latin word *centurio*, commander of a century or hundred). ▶4153▶ Mark 15:39,44 has the Latin word in Greek letters, *kenturioon*. The centurion commanded a company which varied from fifty to a hundred. Each cohort had six centuries. Each legion had ten cohorts or bands (▶4400▶ Acts 10:1). The centurions mentioned in the N.T. all seem to be fine men as Polybius states that the best men in the army had this position. See also ▶42347▶ Luke 23:47. The Greek has two forms of the word, both from *hekaton*, hundred, and *archoo*, to rule, and they appear to be used interchangeably. So we have *hekatontarchos*; here, the form is *-archos*, and *hekatontarchees*, the form is *-archees* in verse 6. The manuscripts differ about it in almost every instance. The *-archos* form is accepted by Westcott and Hort only in the nominative save the genitive singular here in ▶4302▶ Luke 7:2 and the accusative singular in ▶4225▶ Acts 22:25. See like variation between them in ▶4085▶ Matthew 8:5,8 (*-archos*) and ▶4183▶ Matthew 8:13 (*archeei*). So also *-archon* (▶4225▶ Acts 22:25) and *-archees* (▶4225▶ Acts 22:26).

*Dear to him (autooi entimos)*. Held in honour, prized, precious, dear (<sup><0148></sup>Luke 14:8; <sup><0104></sup>1 Peter 2:4; <sup><1869></sup>Philippians 2:29), common Greek word. Even though a slave he was dear to him.

*Was sick (kakoos echoon)*. Having it bad. Common idiom. See already <sup><0081></sup>Matthew 4:24; 8:16; <sup><0127></sup>Mark 2:17; <sup><0153></sup>Luke 5:31, etc. <sup><0186></sup>Matthew 8:6 notes that the slave was a paralytic.

*And at the point of death (eemellen teleutfin)*. Imperfect active of *melloo* (note double augment *ee*) which is used either with the present infinitive as here, the aorist (<sup><0186></sup>Revelation 3:16), or even the future because of the future idea in *melloo* (<sup><0112></sup>Acts 11:28; 24:15). He was about to die.

<sup><0108></sup>**Luke 7:3** *Sent unto him elders of the Jews (apesteilen pros auton presbouterous toon Ioudaioon)*. <sup><0186></sup>Matthew 8:5 says “the centurion came unto him.” For discussion of this famous case of apparent discrepancy see discussion on Matthew. One possible solution is that Luke tells the story as it happened with the details, whereas Matthew simply presents a summary statement without the details. What one does through another he does himself.

*Asking him (erootoon auton)*. Present active participle, masculine singular nominative, of the verb *erootaoo* common for asking a question as in the old Greek (<sup><0238></sup>Luke 22:68). But more frequently in the N.T. the verb has the idea of making a request as here. This is not a Hebraism or an Aramaism, but is a common meaning of the verb in the papyri (Deissmann, *Light from the Ancient East*, p. 168). It is to be noted here that Luke represents the centurion himself as “asking” through the elders of the Jews (leading citizens). In <sup><0186></sup>Matthew 8:6 the verb is *parakaloon* (beseeching).

*That he would come and save (hopoos elthoon diasooseei)*. *Hina* is the more common final or sub-final (as here) conjunction, but *hopoos* still occurs. *Diasooseei* is effective aorist active subjunctive, to bring safe through as in a storm (<sup><0181></sup>Acts 28:1,4). Common word.

<sup><0108></sup>**Luke 7:4** *Besought (parekaloun)*. Imperfect active, began and kept on beseeching. This is the same verb used by Matthew in <sup><0186></sup>Matthew 8:5 of the centurion himself.

*Earnestly (spoudaioos)*. From *spoudee* haste. So eagerly, earnestly, zealously, for time was short.



*That thou shouldst do this for him* (*hooi parexeei touto*). Second future middle singular of *parechoo*. Old and common verb, furnish on thy part. *Hooi* is relative in dative case almost with notion of contemplated result (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 961).

⚭ **Luke 7:5** *For* (*gar*). This clause gives the reason why the elders of the Jews consider him “worthy” (*axios*, drawing down the scale, *axis*, *ago*). He was hardly a proselyte, but was a Roman who had shown his love for the Jews.

*Himself* (*autos*). All by himself and at his own expense.

*Us* (*heemin*). Dative case, for us. It is held by some archaeologists that the black basalt ruins in Tell Hum are the remains of the very synagogue (*teen sunagoogeen*). Literally,

*the synagogue*, the one which we have, the one for us.

⚭ **Luke 7:6** *Went with them* (*eporeueto sun autois*). Imperfect indicative middle. He started to go along with them.

*Now* (*eedee*). Already like Latin *jam*. In ⚭ 1 Corinthians 4:8 *nun eedee* like *jam nunc*.

*Sent friends* (*epempsen philous*). This second embassy also, wanting in Matthew’s narrative. He “puts the message of both into the mouth of the centurion himself” (Plummer). Note saying (*legoon*), present active singular participle, followed by direct quotation from the centurion himself.

*Trouble not thyself* (*Mee skullou*). Present middle (direct use) imperative of *skulloo*, old verb originally meaning to skin, to mangle, and then in later Greek to vex, trouble, annoy. Frequent in the papyri in this latter sense.

*For I am not worthy that* (*ou gar hikanos eimi hina*). The same word *hikanos*, not *axios*, as in ⚭ Matthew 8:8, which see for discussion, from *hikoo*, *hikanoo*, to fit, to reach, be adequate for. *Hina* in both places as common in late Greek. See ⚭ Matthew 8:8 also for “roof” (*stegeen*, covering).

⚭ **Luke 7:7** *Wherefore neither thought I myself worthy to come unto thee* (*dio oude emauton eexioosa pros se elthein*). Not in Matthew because he represents the centurion as coming to Jesus.

*Speak the word (eipe logooi)*. As in <sup><418B></sup>Matthew 8:8. Second aorist active imperative with instrumental case, speak with a word.

*My servant shall be healed (iatheetoo ho pais mou)*. Imperative first aorist passive, let be healed. *Pais* literally means “boy,” an affectionate term for the “slave,” *doulos* (verse 2), who was “dear” to him.

<sup><417C></sup>**Luke 7:8** *“Set” (tassomenos)*. Genuine here, though doubtful in <sup><418B></sup>Matthew 8:9 where see discussion of this vivid and characteristic speech of the centurion.

<sup><417D></sup>**Luke 7:9** *Turned (strapheis)*. Second aorist passive participle of *strepheetoo*, to turn. Common verb. A vivid touch not in Matthew’s account. In both Matthew and Luke Jesus marvels at the great faith of this Roman centurion beyond that among the Jews. As a military man he had learned how to receive orders and to execute them and hence to expect obedience to his commands, He recognized Jesus as Master over disease with power to compel obedience.

<sup><417E></sup>**Luke 7:10** *Whole (hugiainonta)*. Sound, well. See <sup><418B></sup>Luke 5:31.

<sup><417F></sup>**Luke 7:11** *Soon afterwards (en toi hexees)*. According to this reading supply *chronooi*, time. Other MSS. read *teei hexees* (supply *heemerfi*, day). *Hexees* occurs in Luke and Acts in the N.T. though old adverb of time.

*That (Hoti)*. Not in the Greek, the two verbs *egeneto* and *eporeuthee* having no connective (asyndeton).

*Went with him (suneporeuonto autooi)*. Imperfect middle picturing the procession of disciples and the crowd with Jesus. Nain is not mentioned elsewhere in the N.T. There is today a hamlet about two miles west of Endor on the north slope of Little Hermon. There is a burying-place still in use. Robinson and Stanley think that the very road on which the crowd with Jesus met the funeral procession can be identified.

<sup><417G></sup>**Luke 7:12** *Behold (kai idou)*. The *kai* introduces the apodosis of the temporal sentence and has to be left out in translations. It is a common idiom in Luke, *kai idou*.

*There was carried out (exekomizeto)*. Imperfect passive indicative. Common verb in late Greek for carrying out a body for burial, though here

only in the N.T. (*ekkomizoo*). Rock tombs outside of the village exist there today.

*One that was dead* (*tethneekoo*). Perfect active participle of *thneeskoo*, to die.

*The only son of his mother* (*monogenees huios teei meetri autou*). Only begotten son to his mother (dative case). The compound adjective *monogenees* (*monos* and *genos*) is common in the old Greek and occurs in the N.T. about Jesus (<sup><41816></sup>John 3:16,18). The

“death of a widow’s only son was the greatest misfortune conceivable” (Easton).

*And she was a widow* (*kai autee een cheera*). This word *cheera* gives the finishing touch to the pathos of the situation. The word is from *cheeros*, bereft. The mourning of a widow for an only son is the extremity of grief (Plummer).

*Much people* (*ochlos hikanos*). Considerable crowd as often with this adjective *hikanos*. Some were hired mourners, but the size of the crowd showed the real sympathy of the town for her.

<sup><41713></sup>**Luke 7:13** *The Lord saw her* (*idoon auteen ho kurios*). The Lord of Life confronts death (Plummer) and Luke may use *Kurios* here purposely.

*Had compassion* (*esplagchthee*). First aorist (ingressive) passive indicative of *splagchnizomai*. Often love and pity are mentioned as the motives for Christ’s miracles (<sup><41414></sup>Matthew 14:14; 15:32, etc.). It is confined to the Synoptics in the N.T. and about Christ save in the parables by Christ.

*Weep not* (*mee klaie*). Present imperative in a prohibition. Cease weeping.

<sup><41714></sup>**Luke 7:14** *Touched the bier* (*heepsato tou sorou*). An urn for the bones or ashes of the dead in Homer, then the coffin (<sup><41053></sup>Genesis 5:26), then the funeral couch or bier as here. Only here in the N.T. Jesus touched the bier to make the bearers stop, which they did (

*stood still, esteesan*), second aorist active indicative of *histeemi*.

<sup><41715></sup>**Luke 7:15** *Sat up* (*anekathisen*). First aorist active indicative. The verb in the N.T. only here and <sup><44940></sup>Acts 9:40. Medical writers often used it of the sick sitting up in bed (Hobart, *Med. Lang. of St. Luke*, p. 11). It is

objected that the symmetry of these cases (daughter of Jairus raised from the death-bed, this widow's son raised from the bier, Lazarus raised from the tomb) is suspicious, but no one Gospel gives all three (Plummer).

*Gave him to his mother* (*edooken auton teei meetri autou*). Tender way of putting it. "For he had already ceased to belong to his mother" (Bengel). So in <sup><192></sup>Luke 9:42.

<sup><176></sup>**Luke 7:16** *Fear seized all* (*elaben de phobos pantas*). Aorist active indicative. At once.

*They glorified God* (*edoxazon ton theon*). Imperfect active, inchoative, began and increased.

<sup><177></sup>**Luke 7:17** *This report* (*ho logos houtos*). That God had raised up a great prophet who had shown his call by raising the dead.

<sup><178></sup>**Luke 7:18** *And the disciples of John told him* (*kai apeggeilan Iooaneei hoi matheetai autou*). Literally, and his disciples announced to John. Such news (verse 17) was bound to come to the ears of the Baptist languishing in the dungeon of Machaerus (<sup><181></sup>Luke 3:20). <sup><178></sup>Luke 7:18-35 runs parallel with <sup><112></sup>Matthew 11:2-19, a specimen of Q, the non-Marcian portion of Matthew and Luke.

<sup><179></sup>**Luke 7:19** *Calling unto him* (*proskalesamenos*). First aorist middle (indirect) participle.

*Two* (*duo tinas*). Certain two. Not in <sup><112></sup>Matthew 11:2.

*Saying* (*legoon*). John saying by the two messengers. The message is given precisely alike in <sup><112></sup>Matthew 11:3, which see. In both we have *heteron* for "another," either a second or a different kind. In verse 20 Westcott and Hort read *allon* in the text, *heteron* in the margin. *Prosdokoomen*, may be present indicative or present subjunctive (deliberative), the same contract form (*ao= oo, aoo oo*).

<sup><172></sup>**Luke 7:21** *In that hour he cured* (*en ekeinee teei horfi etherapeusen*). This item is not in Matthew. Jesus gave the two disciples of John an example of the direct method. They had heard. Then they saw for themselves.

*Diseases (nosoon), plagues (mastigoon), evil spirits (pneumatooon poneeroon)*, all kinds of bodily ills, and he singles out the *blind (tuphlois)* to whom in particular he bestowed sight (*echarizato blepein*), gave as a free gift (from *charis*, grace) seeing (*blepein*).

**Luke 7:22** *What things ye have seen and heard (ha eidete kai eekousate)*. In <sup><0104></sup>Matthew 11:4, present tense “which ye do hear and see.” Rest of verse 22,23 as in <sup><0104></sup>Matthew 11:4-6, which see for details. Luke mentions no raisings from the dead in verse 21, but the language is mainly general, while here it is specific. *Skandalizomai* used here has the double notion of to trip up and to entrap and in the N.T. always means causing to sin.

**Luke 7:24** *When the messengers of John were departed (apelthoontoon toon aggeloon Iooanou)*. Genitive absolute of aorist active participle. <sup><0107></sup>Matthew 11:7 has the present middle participle *poruomenoon*, suggesting that Jesus began his eulogy of John as soon as the messengers (angels, Luke calls them) were on their way. The vivid questions about the people’s interest in John are precisely alike in both Matthew and Luke.

**Luke 7:25** *Gorgeously apparelled (en himatismooi endoxooi)*. In splendid clothing. Here alone in this sense in the N.T.

*And live delicately (trupheei)*. From *thruptoo* to break down, to enervate, an old word for luxurious living. See the verb *truphaoo* in <sup><3005></sup>James 5:5.

*In kings’ courts (en tois basileiois)*. Only here in the N.T. <sup><0108></sup>Matthew 11:8 has it “in kings’ houses.” Verses 26,27 are precisely alike in <sup><0109></sup>Matthew 11:9,10, which see for discussion.

**Luke 7:26** *A prophet? (propheeteen;)*. A real prophet will always get a hearing if he has a message from God. He is a for-speaker, forth-teller (*pro-pheetees*). He may or may not be a fore-teller. The main thing is for the prophet to have a message from God which he is willing to tell at whatever cost to himself. The word of God came to John in the wilderness of Judea (<sup><0110></sup>Luke 3:2). That made him a prophet. There is a prophetic element in every real preacher of the Gospel. Real prophets become leaders and moulders of men.

◄0728► **Luke 7:28** *There is none (oudeis estin)*. No one exists, this means.

◄0111► Matthew 11:11 has *ouk egeegertai* (hath not arisen). See Matthew for discussion of “but little” and “greater.”

◄0729► **Luke 7:29** *Justified God (edikaiosan ton theon)*. They considered God just or righteous in making these demands of them. Even the publicans did. They submitted to the baptism of John (*baptisthentes to baptisma tou Iooanou*). First aorist passive participle with the cognate accusative retained in the passive. Some writers consider verses 29,30 a comment of Luke in the midst of the eulogy of John by Jesus. This would be a remarkable thing for so long a comment to be interjected. It is perfectly proper as the saying of Jesus.

◄0730► **Luke 7:30** *Rejected for themselves (eetheteesan eis heautous)*. The first aorist active of *atheteo* first seen in LXX and Polybius. Occurs in the papyri. These legalistic interpreters of the law refused to admit the need of confession of sin on their part and so set aside the baptism of John. They annulled God’s purposes of grace so far as they applied to them.

*Being not baptized by him (mee baptisthentes hup’ autou)*. First aorist passive participle. *Mee* is the usual negative of the participle in the *Koin*,.

◄0731► **Luke 7:31** *And to what are they like? (kai tini eisin homoioi;)*. This second question is not in ◄0116► Matthew 11:16. It sharpens the point. The case of *tini* is associative instrumental after *homoioi*. See discussion of details in Matthew.

◄0732► **Luke 7:32** *And ye did not weep (kai ouk eklause)*. Here

◄0117► Matthew 1:17 has “and ye did not mourn (or beat your breast, *ouk ekopsasthe*). They all did it at funerals. These children would not play wedding or funeral.

◄0733► **Luke 7:33** *John the Baptist is come (eleeluthen)*. Second perfect active indicative where ◄0118► Matthew 11:18 has *elthen* second aorist active indicative. So as to verse 34. Luke alone has “bread” and “wine.” Otherwise these verses like ◄0118► Matthew 11:18,19, which see for discussion of details. There are actually critics today who say that Jesus was called the friend of sinners and even of harlots because he loved them and their ways and so deserved the slur cast upon him by his enemies. If men can say that today we need not wonder that the Pharisees and lawyers said it then to justify their own rejection of Jesus.

☞**Luke 7:35** *Of all her children* (*apo pantoon toon teknoon autees*). Here ☞**Matthew 11:19** has “by her works” (*apo toon ergoon autees*). Aleph has *ergoon* here. The use of “children” personifies wisdom as in Proverbs 8; 9.

☞**Luke 7:36** *That he would eat with him* (*hina phageei met' autou*). Second aorist active subjunctive. The use of *hina* after *erootaoo* (see also ☞**Luke 16:27**) is on the border between the pure object clause and the indirect question (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1046) and the pure final clause. Luke has two other instances of Pharisees who invited Jesus to meals (☞**Luke 11:37; 14:1**) and he alone gives them. This is the Gospel of Hospitality (Ragg). Jesus would dine with a Pharisee or with a publican (☞**Luke 5:29; ☞Mark 2:15; ☞Matthew 9:10**) and even invited himself to be the guest of Zaccheus (☞**Luke 9:5**). This Pharisee was not as hostile as the leaders in Jerusalem. It is not necessary to think this Pharisee had any sinister motive in his invitation though he was not overly friendly (Plummer).

☞**Luke 7:37** *A woman which was in the city, a sinner* (*gunee heetis en teei polei hamartoolos*). Probably in Capernaum. The use of *heetis* means “Who was of such a character as to be” (cf. ☞**Luke 8:3**) and so more than merely the relative *hee*, who, that is, “who was a sinner in the city,” a woman of the town, in other words, and known to be such. *Hamartoolos*, from *hamartanoo*, to sin, means devoted to sin and uses the same form for feminine and masculine. It is false and unjust to Mary Magdalene, introduced as a new character in ☞**Luke 8:2**, to identify this woman with her. Luke would have no motive in concealing her name here and the life of a courtesan would be incompatible with the sevenfold possession of demons. Still worse is it to identify this courtesan not only with Mary Magdalene, but also with Mary of Bethany simply because it is a Simon who gives there a feast to Jesus when Mary of Bethany does a beautiful deed somewhat like this one here (☞**Mark 14:3-9; ☞Matthew 26:6-13; ☞John 12:2-8**). Certainly Luke knew full well the real character of Mary of Bethany (☞**Luke 10:38-42**) so beautifully pictured by him. But a falsehood, once started, seems to have more lives than the cat’s proverbial nine. The very name Magdalene has come to mean a repentant courtesan. But we can at least refuse to countenance such a slander on Mary Magdalene and on Mary of Bethany. This sinful woman had undoubtedly repented and changed her life and wished to show her gratitude to Jesus

who had rescued her. Her bad reputation as a harlot clung to her and made her an unwelcome visitor in the Pharisee's house.

*When she knew (epignousa)*. Second aorist active participle from *epiginooskoo*, to know fully, to recognize. She came in by a curious custom of the time that allowed strangers to enter a house uninvited at a feast, especially beggars seeking a gift. This woman was an intruder whereas Mary of Bethany was an invited guest. "Many came in and took their places on the side seats, uninvited and yet unchallenged. They spoke to those at table on business or the news of the day, and our host spoke freely to them" (Trench in his *Parables*, describing a dinner at a Consul's house at Damietta).

*He was sitting at meat (katakeitai)*. Literally, he is reclining (present tense retained in indirect discourse in Greek).

*An alabaster cruse of ointment (alabastron murou)*. See on <sup><407></sup>Matthew 26:7 for discussion of *alabastron* and *murou*.

<sup><407></sup>**Luke 7:38** *Standing behind at his feet (stfsa opisoo para tous podas autou)*. Second aorist active participle from *histeemi* and intransitive, first aorist *esteesa* being transitive. The guest removed his sandals before the meal and he reclined on the left side with the feet outward. She was standing beside (*para*) his feet

*weeping (klaiousa)*. She was drawn irresistibly by gratitude to Jesus and is overcome with emotion before she can use the ointment; her tears (*tois dakrusin*, instrumental case of *dakru*) take the place of the ointment.

*Wiped them with the hair of her head (tais thrixin tees kephalees autees exemassen)*. Inchoative imperfect of an old verb *ekmassoo*, to rub out or off, began to wipe off, an act of impulse evidently and of embarrassment.

"Among the Jews it was a shameful thing for a woman to let down her hair in public; but she makes this sacrifice" (Plummer).

So Mary of Bethany wiped the feet of Jesus with her hair (<sup><407></sup>John 12:3) with a similar sacrifice out of her great love for Jesus. This fact is relied on by some to prove that Mary of Bethany had been a woman of bad character, surely an utter failure to recognize Mary's motive and act.

*Kissed (kataphilei)*. Imperfect active of *kataphileo*, to kiss repeatedly (force of *kata*), and accented by the tense of continued action here. The



word in the N.T. occurs here, of the prodigal's father (◀2151▶ Luke 15:20), of the kiss of Judas (◀4145▶ Mark 14:45; ▶4154▶ Matthew 26:49), of the Ephesian elders (◀4157▶ Acts 20:37). “Kissing the feet was a common mark of deep reverence, especially to leading rabbis” (Plummer).

*Anointed them with the ointment (eeleiphen tooi murooi)*. Imperfect active again of *aleiphoo*, a very common verb. *Chrio* has a more religious sense. The anointing came after the burst of emotional excitement.

◀4173▶ **Luke 7:39** *This man (houtos)*. Contemptuous, this fellow.

*If he were a (the) prophet (ei een [ho] propheetees)*. Condition of the second class, determined as unfulfilled. The Pharisee assumes that Jesus is not a prophet (or the prophet, reading of B, that he claims to be). A Greek condition puts the thing from the standpoint of the speaker or writer. It does not deal with the actual facts, but only with the statement about the facts.

*Would have perceived (eginoosken an)*. Wrong translation, would now perceive or know (which he assumes that Jesus does not do). The protasis is false and the conclusion also. He is wrong in both. The conclusion (apodosis), like the condition, deals here with the present situation and so both use the imperfect indicative (*an* in the conclusion, a mere device for making it plain that it is not a condition of the first class).

*Who and what manner of woman (tis kai potapee hee gunee)*. She was notorious in person and character.

◀4174▶ **Luke 7:40** *Answering (apokritheis)*. First aorist passive participle, redundant use with *eipen*. Jesus answers the thoughts and doubts of Simon and so shows that he knows all about the woman also. Godet notes a tone of Socratic irony here.

◀4174▶ **Luke 7:41** *A certain lender (danisteei tini)*. A lender of money with interest. Here alone in the N.T. though a common word.

*Debtors (chreophiletai)*. From *chreoo* (debt, obligation) and *opheiloo*, to owe. Only here and ▶2165▶ Luke 16:5 in the N.T., though common in late Greek writers.

*Owed (oopheilen)*. Imperfect active and so unpaid. Five hundred *deenaria* and fifty like two hundred and fifty dollars and twenty-five dollars.

☞ **Luke 7:42** *Will love him most* (*pleion agapeesei auton*). Strictly, comparative

*more*, *pleion*, not superlative *pleista*, but most suits the English idiom best, even between two. Superlative forms are vanishing before the comparative in the *Koin.*,. This is the point of the parable, the attitude of the two debtors toward the lender who forgave both of them (Plummer).

☞ **Luke 7:43** *I suppose* (*hupolambanoo*). Old verb, originally to take up from under, to bear away as on high, to take up in speech (☞ Luke 10:30), to take up in mind or to assume as here and ☞ Acts 2:15. Here with an air of supercilious indifference (Plummer).

*The most* (*to pleion*). The more.

*Rightly* (*orthoos*). Correctly. Socrates was fond of *panu orthoos*. The end of the argument.

☞ **Luke 7:44** *Turning* (*strapheis*). Second aorist passive participle.

*Seest thou* (*blepeis*). For the first time Jesus looks at the woman and he asks the Pharisee to look at her. She was behind Jesus. Jesus was an invited guest. The Pharisee had neglected some points of customary hospitality. The contrasts here made have the rhythm of Hebrew poetry. In each contrast the first word is the point of defect in Simon: *water* (44), *kiss* (45), *oil* (46).

☞ **Luke 7:45** *Hath not ceased to kiss* (*ou dielipen kataphilousa*). Supplementary participle.

☞ **Luke 7:46** *With ointment* (*murooi*). Instrumental case. She used the costly ointment even for the feet of Jesus.

☞ **Luke 7:47** *Are forgiven* (*apheoontai*). Doric perfect passive form. See ☞ Luke 5:21,23.

*For she loved much* (*hoti eegapeesen polu*). Illustration or proof, not reason for the forgiveness. Her sins had been already forgiven and remained forgiven.

*But to whom little is forgiven, the same loveth little* (*Hooi de oligon aphietai oligon agapfi*). This explanation proves that the meaning of *hoti* preceding is proof, not cause.

~~<178>~~ **Luke 7:48** *Are forgiven (apheoontai)*. As in verse 47. Remain forgiven, Jesus means, in spite of the slur of the Pharisee.

~~<179>~~ **Luke 7:49** *Who even forgiveth sins (hos kai hamartias aphieesin)*. Present indicative active of same verb, *aphieemi*. Once before the Pharisees considered Jesus guilty of blasphemy in claiming the power to forgive sins (~~<171>~~ Luke 5:21). Jesus read their inmost thoughts as he always does.

## CHAPTER 8

◀BIB▶ **Luke 8:1** *Soon afterwards (en tooi kathexees)*. In ▶BIB▶ Luke 7:11 we have *en tooi hexees*. This word means one after the other, successively, but that gives no definite data as to the time, only that this incident in ▶BIB▶ Luke 8:1-3 follows that in ▶BIB▶ Luke 7:36-50. Both in Luke alone.

*That (kai)*. One of Luke's idioms with *kai egeneto* like Hebrew *wav*. Went about (*diodeuen*). Imperfect active of *diodeuoo*, to make one's way through (*dia, hodos*), common in late Greek writers. In the N.T. here only and ▶BIB▶ Acts 17:1.

*Through cities and villages (kata polin kai koomeen)*. Distributive use of *kata* (up and down). The clause is amphibolous and goes equally well with *diodeuen* or with *keerussoon* (heralding) *kai euaggelizomenos* (evangelizing, gospelizing). This is the second tour of Galilee, this time the Twelve with him.

◀BIB▶ **Luke 8:2** *Which had been healed (hai eesan tetherapeumenai)*. Periphrastic past perfect passive, suggesting that the healing had taken place some time before this tour. These women all had personal grounds of gratitude to Jesus.

*From whom seven devils (demons) had gone out (aph' hees daimonia hepta exeeluthei)*. Past perfect active third singular for the *daimonia* are neuter plural. This first mention of Mary Magdalene describes her special cause of gratitude. This fact is stated also in ▶BIB▶ Mark 16:9 in the disputed close of the Gospel. The presence of seven demons in one person indicates special malignity (▶BIB▶ Mark 5:9). See ▶BIB▶ Matthew 17:45 for the parable of the demon who came back with seven other demons worse than the first. It is not known where Magdala was, whence Mary came.

◀BIB▶ **Luke 8:3** *Joanna (Iooana)*. Her husband *Chuzf*, steward (*epitropou*) of Herod, is held by some to be the nobleman (*basilikos*) of ▶BIB▶ John 4:46-53 who believed and all his house. At any rate Christ had a follower from the household of Herod Antipas who had such curiosity to see and hear him. One may recall also Manaen (▶BIB▶ Acts 13:1), Herod's foster brother. Joanna is mentioned again with Mary Magdalene in ▶BIB▶ Luke 24:10.

*Who ministered unto them* (*haitines dieekonoun autois*). Imperfect active of *diakoneoo*, common verb, but note augment as if from *dia* and *akoneoo*, but from *diakonos* and that from *dia* and *konis* (dust). The very fact that Jesus now had twelve men going with him called for help from others and the women of means responded to the demand.

*Of their substance* (*ek toon huparchontoon autais*). From the things belonging to them. This is the first woman's missionary society for the support of missionaries of the Gospel. They had difficulties in their way, but they overcame these, so great was their gratitude and zeal.

◀◀◀ **Luke 8:4** *By a parable* (*dia parabolees*). ◀◀◀ Mark 4:2 says "in parables" as does ◀◀◀ Matthew 13:3. This is the beginning of the first great group of parables as given in ◀◀◀ Mark 4:1-34 and ◀◀◀ Matthew 13:1-53. There are ten of these parables in Mark and Matthew and only two in ◀◀◀ Luke 8:4-18 (The Sower and the Lamp, ◀◀◀ Luke 8:16) though Luke also has the expression "in parables" (◀◀◀ Luke 8:10). See Matthew 13 and Mark 4 for discussion of the word parable and the details of the Parable of the Sower. Luke does not locate the place, but he mentions the great crowds on hand, while both Mark and Matthew name the seaside as the place where Jesus was at the start of the series of parables.

◀◀◀ **Luke 8:5** *His seed* (*ton sporon autou*). Peculiar to Luke.

*Was trodden under foot* (*katapateethee*). First aorist passive indicative of *katapateoo*. Peculiar to Luke here.

*Of the heavens* (*tou ouranou*). Added in Luke.

◀◀◀ **Luke 8:6** *Upon the rock* (*epi teen petran*). ◀◀◀ Mark 4:5 "the rocky ground" (*epi to petroodes*), ◀◀◀ Matthew 13:5 "the rocky places."

*As soon as it grew* (*phuen*). Second aorist passive participle of *phuoo*, an old verb to spring up like a sprout.

*Withered away* (*exeeranthee*). First aorist passive indicative of *zerainoo*, old verb, to dry up.

*Moisture* (*ikmada*). Here only in the N.T., though common word.

◀◀◀ **Luke 8:7** *Amidst the thorns* (*en mesooi toon akanthoon*). ◀◀◀ Mark 4:7 has *eis* (among) and ◀◀◀ Matthew 13:7 has *epi* "upon."

*Grew with it* (*sunphueisai*). Same participle as *phuen* above with *sun-* (together).

*Choked* (*apepnixan*). From *apopnigoo*, to choke off as in <sup><037></sup>Matthew 13:7. In <sup><048></sup>Mark 4:7 the verb is *sunepnixan* (choked together).

<sup><088></sup>**Luke 8:8** *A hundredfold* (*hekatonplasiona*). Luke omits the thirty and sixty of <sup><048></sup>Mark 4:8; <sup><038></sup>Matthew 13:8.

*He cried* (*ephoonei*). Imperfect active, and in a loud voice, the verb means. The warning about hearing with the ears occurs also in <sup><049></sup>Mark 4:9; <sup><039></sup>Matthew 13:9.

<sup><089></sup>**Luke 8:9** *Asked* (*epeerootoon*). Imperfect of *epeerootao* (*epi* and *eerootao*) where <sup><040></sup>Mark 4:10 has *eerootoon* (uncompounded imperfect), both the tense and the use of *epi* indicate eager and repeated questions on the part of the disciples, perhaps dimly perceiving a possible reflection on their own growth.

*What this parable might be* (*tis hautee eiee hee parabolee*). A mistranslation, What this parable was (or meant). The optative *eiee* is merely due to indirect discourse, changing the indicative *estin* (is) of the direct question to the optative *eiee* of the indirect, a change entirely with the writer or speaker and without any change of meaning (Robertson, *Grammar*, pp. 1043f.).

<sup><080></sup>**Luke 8:10** *The mysteries* (*ta musteeria*). See for this word on <sup><031></sup>Matthew 13:11; <sup><041></sup>Mark 4:11. Part of the mystery here explained is how so many people who have the opportunity to enter the kingdom fail to do so because of manifest unfitness.

*That* (*hina*). Here <sup><041></sup>Mark 4:11 also has *hina* while <sup><033></sup>Matthew 13:13 has *hoti* (because). On the so-called causal use of *hina* as here equal to *hoti* see discussion on <sup><033></sup>Matthew 13:13; <sup><041></sup>Mark 4:11. Plummer sensibly argues that there is truth both in the causal *hoti* of Matthew and the final *hina* of Mark and Matthew. “But the principle that he who hath shall receive more, while he who hath not shall be deprived of what he seemeth to have, explains both the *hina* and the *hoti*. Jesus speaks in parables because the multitudes see without seeing and hear without hearing. But He also speaks in parable

*in order that* they may see without seeing and hear without hearing.” Only for “hearing” Luke has “understand” *sunioosin*, present subjunctive from a late omega form *sunioo* instead of the *-mi* verb *sunieemi*.

◀◊81▶ **Luke 8:11** *Is this (estin de hautee)*. Means this. Jesus now proceeds to interpret his own parable.

*The seed is the word of God (ho sporos estin ho logos tou theou)*. The article with both subject and predicate as here means that they are interchangeable and can be turned round: The word of God is the seed. The phrase “the word of God” does not appear in Matthew and only once in Mark (◀◊713▶ Mark 7:13) and John (◀◊1035▶ John 10:35), but four times in Luke (◀◊171▶ Luke 5:1; ▶◊811▶ Luke 8:11,21; ▶◊1128▶ Luke 11:28) and twelve times in Acts. In ▶◊4044▶ Mark 4:14 we have only “the word.” In ▶◊4038▶ Mark 3:31 we have “the will of God,” and in ▶◊1246▶ Matthew 12:46 “the will of my Father” where ▶◊1021▶ Luke 8:21 has “the word of God.” This seems to show that Luke has the subjective genitive here and means the word that comes from God.

◀◊812▶ **Luke 8:12** *Those by the wayside (hoi para teen hodon)*. As in ▶◊4045▶ Mark 4:15; ▶◊1039▶ Matthew 13:19 so here the people who hear the word = the seed are discussed by metonymy.

*The devil (ho diabolos)*. The slanderer. Here ▶◊4045▶ Mark 4:15 has Satan.

*From their heart (apo tees kardias autoon)*. Here Mark has “in them.” It is the devil’s business to snatch up the seed from the heart before it sprouts and takes root. Every preacher knows how successful the devil is with his auditors. ▶◊1039▶ Matthew 13:19 has it “sown in the heart.”

*That they may not believe and be saved (hina mee pisteusantes soothoosin)*. Peculiar to Luke. Negative purpose with aorist active participle and first aorist (ingressive) passive subjunctive. Many reasons are offered today for the failure of preachers to win souls. Here is the main one, the activity of the devil during and after the preaching of the sermon. No wonder then that the sower must have good seed and sow wisely, for even then he can only win partial success.

◀◊813▶ **Luke 8:13** *Which for a while believe (hoi pros kairon pisteuousin)*. Ostensibly they are sincere and have made a real start in the life of faith.

*They fall away (aphistantai)*. Present middle indicative. They stand off, lose interest, stop coming to church, drop out of sight. It is positively

amazing the number of new church members who “stumble” as <sup><10417></sup>Mark 4:17 has it (*skandalizontai*), do not like the pastor, take offence at something said or done by somebody, object to the appeals for money, feel slighted. The “season of trial” becomes a “season of temptation” (*en kairooi peirasmou*) for these superficial, emotional people who have to be periodically rounded up if kept within the fold.

<sup><1084></sup>**Luke 8:14** *They are choked* (*sunpnigontai*). Present passive indicative of this powerfully vivid compound verb *sunpnigoo* used in <sup><1049></sup>Mark 4:19; <sup><10322></sup>Matthew 13:22, only there these worldly weeds choke the word while here the victims themselves are choked. Both are true. Diphtheria will choke and strangle the victim. Who has not seen the promise of fair flower and fruit choked into yellow withered stalk without fruit “as they go on their way” (*poreuomenoi*).

*Bring no fruit to perfection* (*ou telesphorousin*). Compound verb common in the late writers (*telos, phoreoo*). To bring to completion. Used of fruits, animals, pregnant women. Only here in the N.T.

<sup><1085></sup>**Luke 8:15** *In an honest and good heart* (*en kardifi kaleei kai agatheei*). Peculiar to Luke. In verse 8 the land (*geen*) is called *agatheen* (really good, generous) and in verse 15 we have *en teei kaleei geei* (*in the beautiful or noble land*). So Luke uses both adjectives of the heart. The Greeks used *kalos k' agathos* of the high-minded gentleman. It is probable that Luke knew this idiom. It occurs here alone in the N.T. It is not easy to translate. We have such phrases as “good and true,” “sound and good,” “right and good,” no one of which quite suits the Greek. Certainly Luke adds new moral qualities not in the Hellenic phrase. The English word “honest” here is like the Latin *honestus* (fair, noble). The words are to be connected with “hold fast” (*katechousin*), “hold it down” so that the devil does not snatch it away, having depth of soil so that it does not shrivel up under the sun, and is not choked by weeds and thorns. It bears fruit (*karpophorousin*, an old expressive verb, *karpos* and *phoreoo*). That is the proof of spiritual life.

*In patience* (*en hupomoneei*). There is no other way for real fruit to come. Mushrooms spring up overnight, but they are usually poisonous. The best fruits require time, cultivation, patience.



**<41816> Luke 8:16** *When he hath lighted a lamp (luchnon hapsas)*. It is a portable lamp (*luchnon*) that one lights (*hapsas* aorist active participle of *haptoo*, to kindle, fasten to, light).

*With a vessel (skeuei, instrumental case of skeuos)*. Here **<41021> Mark 4:21** has the more definite figure “under the bushel” as has **<41515> Matthew 5:15**.

*Under the bed (hupokatoo klinees)*. Here **<41021> Mark 4:21** has the regular *hupo teen klineen* instead of the late compound *hupokatoo*. Ragg notes that Matthew distributes the sayings of Jesus given here by **<41816> Luke 8:16-18**; **<41021> Mark 4:21-25** concerning the parable of the lamp and gives them in three separate places (**<41515> Matthew 5:15; 10:26; 13:12**). That is true, but it does not follow that Mark and Luke have bunched together separate sayings or that Matthew has scattered sayings delivered only on one occasion. One of the slowest lessons for some critics to learn is that Jesus repeated favourite sayings on different occasions and in different groupings just as every popular preacher and teacher does today. See on **<41021> Mark 4:21** for further discussion of the lamp and stand.

*May see the light (Blepoosin to phoos)*. In **<41516> Matthew 5:16** Jesus has it “may see your good works.” The purpose of light is to let one see something else, not the light. Note present subjunctive (*blepoosin*), linear action

“Jesus had kindled a light within them. They must not hide it, but must see that it spreads to others” (Plummer).

The parable of the lamp throws light on the parable of the sower.

**<41817> Luke 8:17** *That shall not be known (ho ou mee gnoostheei)*. Peculiar to Luke. First aorist passive subjunctive of *ginooskoo* with the strong double negative *ou mee*. See on **<41022> Mark 4:22** for discussion of *krupton* and *apokruphon*.

**<41818> Luke 8:18** *How ye hear (poos akouete)*. The manner of hearing. **<41024> Mark 4:24** has “what ye hear” (*ti akouete*), the matter that is heard. Both are supremely important. Some things should not be heard at all. Some that are heard should be forgotten. Others should be treasured and practised.

*For whosoever hath* (*Hosea an gar echei*). Present active subjunctive of the common verb *echoo* which may mean “keep on having” or “acquiring.” See on <sup><405></sup>Mark 4:25 for discussion.

*Thinketh he hath* (*dokei echein*), or

*seems to acquire or to hold*. Losses in business illustrate this saying as when we see their riches take wings and fly away. So it is with hearing and heeding. Self-deception is a common complaint.

<sup><418></sup>**Luke 8:19** *His mother and brethren* (*hee meeteer kai hoi adelphoi autou*). <sup><405></sup>Mark 3:31-35; <sup><426></sup>Matthew 12:46-50 place the visit of the mother and brothers of Jesus before the parable of the sower. Usually Luke follows Mark’s order, but he does not do so here. At first the brothers of Jesus (younger sons of Joseph and Mary, I take the words to mean, there being sisters also) were not unfriendly to the work of Jesus as seen in <sup><402></sup>John 2:12 when they with the mother of Jesus are with him and the small group (half dozen) disciples in Capernaum after the wedding in Cana. But as Jesus went on with his work and was rejected at Nazareth (<sup><416></sup>Luke 4:16-31), there developed an evident disbelief in his claims on the part of the brothers who ridiculed him six months before the end (<sup><405></sup>John 7:5). At this stage they have apparently come with Mary to take Jesus home out of the excitement of the crowds, perhaps thinking that he is beside himself (<sup><402></sup>Mark 3:21). They hardly believed the charge of the rabbis that Jesus was in league with Beelzebub. Certainly the mother of Jesus could give no credence to that slander. But she herself was deeply concerned and wanted to help him if possible. See discussion of the problem in my little book *The Mother of Jesus* and also on <sup><405></sup>Mark 3:31 and <sup><426></sup>Matthew 12:46.

*Come to him* (*suntuchein*). Second aorist active infinitive of *suntugchanoo*, an old verb, though here alone in the N.T., meaning to meet with, to fall in with as if accidentally, here with associative instrumental case *autooi*.

<sup><418></sup>**Luke 8:20** *Was told* (*apeeggelee*). Second aorist passive indicative of *apaggelloo*, to bring word or tidings. Common verb. See on <sup><402></sup>Mark 3:32 and <sup><427></sup>Matthew 12:47 for details.

<sup><418></sup>**Luke 8:21** *These which hear the word of God and do it* (*hoi ton logon tou theou akouontes kai poiountes*). The absence of the article with “mother” and “brothers” probably means, as Plummer argues, “Mother to me and brothers to me are those who &c.” No one is a child of God

because of human parentage (<sup><4013></sup>John 1:13). “Family ties are at best temporal; spiritual ties are eternal” (Plummer) . Note the use of “hear and do” together here as in <sup><4072></sup>Matthew 7:24; <sup><4167></sup>Luke 6:47 at the close of the Sermon on the Mount. The parable of the sower is almost like a footnote to that sermon. Later Jesus will make “doing” a test of friendship for him (<sup><4154></sup>John 15:14).

<sup><4022></sup>**Luke 8:22** *And they launched forth* (*kai aneechtheesan*). First aorist passive indicative of *anagoo*, an old verb, to lead up, to put out to sea (looked at as going up from the land). This nautical sense of the verb occurs only in Luke in the N.T. and especially in the Acts (<sup><4133></sup>Acts 13:13; 16:11; 18:21; <sup><4078></sup>Acts 20:3,13; <sup><4201></sup>Acts 21:1,2; <sup><4270></sup>Acts 27:2,4,12,21; <sup><4280></sup>Acts 28:10f.).

<sup><4023></sup>**Luke 8:23** *He fell asleep* (*aphupnoosen*). First aorist (ingressive) active indicative of *aphupnooo*, to put to sleep, to fall off to sleep, a late verb for which the older Greek used *kathupnooo*. Originally *aphupnooo* meant to waken from sleep, then to fall off to sleep (possibly a medical use). This is the only passage which speaks of the sleep of Jesus. Here only in the N.T.

*Came down* (*katebee*). Second aorist active indicative of *katabainoo*, common verb. It was literally true. These wind storms (*lailaps*. So also <sup><4057></sup>Mark 4:37) rushed from Hermon down through the Jordan gorge upon the Sea of Galilee and shook it like a tempest (<sup><4084></sup>Matthew 8:24). Mark’s (<sup><4057></sup>Mark 4:37) vivid use of the dramatic present *ginetai* (arisseth) is not so precise as Luke’s “came down.” See on <sup><4084></sup>Matthew 8:24. These sudden squalls were dangerous on this small lake.

*They were filling* (*sunepleurounto*). Imperfect passive. It was the boat that was being filled (<sup><4057></sup>Mark 4:37) and it is here applied to the navigators as sailors sometimes spoke. An old verb, but in the N.T. used only by Luke (<sup><4023></sup>Luke 8:23; 9:51; <sup><4101></sup>Acts 2:1).

*Were in jeopardy* (*ekinduneuon*). Imperfect active, vivid description. Old verb, but in the N.T. only here, <sup><4197></sup>Acts 19:27; <sup><4153></sup>1 Corinthians 15:30.

<sup><4024></sup>**Luke 8:24** *Master, Master* (*Epistata, epistata*). See on <sup><4075></sup>Luke 5:5 for discussion. <sup><4058></sup>Mark 4:38 has

*Teacher* (*Didaskale*), <sup><4025></sup>Matthew 8:25 has

*Lord (Kurie)*. The repetition here shows the uneasiness of the disciples.

*We perish (apollumetha)*. So in <sup><4088></sup>Mark 4:38; <sup><4085></sup>Matthew 8:25. Linear present middle indicative, we are perishing.

*The raging of the water (tooi kludoni tou hudatos)*. *Kludoon*, common Greek word, is a boisterous surge, a violent agitation. Here only in the N.T. save <sup><3006></sup>James 1:6. *Kuma* (<sup><4067></sup>Mark 4:37) is the regular swell or wave. A

*calm (galeenee)*. Only in the parallels in the N.T., though common word. Here <sup><4069></sup>Mark 4:39; <sup><4083></sup>Matthew 8:26 add

*great (megalee)*.

*That (hoti)*. This use of *hoti* as explanatory of the demonstrative pronoun *houtos* occurs in the parallels <sup><4066></sup>Mark 4:36; <sup><4087></sup>Matthew 8:27 and also in <sup><4066></sup>Luke 4:36. It is almost result.

*He commandeth (epitassei)*. Peculiar to Luke.

<sup><4086></sup>**Luke 8:26** *They arrived (katepleusan)*. First aorist active indicative of *katapleoo*, common verb, but here only in the N.T. Literally,

*they sailed down* from the sea to the land, the opposite of

*launched forth (aneechtheesan)* of verse 22. So we today use like nautical terms, to bear up, to bear down.

*The Gerasenes (ton Geraseenoon)*. This is the correct text here as in <sup><4081></sup>Mark 5:1 while Gadarenes is correct in <sup><4088></sup>Matthew 8:28. See there for explanation of this famous discrepancy, now cleared up by Thomson's discovery of Khersa (*Gersa*) on the steep eastern bank and in the vicinity of Gadara.

*Over against Galilee (antipera tees Galilaias)*. Only here in the N.T. The later Greek form is *antiperan* (Polybius, etc.). Some MSS. here have *peran* like <sup><4071></sup>Mark 5:1; <sup><4088></sup>Matthew 8:28.

<sup><4087></sup>**Luke 8:27** *And for a long time (kai chronooi hikanooi)*. The use of the associative instrumental case in expressions of time is a very old Greek idiom that still appears in the papyri (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 527).

*He had worn no clothes* (*ouk enedusato himation*). First aorist middle indicative, constative aorist, viewing the “long time” as a point. Not pluperfect as English has it and not for the pluperfect, simply “and for a long time he did not put on himself (indirect middle) any clothing.” The physician would naturally note this item. Common verb *enduoo* or *endunoo*. This item in Luke alone, though implied by <sup><4065></sup>Mark 5:15 “clothed” (*himatismenon*).

*And abode not in any house* (*kai en oikifi ouk emenen*). Imperfect active. Peculiar to Luke, though implied by the mention of tombs in all three (<sup><4083></sup>Mark 5:3; <sup><4083></sup>Matthew 8:28; <sup><4087></sup>Luke 8:27).

<sup><4083></sup>**Luke 8:28** *Fell down* (*prosepesen*). Second aorist active of *prospitoo*, to fall forward, towards, prostrate before one as here. Common verb. <sup><4086></sup>Mark 5:6 has *prosekuneesen* (worshipped).

*The Most High God* (*tou theou tou hupsistou*). Uncertain whether *tou theou* genuine or not. But “the Most High” clearly means God as already seen (<sup><4083></sup>Luke 1:32,35,36; 6:35). The phrase is common among heathen (<sup><0246></sup>Numbers 24:16; <sup><3066></sup>Micah 6:6; <sup><2344></sup>Isaiah 14:14). The demoniac may have been a Gentile, but it is the demon here speaking. See on <sup><4017></sup>Mark 2:7; <sup><4089></sup>Matthew 8:29 for the Greek idiom (*ti emoi kai soi*). “What have I to do with thee?” See there also for “Torment me not.”

<sup><4089></sup>**Luke 8:29** *For he commanded* (*pareggellen gar*). Imperfect active, correct text, for he was commanding.

*Often times* (*pollois chronois*). Or “for a long time” like *chronooi pollooi* of verse 27 (see Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 537, for the plural here).

*It had seized* (*suneerpakei*). Past perfect active of *sunarpazoo*, to lay hold by force. An old verb, but only in Luke in the N.T. (<sup><4089></sup>Luke 8:29; <sup><4082></sup>Acts 6:12; 19:29; 27:15).

*Was kept under guard* (*edesmeueto*). Imperfect passive of *desmeuoo* to put in chains, from *desmos*, bond, and that from *deoo* to bind. Old, but rather rare verb. Only here and <sup><4024></sup>Acts 22:4 in this sense. In <sup><4024></sup>Matthew 23:4 it means to bind together. Some MSS. read *desmeoo* in <sup><4089></sup>Luke 8:29.

*Breaking the bands asunder* (*diareessoon ta desma*). Old verb, the preposition *dia* (in two) intensifying the meaning of the simple verb *reessoo* or *reegnumi*, to rend.

*Was driven* (*eelauneto*). Imperfect passive of *elaunoo*, to drive, to row, to march (Xenophon). Only five times in the N.T. Here alone in Luke and peculiar to Luke in this incident.

◀183▶ **Luke 8:30** *Legion* (*Legioon*). See on ▶107▶ Mark 5:9.

◀183▶ **Luke 8:31** *Into the abyss* (*eis teen abusson*). Rare old word common in LXX from *a* privative and *bathus* (deep). So bottomless place (supply *choora*). The deep sea in ▶007▶ Genesis 1:2; 7:11. The common receptacle of the dead in ▶507▶ Romans 10:7 and especially the abode of demons as here and ▶190▶ Revelation 9:1-11; 11:7; 17:8; 20:1,3.

◀183▶ **Luke 8:32** *A herd of many swine* (*agelee choiroon hikanoon*). Word *herd* (*agelee*) old as Homer, but in N.T. only here and parallels (▶151▶ Mark 5:11; ▶183▶ Matthew 8:30). Luke shows his fondness for adjective *hikanos* here again (see verse 27) where Mark has *megalee* and Matthew *polloon*.

◀183▶ **Luke 8:33** *Rushed down the steep* (*hoormeesen kata tou kreemnou*). Ablative with *kata* as in ▶1053▶ Mark 5:13; ▶1082▶ Matthew 8:32 and the same vivid verb in each account, to hurl impetuously, to rush.

*Were choked* (*apepnigee*). Second aorist (constative) passive indicative third singular (collective singular) where ▶1053▶ Mark 5:13 has the picturesque imperfect *epnigonto*.

◀183▶ **Luke 8:34** *Saw what had come to pass* (*idontes to gegonos*). This item only in Luke. Note the neat Greek idiom *to gegonos*, articular second perfect active participle of *ginomai*. Repeated in verse 35 and in ▶1054▶ Mark 5:14. Note numerous participles here in verse 35 as in ▶1055▶ Mark 5:15.

◀183▶ **Luke 8:36** *He that was possessed with devils* (*demons*) (only two words in Greek, *ho daimonistheis*, the demonized).

*Was made whole* (*esoothee*). First aorist passive indicative of *soozoo* to save from *soos* (safe and sound). This is additional information to the news carried to them in verse 34.

◀183▶ **Luke 8:37** *Were holden with great fear* (*phobooi megalooi suneichonto*). Imperfect passive of *sunechoo* with the instrumental case of *phobos*. See a similar use of this vigorous verb in ▶1020▶ Luke 12:50 of Jesus and in ▶1023▶ Philippians 1:23 of Paul.

⚭ Luke 8:38 *From whom the devils (demons) were gone out (aph' hou exeleeleuthei ta daimonia)*. Past perfect active of *exerchomai*, state of completion in the past.

*Prayed him (edeeito autou)*. Imperfect middle, kept on begging.

⚭ Luke 8:39 *Throughout the whole city (kath' holeen teen polin)*.

⚭ Mark 5:20 has it “in Decapolis.” He had a great story to tell and he told it with power. The rescue missions in our cities can match this incident with cases of great sinners who have made witnesses for Christ.

⚭ Luke 8:40 *Welcomed (apedexato)*. Peculiar to Luke. To receive with pleasure, from *apodechomai*, a common verb.

*For they were all waiting for him (eesan gar pantes prosdokoontes auton)*. Periphrastic imperfect active of

*prosdoka*”, an old verb for eager expectancy, a vivid picture of the attitude of the people towards Jesus. Driven from Decapolis, he is welcomed in Capernaum.

⚭ Luke 8:41 *Was (hupeerchen)*. Imperfect of *huparchoo* in sense of *een* as in modern Greek. Common in Luke, and Acts, but not in other Gospels.

⚭ Luke 8:42 *An only daughter (thugateer monogenees)*. The same adjective used of the widow’s son (⚭ Luke 7:12) and the epileptic boy (⚭ Luke 9:38) and of Jesus (⚭ John 1:18; 3:16).

*She lay a dying (apethneesken)*. Imperfect active, she was dying.

⚭ Matthew 9:18 has it that she has just died.

*Thronged (sunepnigon)*. Imperfect active of *sumpnigoo*, to press together, the verb used of the thorns choking the growing grain (⚭ Luke 8:14). It was a jam.

⚭ Luke 8:43 *Had spent all her living upon physicians (eis iatrous prosanalooosasa holon ton bion)*. First aorist active participle of an old verb *prosanaliskoo*, only here in the N.T. But Westcott and Hort reject this clause because it is not in B D Syriac Sinaitic. Whether genuine or not, the other clause in ⚭ Mark 5:26 certainly is not in Luke: “had suffered many things of many physicians.” Probably both are not genuine in Luke who



takes care of the physicians by the simple statement that it was a chronic case:

*could not be healed of any* (*ouk ischusen ap' oudenos therapeutheenai*). He omitted also what Mark has: “and was nothing bettered but rather grew worse.”

**Luke 8:44** *The border of his garment* (*tou kraspedou tou himatiou*).

Probably the tassel of the overgarment. Of the four corners two were in front and two behind. See on **Matthew 9:20**.

*Stanchèd* (*estee*). Second aorist active indicative,

*stopped* at once (effective aorist).

**Luke 8:45** *Press thee and crush thee* (*sunechousin se kai apothlibousin*). Hold thee together, hold thee in (*sunechoo*, see verse 37).

*Crush thee* (*apothliboo*) here only in the N.T., a verb used of pressing out grapes in Diodorus and Josephus. **Mark 5:31** has *sunthliboo*, to press together.

**Luke 8:46** *For I perceived that power had gone forth from me* (*egoo gar egnoon dunamin exeleeluthuian ap' emou*). *Egnoon* is second aorist active indicative of *ginooskoo*, knowledge by personal experience as here. It is followed by the second perfect active participle *exeleeluthuian* in indirect discourse (Robertson, *Grammar*, pp. 1040-42). Jesus felt the sensation of power already gone. Who does not know what this sense of “goneness” or exhaustion of nervous energy means?

**Luke 8:47** *Trembling* (*tremousa*). Vivid touch of the feeling of this sensitive woman who now had to tell everybody of her cure, “in the presence of all the people” (*enoopion pantos tou laou*). She faced the widest publicity for her secret cure.

**Luke 8:49** *From the ruler of the synagogue's house* (*para tou archisunagoogou*). The word “house” is not in the Greek here as in **Mark 5:35** where *apo* is used rather than *para*, as here. But the ruler himself had come to Jesus (**Luke 8:41**) and this is the real idea. Trouble not (*meeketi skulle*). See on **Luke 7:6** for this verb and also **Mark 5:35**; **Matthew 9:36**.



**Luke 8:50** *And she shall be made whole (kai sootheesetai)*. This promise in addition to the words in **Mark 5:36**. See there for discussion of details.

**Luke 8:53** *Knowing that she was dead (eidotes hoti apethanen)*. That she died (*apethanen*), second aorist active indicative of *apothneeskoo*.

**Luke 8:54** *Called (ephooneesen)*. Certainly not to wake up the dead, but to make it plain to all that she rose in response to his elevated tone of voice. Some think that the remark of Jesus in verse 52 (**Mark 5:39**; **Matthew 9:24**) proves that she was not really dead, but only in a trance. It matters little. The touch of Christ's hand and the power of his voice restored her to life.

*Maiden (hee pais)* rather than Mark's (**Mark 5:41**) *to korasion* (vernacular *Koin*).

**Luke 8:55** *Her spirit returned (epestrepsen to pneuma autees)*. The life came back to her at once.

*Be given her to eat (auteei dotheenai phagein)*. The first infinitive *dotheenai* is an indirect command. The second *phagein* (second aorist active of *esthioo*) is epexegetic purpose.

## CHAPTER 9

◀999▶ **Luke 9:1** *He called the twelve together* (*sunkalesamenos tous doodeka*). ▶4067▶ Mark 6:7; ▶4000▶ Matthew 10:1 have *proskaleoomai*, to call to him. Both the indirect middle voice.

◀999▶ **Luke 9:2** *He sent them forth* (*apesteilen autous*). First aorist active indicative of *apostelloo*.

*To preach the kingdom of God and to heal the sick* (*keerussein teen basileian tou theou kai ifsthai*). Present indicative for the continuous functions during this campaign. This double office of herald (*keerussein*) and healer (*ifsthai*) is stated directly in ▶4000▶ Matthew 10:7-8. Note the verb *iaomai* for healing here, though *therapeuein* in verse 1, apparently used interchangeably.

◀999▶ **Luke 9:3** *Neither staff* (*meete rabdon*). For the apparent contradiction between these words (▶4000▶ Matthew 10:10) and ▶4008▶ Mark 6:8 see discussion there. For *peeran* (wallet) see also on ▶4008▶ Mark 6:8 (▶4000▶ Matthew 10:10) for this and other details here.

◀999▶ **Luke 9:5** *As many as receive you not* (*hosoi an mee dechoontai humas*). Indefinite relative plural with *an* and present middle subjunctive and the negative *mee*. Here ▶4004▶ Matthew 10:14 has the singular (whosoever) and ▶4061▶ Mark 6:11 has “whatsoever place.”

*For a testimony against them* (*eis marturion ep' autous*). Note use of *ep' autous* where ▶4061▶ Mark 6:11 has simply the dative *autois* (disadvantage), really the same idea.

◀999▶ **Luke 9:6** *Went* (*dierchonto*). Imperfect middle, continuous and repeated action made plainer also by three present participles (*exerchomenoi, euaggelizomenoi, therapeuontes*), describing the wide extent of the work through all the villages (*kata tas koomas*, distributive use of *kata*) everywhere (*pantachou*) in Galilee.

◀999▶ **Luke 9:7** *All that was done* (*ta ginomena panta*). Present middle participle, “all that was coming to pass.”

*He was much perplexed* (*dieeporei*). Imperfect active of *diaporeoo*, to be thoroughly at a loss, unable to find a way out (*dia, a* privative, *poros*, way), common ancient verb, but only in Luke's writings in the N.T.

*Because it was said* (*dia to legesthai*). Neat Greek idiom, the articular passive infinitive after *dia*. Three reports came to the ears of Herod as Luke has it, each introduced by *hoti* (that) in indirect discourse: "By some" (*hupo tinoon*), "by some" (*hupo tinoon de*), "by others" (*alloon de, hupo* not here expressed, but carried over). The verbs in the indirect discourse here (verses 7,8) are all three aorists (*eegerthee* first passive; *ephanee* second passive; *anestee* second active), not past perfects as the English has them.

◀◀◀ **Luke 9:9** *He sought* (*ezeetei*). Imperfect active. He keep on seeking to see Jesus. The rumours disturbed Herod because he was sure that he had put him to death ("John I beheaded").

◀◀◀ **Luke 9:10** *Declared* (*dieegeesanto*). First aorist middle of *dieegeomai*, to carry a narrative through to the end. Jesus listened to it all.

*They had done* (*epoieesan*). Aorist active indicative, they did.

*He took them* (*paralaboon autous*). Second aorist active participle of *paralambanoo*. Very common verb.

*Bethsaida* (*Beethsaida*). Peculiar to Luke. Bethsaida Julias is the territory of Philip, for it is on the other side of the Sea of Galilee (◀◀◀ John 6:1).

◀◀◀ **Luke 9:11** *Spake* (*elalei*). Imperfect active, he continued speaking.

*He healed* (*ifto*). Imperfect middle, he continued healing.

◀◀◀ **Luke 9:12** *To wear away* (*klinein*). Old verb usually transitive, to bend or bow down. Many compounds as in English decline, incline, recline, clinic (*klinee*, bed), etc. Luke alone in the N.T. uses it intransitively as here. The sun was turning down towards setting.

*Lodge* (*katalusoosin*). First aorist active subjunctive of *kataluoo*, a common verb, to dissolve, destroy, overthrow, and then of travellers to break a journey, to lodge (*kataluma*, inn, ◀◀◀ Luke 2:7). Only here and ◀◀◀ Luke 19:7 in the N.T. in this sense.

*Get victuals* (*heuroosin episitimon*). Ingressive aorist active of *heuriskoo*, very common verb.

*Victuals* (*episitimon*, from *episitizomai*, to provision oneself, *sitizoo*, from *siton*, wheat) only here in the N.T., though common in ancient Greek, especially for provisions for a journey (snack). See on <sup><4062></sup>Mark 6:32-44; <sup><4043></sup>Matthew 14:13-21 for discussion of details.

<sup><4093></sup>**Luke 9:13** *Except we should go and buy food* (*ei meeti poreuthentes heemeis agorasoomen broomata*). This is a condition of the third class with the aorist subjunctive (*agorasoomen*), where the conjunction is usually *ean* (with negative *ean mee*), but not always or necessarily so especially in the *Koin.*. So in <sup><4045></sup>1 Corinthians 14:5 *ei mee diermeeneueei* and in <sup><4082></sup>Philippians 3:12 *ei kai katalaboo*. “Unless” is better here than “except.”

*Food* (*broomata*), means eaten pieces from *bibrooskoo*, to eat, somewhat like our “edibles” or vernacular “eats.”

<sup><4094></sup>**Luke 9:14** *About* (*hoosei*). Luke as <sup><4042></sup>Matthew 14:21 adds this word to the definite statement of <sup><4064></sup>Mark 6:44 that there were 5,000 men, a hundred companies of fifty each.

*Sit down* (*kataklinatē*). First aorist active imperative. Recline, lie down. Only in Luke in the N.T. See also verse 15.

*In companies* (*klisias*). Cognate accusative after

*kataklinatē*. Only here in the N.T. A row of persons reclining at meals (table company).

*About fifty each* (*hoosei ana penteekonta*). Distributive use of *ana* and approximate number again (*hoosei*).

<sup><4096></sup>**Luke 9:16** *The five ... the two* (*tous pente ... tous duo*). Pointing back to verse 13, fine example of the Greek article.

*And gave* (*kai edidou*). Imperfect active of *didoomi*, kept on giving. This picturesque imperfect is preceded by the aorist *kateklasen* (broke), a single act. This latter verb in the N.T. only here and the parallel in <sup><4064></sup>Mark 6:41, though common enough in ancient Greek. We say “break off” where here the Greek has “break down” (or thoroughly), perfective use of *kata*.

◀397▶ **Luke 9:17** *Twelve baskets* (*kophinoi doodeka*). For discussion of *kophonoi* and *sphurides* as well as of *klasmata* (broken pieces) see on ▶106▶ Mark 6:43; ▶141▶ Matthew 14:20.

◀398▶ **Luke 9:18** *As he was praying* (*en tooi einai auton proseuchomenon*). Common Lukan idiom of *en* with the articular infinitive for a temporal clause, only here Luke has the periphrastic infinitive (*einai proseuchomenon*) as also in ▶210▶ Luke 11:1. This item about Christ's praying alone in Luke.

*Alone* (*kata monas*). In the N.T. only here and ▶400▶ Mark 4:10. Perhaps *chooras* (places) is to be supplied with *monas* (lonely places).

*Were with him* (*suneesan autooi*). This seems like a contradiction unless "alone" is to be taken with *suneesan*. Westcott and Hort put *suneentesan* in the margin. This would mean that as Jesus was praying alone, the disciples fell in with him. At any rate he was praying apart from them.

◀399▶ **Luke 9:19** *That I am* (*me einai*). Accusative and infinitive in indirect assertion, a common Greek idiom. ▶416▶ Matthew 16:13 for "I" has "the Son of man" as identical in the consciousness of Christ. The various opinions of men about Jesus here run parallel to the rumours heard by Herod (verses 8,9).

◀400▶ **Luke 9:20** *But who say ye?* (*Humeis de tina legete;*). Note the emphatic proleptical position of *humeis*: "But ye who do ye say? This is really what mattered now with Jesus.

*The Christ of God* (*Ton christon tou theou*). The accusative though the infinitive is not expressed. The Anointed of God, the Messiah of God. See on 2:26 for "the Anointed of the Lord." See on ▶416▶ Matthew 16:17 for discussion of Peter's testimony in full. ▶416▶ Mark 6:29 has simply "the Christ." It is clear from the previous narrative that this is not a new discovery from Simon Peter, but simply the settled conviction of the disciples after all the defections of the Galilean masses and the hostility of the Jerusalem ecclesiastics. The disciples still believed in Jesus as the Messiah of Jewish hope and prophecy. It will become plain that they do not grasp the spiritual conception of the Messiah and his kingdom that Jesus taught, but they are clear that he is the Messiah however faulty their view of the Messiah may be. There was comfort in this for Jesus. They were loyal to him.

◀392▶ **Luke 9:21** *To tell this to no man* (*meedeni legein touto*). Indirect command with the negative infinitive after

*commanded* (*pareggeilen*). It had been necessary for Jesus to cease using the word

*Messiah* (*Christos*) about himself because of the political meaning to the Jews. Its use by the disciples would lead to revolution as was plain after the feeding of the five thousand (◀395▶ John 6:15).

◀392▶ **Luke 9:22** *Rejected* (*apodokimastheenai*). First aorist passive infinitive of *apodokimazoo*, to reject after trial.

*The third day* (*teei triteei heemerfi*). Locative case of time as in ◀402▶ Matthew 16:21. Here in the parallel passage ◀403▶ Mark 8:31 has “after three days” (*meta treis heemerai*) in precisely the same sense. That is to say, “after three days” is just a free way of saying “on the third day” and cannot mean “on the fourth day” if taken too literally. For discussion of this plain prediction of the death of Christ with various details see discussion on ◀402▶ Matthew 16:21; ◀403▶ Mark 8:31. It was a melancholy outlook that depressed the disciples as Mark and Matthew show in the protest of Peter and his rebuke.

◀392▶ **Luke 9:23** *He said unto all* (*elegen de pros pantas*). This is like Luke (cf. verse 43). Jesus wanted all (the multitude with his disciples, as ◀403▶ Mark 8:34 has it) to understand the lesson of self-sacrifice. They could not yet understand the full meaning of Christ’s words as applied to his approaching death of which he had been speaking. But certainly the shadow of the cross is already across the path of Jesus as he is here speaking. For details (soul, life, forfeit, gain, profit, lose, world) see discussion on ◀402▶ Matthew 16:24-26; ◀403▶ Mark 8:34-37. The word for lose (*apolesei*, from *apollumi*, a very common verb) is used in the sense of destroy, kill, lose, as here. Note the mercantile terms in this passage (gain, lose, fine or forfeit, exchange).

*Daily* (*kath’ heemeran*). Peculiar to Luke in this incident. Take up the cross (his own cross) daily (aorist tense, *fratoo*), but keep on following me (*akolouthetoo*, present tense). The cross was a familiar figure in Palestine. It was rising before Jesus as his destiny. Each man has his own cross to meet and bear.

◀406▶ **Luke 9:26** *Whosoever shall be ashamed (hos an epaischuntheei).*

Rather,

*Whosoever is ashamed* as in ▶4088▶ Mark 8:38. The first aorist passive subjunctive in an indefinite relative clause with *an*. The passive verb is transitive here also. This verb is from *epi* and *aischunee*, shame (in the eyes of men). Jesus endured the shame of the cross (▶8012▶ Hebrews 12:2). The man at the feast who had to take a lower seat did it with shame (▶244▶ Luke 14:9). Paul is not ashamed of the Gospel (▶5116▶ Romans 1:16). Onesiphorus was not ashamed of Paul (▶5016▶ 2 Timothy 1:16).

*In his own glory (en teei doxeei autou).* This item added to what is in ▶4088▶ Mark 8:38; ▶4167▶ Matthew 16:27.

▶4127▶ **Luke 9:27** *Till they see (heoos an idoosin).* Second aorist active subjunctive with *heoos* and *an* referring to the future, an idiomatic construction. So in ▶4101▶ Mark 9:1; ▶4168▶ Matthew 16:28. In all three passages “shall not taste of death” (*ou mee geusoontai thanatou*, double negative with aorist middle subjunctive) occurs also. Rabbinical writings use this figure. Like a physician Christ tasted death that we may see how to die. Jesus referred to the cross as “this cup” (▶4146▶ Mark 14:36; ▶4159▶ Matthew 26:39; ▶4224▶ Luke 22:42). Mark speaks of the kingdom of God as “come” (*eleeluthuian*, second perfect active participle). Matthew as “coming” (*erchomenon*) referring to the Son of man, while Luke has neither form. See Matthew and Mark for discussion of the theories of interpretation of this difficult passage. The Transfiguration follows in a week and may be the first fulfilment in the mind of Jesus. It may also symbolically point to the second coming.

▶4138▶ **Luke 9:28** *About eight days (hoosei heemerai oktoo).* A *nominativus pendens* without connexion or construction. ▶4102▶ Mark 9:2 (▶4101▶ Matthew 17:1) has “after six days” which agrees with the general statement.

*Into the mountain (eis to oros).* Probably Mount Hermon because we know that Jesus was near Caesarea Philippi when Peter made the confession (▶4187▶ Mark 8:27; ▶4163▶ Matthew 16:13). Hermon is still the glory of Palestine from whose heights one can view the whole of the land. It was a fit place for the Transfiguration.

*To pray (proseuxasthai)*. Peculiar to Luke who so often mentions Christ's habit of prayer (cf. <4182> Luke 3:21). See also verse 29 "as he was praying" (*en tooi proseuchesthai*, one of Luke's favourite idioms).

*His countenance was altered (egeneto to eidos tou prosoopou autou heteron)*. Literally, "the appearance of his face became different."

<4172> Matthew 17:2 says that "his face did shine as the sun." Luke does not use the word "transfigured" (*metemorphoother*) in <4102> Mark 9:2; <4172> Matthew 17:2. He may have avoided this word because of the pagan associations with this word as Ovid's *Metamorphoses*.

*And his raiment became white and dazzling (kai ho himatismos autou leukos exastraptoon)*. Literally,

*And his raiment white radiant*. There is no *and* between "white" and "dazzling." The participle *exastraptoon* is from the compound verb meaning to flash (*astrapto*) out or forth (*ex*). The simple verb is common for lightning flashes and bolts, but the compound in the LXX and here alone in the N.T. See <4102> Mark 9:3 "exceeding white" and <4172> Matthew 17:2 "white as the light."

<4181> **Luke 9:31** *There talked with him (sunelaloun autooi)*. Imperfect active, were talking with him.

*Who appeared in glory (hoi ophthentes en doxeei)*. First aorist passive participle of *horaoo*. This item peculiar to Luke. Compare verse 26.

*Spake of his decease (elegon teen exodon)*. Imperfect active, were talking about his *exodus* (departure from earth to heaven) very much like our English word "decease" (Latin *decessus*, a going away). The glorious light graphically revealed Moses and Elijah talking with Jesus about the very subject concerning which Peter had dared to rebuke Jesus for mentioning (<4182> Mark 8:32; <4162> Matthew 16:22). This very word *exodus* (way out) in the sense of death occurs in <6015> 2 Peter 1:15 and is followed by a brief description of the Transfiguration glory. Other words for death (*thanatos*) in the N.T. are *ekbasis*, going out as departure (<3807> Hebrews 13:7), *aphixis*, departing (<4102> Acts 20:29), *anulusis*, loosening anchor (<5016> 2 Timothy 4:6) and *anulusai* (<5023> Philippians 1:23).

*To accomplish (pleeroun)*. To fulfil. Moses had led the Exodus from Egypt. Jesus will accomplish the exodus of God's people into the Promised Land on high. See on Mark and Matthew for discussion of significance of



the appearance of Moses and Elijah as representatives of law and prophecy and with a peculiar death. The purpose of the Transfiguration was to strengthen the heart of Jesus as he was praying long about his approaching death and to give these chosen three disciples a glimpse of his glory for the hour of darkness coming. No one on earth understood the heart of Jesus and so Moses and Elijah came. The poor disciples utterly failed to grasp the significance of it all.

**Luke 9:32** *Were heavy with sleep* (*eesan bebareemenoi hupnooi*). Periphrastic past perfect of *bareoo*, a late form for the ancient *barunoo* (not in N.T. save Textus Receptus in <sup><D134></sup>Luke 21:34). This form, rare and only in passive (present, aorist, perfect) in the N.T., is like *barunoo*, from *barus*, and that from *baros*, weight, burden (<sup><R10></sup>Galatians 6:2). *Hupnooi* is in the instrumental case. They had apparently climbed the mountain in the early part of the night and were now overcome with sleep as Jesus prolonged his prayer. Luke alone tells of their sleep. The same word is used of the eyes of these three disciples in the Garden of Gethsemane (<sup><B6></sup>Matthew 26:43) and of the hearts of many (<sup><D134></sup>Luke 21:34).

*But when they were fully awake* (*diagregoreesantes de*). First aorist active participle of this late (Herodian) and rare compound verb (here alone in the N.T.), *diagregoreoo* (Luke is fond of compounds with *dia*). The simple verb *gregoreoo* (from the second perfect active *egregora*) is also late, but common in the LXX and the N.T. The effect of *dia* can be either to remain awake in spite of desire to sleep (margin of Revised Version) or to become thoroughly awake (ingressive aorist tense also) as Revised Version has it. This is most likely correct. The Syriac Sinaitic has it “When they awoke.” Certainly they had been through a strain.

*His glory* (*teen doxan autou*). See also verse 26 in the words of Jesus.

**Luke 9:33** *As they were departing from him* (*en tooi diachoorizesthai autous ap' autou*). Peculiar to Luke and another instance of Luke's common idiom of *en* with the articular infinitive in a temporal clause. This common verb occurs here only in the N.T. The present middle voice means to separate oneself fully (direct middle). This departing of Moses and Elijah apparently accompanied Peter's remark as given in all three Gospels. See for details on Mark and Matthew.

*Master* (*Epistata*) here,

*Rabbi* (⋄1005 Mark 9:5),

*Lord* (*Kurie*, ⋄1704 Matthew 17:4).

*Let us make* (*poieesoomen*, first aorist active subjunctive) as in ⋄1005 Mark 9:5, but ⋄1704 Matthew 17:4 has “I will make” (*poieesoo*). It was near the time of the feast of the tabernacles. So Peter proposes that they celebrate it up here instead of going to Jerusalem for it as they did a bit later (John 7).

*Not knowing what he said* (*mee eidoos ho legei*). Literally,

*not understanding what he was saying* (*mee*, regular negative with participle and *legei*, present indicative retained in relative clause in indirect discourse). Luke puts it more bluntly than Mark (Peter’s account), “For he wist not what to answer; for they became sore afraid” (⋄1005 Mark 9:6). Peter acted according to his impulsive nature and spoke up even though he did not know what to say or even what he was saying when he spoke. He was only half awake as Luke explains and he was sore afraid as Mark (Peter) explains. He had bewilderment enough beyond a doubt, but it was Peter who spoke, not James and John.

⋄1005 **Luke 9:34** *Overshadowed them* (*epeskiazzen autous*). Imperfect active (aorist in ⋄1705 Matthew 17:5) as present participle in ⋄1007 Mark 9:7, inchoative, the shadow began to come upon them. On Hermon as on many high mountains a cloud will swiftly cover the cap. I have seen this very thing at Blue Ridge, North Carolina. This same verb is used of the Holy Spirit upon Mary (⋄1005 Luke 1:35). Nowhere else in the N.T., though an old verb (*epi, skiazoo*, from *skia*, shadow).

*As they entered into the cloud* (*en tooi eiselthein autous eis teen nepheleen*). Luke’s idiom of *en* with the articular infinitive again (aorist active this time, on the entering in as to them). All six “entered into” the cloud, but only Peter, James, and John “became afraid” (*ephobeetheesan*, ingressive first aorist passive).

⋄1005 **Luke 9:35** If *ekeinous* be accepted here instead of *autous*, the three disciples would be outside of the cloud.

*Out of the cloud* (*ek tees nephelees*). This voice was the voice of the Father like that at the baptism of Jesus (⋄1002 Luke 3:22; ⋄1011 Mark 1:11; ⋄1007 Matthew 3:17) and like that near the end (⋄1028 John 12:28-30) when the people thought it was a clap of thunder or an angel.

*My son, my chosen* (*Hosea huioṣ mou, ho eklelegmenos*). So the best documents (Aleph B L Syriac Sinaitic). The others make it “My Beloved” as in <sup><4007></sup>Mark 9:7; <sup><4075></sup>Matthew 17:5. These disciples are commanded to hear Jesus, God’s Son, even when he predicts his death, a pointed rebuke to Simon Peter as to all.

<sup><4086></sup>**Luke 9:36** *When the voice came* (*en toi genesthai teen phooneen*). Another example of Luke’s idiom, this time with the second aorist middle infinitive. Literally, “on the coming as to the voice” (accusative of general reference). It does not mean that it was “after” the voice was past that Jesus was found alone, but simultaneously with it (ingressive aorist tense).

*Alone* (*monos*). Same adjective in <sup><4008></sup>Mark 9:8; <sup><4078></sup>Matthew 17:8 translated “only.” Should be rendered “alone” there also.

*They held their peace* (*esigeesan*). Ingressive aorist active of common verb *sigaoō*, became silent. In <sup><4009></sup>Mark 9:9; <sup><4079></sup>Matthew 17:9, Jesus commanded them not to tell till His Resurrection from the dead. Luke notes that they in awe obeyed that command and it turns out that they finally forgot the lesson of this night’s great experience. By and by they will be able to tell them, but not “in those days.”

*Which they had seen* (*hoon heorakan*). Attraction of the relative *ha* into the case of the unexpressed antecedent *toutoon*. Perfect active indicative *heorakan* with *Koin*, (papyri) form for the ancient *heorakfsin* changed by analogy to the first aorist ending in *-an* instead of *-asin*.

<sup><4087></sup>**Luke 9:37** *On the next day* (*teei hexees heemerfi*). Alone in Luke. It shows that the Transfiguration took place on the preceding night.

*They were come down* (*katelthontoon autoon*). Genitive absolute of second aorist active participle of *katerchomai*, a common enough verb, but in the N.T. only in Luke’s writings save <sup><4085></sup>James 3:15.

*Met him* (*suneentesen autooi*). First aorist active of *sunantaō*, common compound verb, to meet with, only in Luke’s writings in the N.T. save <sup><3070></sup>Hebrews 7:1. With associative instrumental case *autooi*.

<sup><4088></sup>**Luke 9:38** *Master* (*Didaskale*). Teacher as in <sup><4097></sup>Mark 9:17.

*Lord* (*kurie*, <sup><4075></sup>Matthew 17:15).

*To look upon* (*epiblepsai*). Aorist active infinitive of *epiblepoo* (*epi*, upon, *blepoo*, look), common verb, but in the N.T. only here and <sup><4018></sup>James 2:3 except <sup><4048></sup>Luke 1:48 in quotation from LXX. This compound verb is common in medical writers for examining carefully the patient.

*Mine only child* (*monogenees moi*). Only in Luke as already about an only child in <sup><4072></sup>Luke 7:12; 8:42.

<sup><4039></sup>**Luke 9:39** *Suddenly* (*exephnees*). Old adverb, but in the N.T. only in Luke's writings save <sup><4136></sup>Mark 13:36. Used by medical writers of sudden attacks of disease like epilepsy.

*It teareth him that he foameth* (*sparassei auton meta aphrou*). Literally, "It tears him with (accompanied with, *meta*) foam" (old word, *aphros*, only here in the N.T.). From *sparassoo*, to convulse, a common verb, but in the N.T. only here and <sup><4026></sup>Mark 1:26; 9:26 (and *sunsparassoo*, <sup><4022></sup>Mark 9:20). See <sup><4017></sup>Mark 9:17; <sup><4075></sup>Matthew 17:15; <sup><4039></sup>Luke 9:39 for variations in the symptoms in each Gospel. The use of *meta aphrou* is a medical item.

*Hardly* (*molis*). Late word used in place of *mogis*, the old Greek term (in some MSS. here) and alone in Luke's writings in the N.T. save <sup><4018></sup>1 Peter 4:18; <sup><4037></sup>Romans 5:7.

*Bruising him sorely* (*suntribon auton*). Common verb for rubbing together, crushing together like chains (<sup><4034></sup>Mark 5:4) or as a vase (<sup><4143></sup>Mark 14:3). See on Matthew and Mark for discussion of details here.

<sup><4041></sup>**Luke 9:41** *How long shall I be with you and bear with you?* (*heos pote esomai pros humfs kai anexomai humoon*;). Here the two questions of <sup><4039></sup>Mark 9:19 (only one in <sup><4077></sup>Matthew 17:17) are combined in one sentence.

*Bear with* (*anexomai*, direct middle future) is, hold myself from you (ablative case *humoon*).

*Faithless* (*apistos*) is disbelieving and perverse (*diestrarmenee*, perfect passive participle of *diastrephoo*), is twisted, turned, or torn in two.

<sup><4042></sup>**Luke 9:42** *As he was yet a coming* (*eti proserchomenou autou*). Genitive absolute. While he was yet coming (the boy, that is, not Jesus). Note quaint English "a coming" retained in the Revised Version.

*Dashed him (erreexen auton)*. First aorist active indicative of *reegunimi* or *reessoo*, to rend or convulse, a common verb, used sometimes of boxers giving knockout blows.

*Tare grievously (suneparaxen)*. Rare word as only here and <sup><41021></sup>Mark 9:20 in the N.T., which see.

*Gave him back to his father (apedooken auton tooi patri autou)*. Tender touch alone in Luke as in 7:15.

*They were all astonished (expleessonto de pantes)*. Imperfect passive of the common verb *ekpleessoo* or *ekpleegnumi*, to strike out, a picturesque description of the amazement of all at the easy victory of Jesus where the nine disciples had failed.

*At the majesty of God (epi teei megaleioteeti tou theou)*. A late word from the adjective *megaleios* and that from *meegas* (great). In the N.T. only here and <sup><44827></sup>Acts 19:27 of Artemis and in <sup><61016></sup>2 Peter 1:16 of the Transfiguration. It came to be used by the emperors like our word “Majesty.”

*Which he did (hois epoiei)*. This is one of the numerous poor verse divisions. This sentence has nothing to do with the first part of the verse. The imperfect active *epoiei* covers a good deal not told by Luke (see <sup><10381></sup>Mark 9:30; <sup><10722></sup>Matthew 17:22). Note the attraction of the relative *hois* into the case of *pasin*, its antecedent.

<sup><10944></sup>**Luke 9:44** *Sink into your ears (Thesthe humeis eis ta oota humoon)*. Second aorist imperative middle of *titheemi*, common verb. “Do you (note emphatic position) yourselves (whatever others do) put into your ears.” No word like “sink” here. The same prediction here as in <sup><10381></sup>Mark 9:31; <sup><10722></sup>Matthew 17:22 about the Son of man only without mention of death and resurrection as there, which see for discussion.

<sup><10965></sup>**Luke 9:45** *It was concealed from them (een parakekalummenon ap' autoon)*. Periphrastic past perfect of *parakaluptoo*, a common verb, but only here in the N.T., to cover up, to hide from. This item only in Luke.

*That they should not perceive it (hina mee aisthoontai auto)*. Second aorist middle subjunctive of the common verb *aisthanomai* used with *hina mee*, negative purpose. This explanation at least relieves the disciples to some extent of full responsibility for their ignorance about the death of

Jesus as <sup><4032></sup>Mark 9:32 observes, as does Luke here that they were afraid to ask him. Plummer says, “They were not allowed to understand the saying then, in order that they might remember it afterwards, and see that Jesus had met His sufferings with full knowledge and free will.” Perhaps also, if they had fully understood, they might have lacked courage to hold on to the end. But it is a hard problem.

<sup><4046></sup>**Luke 9:46** *A reasoning (dialogismos)*. A dispute. The word is from *dialogizomai*, the verb used in <sup><4023></sup>Mark 9:33 about this incident. In Luke this dispute follows immediately after the words of Jesus about his death. They were afraid to ask Jesus about that subject, but <sup><4081></sup>Matthew 18:1 states that they came to Jesus to settle it.

*Which of them should be greatest (to tis an eiee meizoon autoon)*. Note the article with the indirect question, the clause being in the accusative of general reference. The optative with *an* is here because it was so in the direct question (potential optative with *an* retained in the indirect). But Luke makes it plain that it was not an abstract problem about greatness in the kingdom of heaven as they put it to Jesus (<sup><4081></sup>Matthew 18:1), but a personal problem in their own group. Rivalries and jealousies had already come and now sharp words. By and by James and John will be bold enough to ask for the first places for themselves in this political kingdom which they expect (<sup><4105></sup>Mark 10:35; <sup><4101></sup>Matthew 20:20). It is a sad spectacle.

<sup><4047></sup>**Luke 9:47** *Took a little child (epilabomenos paidion)*. Second aorist middle participle of the common verb *epilambanoo*. Strictly, Taking a little child to himself (indirect middle). <sup><4035></sup>Mark 9:36 has merely the active *laboon* of the simple verb *lambanoo*. Set him by his side (*esteesen auto par' heautooi*). “In his arms” <sup><4036></sup>Mark 9:36 has it, “in the midst of them” <sup><4083></sup>Matthew 18:3 says. All three attitudes following one another (the disciples probably in a circle around Jesus anyhow) and now the little child (Peter’s child?) was slipped down by the side of Jesus as he gave the disciples an object lesson in humility which they sorely needed.

<sup><4048></sup>**Luke 9:48** *This little child (touto to paidion)*. As Jesus spoke he probably had his hand upon the head of the child. <sup><4085></sup>Matthew 18:5 has “one such little child.” The honoured disciple, Jesus holds, is the one who welcomes little children “in my name” (*epi tooi onomati mou*), upon the basis of my name and my authority. It was a home-thrust against the selfish ambition of the Twelve. Ministry to children is a mark of greatness. Have

preachers ever yet learned how to win children to Christ? They are allowed to slip away from home, from Sunday school, from church, from Christ.

*For he that is least among you all* (*ho gar mikroteros en pasin humin huparchoon*). Note the use of *huparchoo* as in <sup><184></sup>Luke 8:41; <sup><231></sup>Luke 23:50. The comparative *mikroteros* is in accord with the *Koin*, idiom where the superlative is vanishing (nearly gone in modern Greek). But *great* (*me gas*) is positive and very strong. This saying peculiar to Luke here.

<sup><199></sup>**Luke 9:49** *And John answered* (*apokritheis de Iooanees*). As if John wanted to change the subject after the embarrassment of the rebuke for their dispute concerning greatness (<sup><196></sup>Luke 9:46-48).

*Master* (*epistata*). Only in Luke in the N.T. as already four times (<sup><155></sup>Luke 5:5; 8:24,45; 9:33).

*We forbade him* (*ekooluomen auton*). Conative imperfect as in <sup><108></sup>Mark 9:38, We tried to hinder him.

*Because he followeth not with us* (*hoti ouk akolouthei meth heemoon*). Present tense preserved for vividness where Mark has imperfect

*kolouthei*. Note also here “with us” (*meth’ heemoon*) where Mark has associative instrumental *heemin*. It is a pitiful specimen of partisan narrowness and pride even in the Beloved Disciple, one of the Sons of Thunder. The man was doing the Master’s work in the Master’s name and with the Master’s power, but did not run with the group of the Twelve.

<sup><181></sup>**Luke 9:50** *“Against you is for you”* (*kath’ humoon huper humoon*). <sup><190></sup>Mark 9:40 has “against us is for us” (*heemoon ... heemoon*). The *Koin*, Greek *ee* and *u* were often pronounced alike and it was easy to interchange them. So many MSS. here read just as in Mark. The point is precisely the same as it is a proverbial saying. See a similar saying in <sup><213></sup>Luke 11:23: “He that is not with me is against me.” The prohibition here as in <sup><109></sup>Mark 9:39 is general: “Stop hindering him” (*mee kooluete, mee* and the present imperative, not *mee* and the aorist subjunctive). The lesson of toleration in methods of work for Christ is needed today.

<sup><151></sup>**Luke 9:51** *When the days were well-nigh come* (*en tooi splemerousthai tas heemeras*). Luke’s common idiom *en* with the



articular infinitive, “in the being fulfilled as to the days.” This common compound occurs in the N.T. only here and <sup><4183></sup>Luke 8:23; <sup><4111></sup>Acts 2:1. The language here makes it plain that Jesus was fully conscious of the time of his death as near as already stated (<sup><4192></sup>Luke 9:22,27,31).

*That he should be received up* (*tees analempseos autou*). Literally, “of his taking up.” It is an old word (from Hippocrates on), but here alone in the N.T. It is derived from *analambanoo* (the verb used of the Ascension, <sup><4102></sup>Acts 1:2,11,22; <sup><5416></sup>1 Timothy 3:16) and refers here to the Ascension of Jesus after His Resurrection. Not only in John’s Gospel (<sup><4175></sup>John 17:5) does Jesus reveal a yearning for a return to the Father, but it is in the mind of Christ here as evidently at the Transfiguration (<sup><4181></sup>Luke 9:31) and later in <sup><4124></sup>Luke 12:49f.

*He steadfastly set his face* (*autos to prosopon esteerisen*). Note emphatic *autos*,

*he himself*, with fixedness of purpose in the face of difficulty and danger. This look on Christ’s face as he went to his doom is noted later in <sup><4132></sup>Mark 10:32. It is a Hebraistic idiom (nine times in Ezekiel), this use of face here, but the verb (effective aorist active) is an old one from *steerizoo* (from *steerigx*, a support), to set fast, to fix.

*To go to Jerusalem* (*tou poreuesthai eis Ierousalem*). Genitive infinitive of purpose. Luke three times mentions Christ making his way to Jerusalem (<sup><4151></sup>Luke 9:51; 13:22; <sup><4171></sup>Luke 17:11) and John mentions three journeys to Jerusalem during the later ministry (<sup><4170></sup>John 7:10; 11:17; 12:1). It is natural to take these journeys to be the same in each of these Gospels. Luke does not make definite location of each incident and John merely supplements here and there. But in a broad general way they seem to correspond.

<sup><4152></sup>**Luke 9:52** *Sent messengers* (*apesteilen aggelous*). As a precaution since he was going to Jerusalem through Samaria. The Samaritans did not object when people went north from Jerusalem through their country. He was repudiating Mount Gerizim by going by it to Jerusalem. This was an unusual precaution by Jesus and we do not know who the messengers (*angels*) were.

*To make ready for him* (*hoos hetoimasai autooi*). *Hoos* is correct here, not *hooste*. The only examples of the final use of *hoos* with the infinitive in the



N.T. are this one and <sup><300></sup>Hebrews 7:9 (absolute use). In <sup><404></sup>Acts 20:24 Westcott and Hort read *hoos teleioosoo* and put *hoos teleioosai* in the margin (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1091).

<sup><405></sup>**Luke 9:53** *And they did not receive him (kai ouk edexanto auton)*. Adversative use of *kai* = But.

*Because his face was going to Jerusalem (hoti to prosopon autou een poreuomenon eis Ierousaleem)*. Periphrastic imperfect middle. It was reason enough to the churlish Samaritans.

<sup><405></sup>**Luke 9:54** *Saw this (idontes)*. Second aorist active participle of *horaoo*. Saw the messengers returning.

*We bid (theleis eipoomen)*. Deliberative subjunctive *eipoomen* after *theleis* without *hina*, probably two questions, Dost thou wish? Shall we bid? Perhaps the recent appearance of Elijah on the Mount of Transfiguration reminded James and John of the incident in <sup><100></sup>2 Kings 1:10-12. Some MSS. add here “as Elijah did.” The language of the LXX is quoted by James and John, these fiery Sons of Thunder. Note the two aorist active infinitives (*katabeenai*, *analoosai*, the first ingressive, the second effective).

<sup><405></sup>**Luke 9:55** *But he turned (strapheis de)*. Second aorist passive participle of *strepheethai*, common verb, to turn round. Dramatic act. Some ancient MSS. have here:

*Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of (ouk oidate poiou pneumatos este)*. This sounds like Christ and may be a genuine saying though not a part of Luke’s Gospel. A smaller number of MSS. add also:

*For the Son of Man came not to destroy men’s lives, but to save them (Hosea gar huios tou anthroopou ouk eelthen psuchas anthroopoon apolesai alla soosai)*, a saying reminding us of <sup><4057></sup>Matthew 5:17; <sup><290></sup>Luke 19:10. Certain it is that here Jesus rebuked the bitterness of James and John toward Samaritans as he had already chided John for his narrowness towards a fellow-worker in the kingdom.

<sup><4057></sup>**Luke 9:57** *A certain man (tis)*. <sup><4089></sup>Matthew 8:19 calls him “a scribe.” <sup><4057></sup>Luke 9:57-60; <sup><4089></sup>Matthew 8:19-22, but not in Mark and so from Q or the Logia.

*Wherever you go* (*hopou ean apercheei*) is the present middle subjunctive with the indefinite relative adverb *ean*, common Greek idiom. See on Matthew for “holes,” “nests,” “Son of man.” The idiom “where to lay his head” (*pou teen kephaleen klineei*) is the same in both, the deliberative subjunctive retained in the indirect question. “Jesus knows the measure of the scribe’s enthusiasm” (Plummer). The wandering life of Jesus explains this statement.

◀108▶ **Luke 9:59** *And he said unto another* (*eipen de pros heteron*).

◀102▶ Matthew 8:21 omits Christ’s “Follow me” (*akolouthei moi*) and makes this man a volunteer instead of responding to the appeal of Jesus. There is no real opposition, of course. In Matthew’s account the man is apologetic as in Luke. Plummer calls him “one of the casual disciples” of whom there are always too many. The scribes knew how to give plausible reasons for not being active disciples.

*First* (*prooton*). One of the problems of life is the relation of duties to each other, which comes first. The burial of one’s father was a sacred duty (◀123▶ Genesis 25:9), but, as in the case of Tobit 4:3, this scribe’s father probably was still alive. What the scribe apparently meant was that he could not leave his father while still alive to follow Jesus around over the country.

◀101▶ **Luke 9:60** *Leave the dead to bury their own dead* (*aphes tous nekrous thapsai tous heautoon nekrous*).

This paradox occurs so in ◀102▶ Matthew 8:22. The explanation is that the spiritually dead can bury the literally dead. For such a quick change in the use of the same words see ◀101▶ John 5:21-29 (spiritual resurrection from sin in ◀101▶ John 5:21-27, bodily resurrection from the grave, ◀103▶ John 5:28,29) and ◀101▶ John 11:25f. The harshness of this proverb to the scribe probably is due to the fact that he was manifestly using his aged father as an excuse for not giving Christ active service.

*But go thou and publish abroad the kingdom of God* (*su de apelthoon diaggelle teen basileian tou theou*). The scribe’s duty is put sharply (*But do thou, su de*). Christ called him to preach, and he was using pious phrases about his father as a pretext. Many a preacher has had to face a similar delicate problem of duty to father, mother, brothers, sisters and the call to preach. This was a clear case. Jesus will help any man called to

preach to see his duty. Certainly Jesus does not advocate renunciation of family duties on the part of preachers.

**◄9:61► Luke 9:61** *And another also said (eipen de kai heteros)*. A volunteer like the first. This third case is given by Luke alone, though the incident may also come from the same Logia as the other two. *Heteros* does not here mean one of a “different” sort as is sometimes true of this pronoun, but merely another like *allos* (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 749).

*But first (prooton de)*. He also had something that was to come “first.”

*To bid farewell to them that are at my house (apotaxasthai tois eis ton oikon mou)*. In itself that was a good thing to do. This first aorist middle infinitive is from *apotassoo*, an old verb, to detach, to separate, to assign as a detachment of soldiers. In the N.T. it only appears in the middle voice with the meaning common in late writers to bid adieu, to separate oneself from others. It is used in ~~◄18:18►~~ Acts 18:18 of Paul taking leave of the believers in Corinth. See also ~~◄6:46►~~ Mark 6:46; ~~◄2:13►~~ 2 Corinthians 2:13. It is thus a formal function and this man meant to go home and set things in order there and then in due time to come and follow Jesus.

**◄9:62► Luke 9:62** *Having put his hand to the plough (epibaloon teen cheira ep' arotron)*. Second aorist active participle of *epibaloo*, an old and common verb, to place upon. Note repetition of preposition *epi* before *arotron* (plough). This agricultural proverb is as old as Hesiod. Pliny observes that the ploughman who does not bend attentively to his work goes crooked. It has always been the ambition of the ploughman to run a straight furrow. The Palestine *fellah* had good success at it.

*And looking back (kai blepoon eis ta opisoo)*. Looking to the things behind. To do that is fatal as any ploughman knows. The call to turn back is often urgent.

*Fit (euthetos)*. From *eu* and *titheemi*=well-placed, suited for, adapted to. “The first case is that of inconsiderate impulse, the second that of conflicting duties, the third that of a divided mind” (Bruce).

## CHAPTER 10

<402> **Luke 10:1** *Appointed* (*anedeixen*). First aorist active indicative of *anadeiknumi*, an old verb, not only common, but in LXX. In the N.T. only here and <4024> Acts 1:24. Cf. *anadeixis* in <4080> Luke 1:80. To show forth, display, proclaim, appoint.

*Seventy others* (*heterous hebdomEEKONTA kai*). The “also” (*kai*) and the “others” point back to the mission of the Twelve in Galilee (<4090> Luke 9:1-6). Some critics think that Luke has confused this report of a mission in Judea with that in Galilee, but needlessly so. What earthly objection can there be to two similar missions? B D Syr. Cur. and Syr. Sin. have “seventy-two.” The seventy elders were counted both ways and the Sanhedrin likewise and the nations of the earth. It is an evenly balanced point.

*Two and two* (*ana duo*). For companionship as with the Twelve though <4007> Mark 6:7 has it *duo* (vernacular idiom). B K have here *ana duo*, a combination of the idiom in <4007> Mark 6:7 and that here.

*He himself was about to come* (*eemellen autos erchesthai*). Imperfect of *melloo* with present infinitive and note *autos*. Jesus was to follow after and investigate the work done. This was only a temporary appointment and no names are given, but they could cover a deal of territory.

<2002> **Luke 10:2** *Harvest* (*therismos*). Late word for the older *theros*, summer, harvest. The language in this verse is verbatim what we have in <40057> Matthew 9:37,38 to the Twelve. Why not? The need is the same and prayer is the answer in each case. Prayer for preachers is Christ’s method for increasing the supply.

<2003> **Luke 10:3** *As lambs* (*hoos arnas*). Here again the same language as that in <40016> Matthew 10:16 except that there “sheep” (*probata*) appears instead of “lambs.” Pathetic picture of the risks of missionaries for Christ. They take their life in their hands.

<2004> **Luke 10:4** *Purse* (*ballantion*). Old word for money-bag, sometimes a javelin as if from *balloo*. Only in Luke in the N.T. (<2004> Luke 10:4; 12:33;

22:35ff.). See <100> Luke 9:3; <100> Mark 6:7f.; <100> Matthew 10:9f. for the other similar items.

*Salute no man on the way* (*meedena kata teen hodon aspaseesthe*). First aorist (ingressive) middle subjunctive with *meedena*. The peril of such wayside salutations was palaver and delay. The King's business required haste. Elisha's servant was not to tarry for salutations or salaams (<100> 2 Kings 4:29). These oriental greetings were tedious, complicated, and often meddlesome if others were present or engaged in a bargain.

<200> **Luke 10:5** *First say* (*prooton legete*). Say first. The adverb *prooton* can be construed with "enter" (*eiseltheete*), but probably with *legete* is right. The word spoken is the usual oriental salutation.

<200> **Luke 10:6** *A son of peace* (*huios eireenees*). A Hebraism, though some examples occur in the vernacular *Koin*, papyri. It means one inclined to peace, describing the head of the household.

*Shall rest* (*epanapaeesetai*). Second future passive of *epanapauoo*, a late double compound (*epi, ana*) of the common verb *pauoo*.

*It shall turn to you again* (*eph' humfs anakampsei*). Common verb *anakamptoo*, to bend back, return. The peace in that case will bend back with blessing upon the one who spoke it.

<200> **Luke 10:7** *In that same house* (*en auteei teei oikifi*). Literally, in the house itself, not "in the same house" (*en teei auteei oikifi*), a different construction. A free rendering of the common Lukan idiom is, "in that very house."

*Eating* (*esthontes*). An old poetic verb *esthoo* for *esthioo* that survives in late Greek.

*Such things as they give* (*ta par' autoun*). "The things from them."

*For the labourer is worthy of his hire* (*axios gar ho ergatees tou misthou autou*). In <1000> Matthew 10:10 we have *tees trophees autou* (his food). <5458> 1 Timothy 5:18 has this saying quoted as scripture. That is not impossible if Luke wrote by A.D. 62. Paul there however may quote only <6204> Deuteronomy 25:4 as scripture and get this quotation either from <2007> Luke 10:7 or from a proverbial saying of Jesus. It is certainly not a real objection against the Pauline authorship of First Timothy.

*Go not from house to house* (*mee metabainete ex oikias eis oikian*). As a habit, *mee* and the present imperative, and so avoid waste of time with such rounds of invitations as would come.

<2018> **Luke 10:8** *Such things as are set before you* (*ta paratithemena humin*). The things placed before you from time to time (present passive participle, repetition). Every preacher needs this lesson of common politeness. These directions may seem perfunctory and even commonplace, but every teacher of young preachers knows how necessary they are. Hence they were given both to the Twelve and to the Seventy.

<2019> **Luke 10:9** *Is come nigh unto you* (*eeggiken eph' humfs*). Perfect active indicative of *eggizoo* as in <1012> Matthew 3:2 of the Baptist and <1015> Mark 1:15 of Jesus. Note *eph' humfs* here.

<2100> **Luke 10:10** *Into the streets thereof* (*eis tas plateias autees*). Out of the inhospitable houses into the broad open streets.

<2101> **Luke 10:11** *Even the dust* (*kai ton koniorton*). Old word from *konis*, dust, and *ornumi*, to stir up. We have seen it already in <1004> Matthew 10:14; <1015> Luke 9:5. Dust is a plague in the east. Shake off even that.

*Cleaveth* (*kolleethenta*). First aorist passive participle of *kollao*, to cling as dust and mud do to shoes. Hence the orientals took off the sandals on entering a house.

*We wipe off* (*apomassometha*). Middle voice of an old verb *apomassoo*, to rub off with the hands. Nowhere else in the N.T. But *ekmassoo*, occurs in <1073> Luke 7:38,44.

*Against you* (*Humin*). Fine example of the dative of disadvantage (the case of personal interest, the dative).

<2102> **Luke 10:12** *More tolerable* (*anektoteron*). Comparative of the verbal adjective *anektos* from *anechomai*. An old adjective, but only the comparative in the N.T. and in this phrase (<1015> Matthew 10:15; 11:22,24; <2102> Luke 10:12,14).

<2103> **Luke 10:13** *Would have repented* (*an metenoeesan*). Conclusion (apodosis) of second-class condition, determined as unfulfilled.

*Long ago (palai)*. Implies a considerable ministry in these cities of which we are not told. Chorazin not mentioned save here and <sup><4112></sup>Matthew 11:21. Perhaps *Karfzeh* near Tell Hum (Capernaum).

*Sitting in sackcloth and ashes (en sakkooi kai spodoi katheemenoi)*.

Pictorial and graphic. The *sakkos* (sackcloth) was dark coarse cloth made of goat's hair and worn by penitents, mourners, suppliants. It is a Hebrew word, *sag*. The rough cloth was used for sacks or bags. To cover oneself with ashes was a mode of punishment as well as of voluntary humiliation.

<sup><2105></sup>**Luke 10:15** *Shalt thou be exalted? (mee hupsootheeseei);*. *Mee* expects the answer No. The verb is future passive indicative second singular of *hupsooo*, to lift up, a late verb from *hupsos*, height. It is used by Jesus of the Cross (<sup><4122></sup>John 12:32).

*Unto Hades (heoos Haidou)*. See on <sup><4168></sup>Matthew 16:18 for this word which is here in contrast to Heaven as in <sup><23413></sup>Isaiah 14:13-15. Hades is not Gehenna. "The desolation of the whole neighbourhood, and the difficulty of identifying even the site of these flourishing towns, is part of the fulfilment of this prophecy" (Plummer). Ragg notes the omission of Nazareth from this list of cities of neglected privilege and opportunity. "Is it the tender memories of boyhood that keep from His lips the name of the arch-rejector (<sup><4108></sup>Luke 4:28 sqq.) Nazareth?"

<sup><2106></sup>**Luke 10:16** *Rejecteth him that sent me (athetei ton aposteilanta me)*.

These solemn words form a fit close for this discourse to the Seventy. The fate of Chorazin, Bethsaida, Capernaum will befall those who set aside (*a* privative and *theteoo*, from *titheemi*) the mission and message of these messengers of Christ. See this verb used in <sup><4173></sup>Luke 7:30 of the attitude of the scribes and Pharisees toward John and Jesus. It is this thought that makes it so grave a responsibility to be co-workers with Christ, high privilege as it is (<sup><4100></sup>John 9:4).

<sup><2107></sup>**Luke 10:17** *Returned with joy (hupestrepsan meta charas)*. They had profited by the directions of Jesus. Joy overflows their faces and their words.

*Even the demons (kai ta daimonia)*. This was a real test. The Twelve had been expressly endowed with this power when they were sent out (<sup><4101></sup>Luke 9:1), but the Seventy were only told to heal the sick (<sup><2109></sup>Luke 10:9). It was better than they expected. The Gospel worked wonders and

they were happy. The demons were merely one sign of the conflict between Christ and Satan. Every preacher has to grapple with demons in his work.

*Are subject (hupotassetai).* Present passive indicative (repetition).

<sup><2108></sup>**Luke 10:18** *I beheld Satan fallen (etheooroun ton Satanfn pesonta).* Imperfect active (I was beholding) and second aorist (constative) active participle of *piptoo* (not *fallen*, *peptookota*, perfect active participle, nor *falling*, *piptonta*, present active participle, but

*fall*, *pesonta*). As a flash of lightning out of heaven, quick and startling, so the victory of the Seventy over the demons, the agents of Satan, forecast his downfall and Jesus in vision pictured it as a flash of lightning.

<sup><2109></sup>**Luke 10:19** *And over all the power of the enemy (kai epi pfsan teen dunamin tou echthrou).* This is the heart of “the authority” (*teen exousian*) here given by Jesus which is far beyond their expectations. The victory over demons was one phase of it. The power to tread upon serpents is repeated in <sup><4168></sup>Mark 16:18 (the Appendix) and exemplified in Paul’s case in Malta (<sup><4878></sup>Acts 28:3-5). But protection from physical harm is not the main point in this struggle with Satan “the enemy” (<sup><4135></sup>Matthew 13:25; <sup><6161></sup>Romans 16:20; <sup><4088></sup>1 Peter 5:8).

*Nothing shall in any wise hurt you (ouden humfs ou mee adikeesei).* Text has future active indicative, while some MSS. read *adikeeseei*, aorist active subjunctive of *adikeoo*, common verb from *adikos* (*a* privative and *dikos*), to suffer wrong, to do wrong. The triple negative here is very strong. Certainly Jesus does not mean this promise to create presumption or foolhardiness for he repelled the enemy’s suggestion on the pinnacle of the temple.

<sup><2110></sup>**Luke 10:20** *Are written (engegraptai).* Perfect passive indicative, state of completion, stand written, enrolled or engraved, from *engraphoo*, common verb. “As citizens possessing the full privileges of the commonwealth” (Plummer).

<sup><2111></sup>**Luke 10:21** *In that same hour (en auteei teei hoorfi).* Literally, “at the hour itself,” almost a demonstrative use of *autos* (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 686) and in Luke alone in the N.T. (<sup><4128></sup>Luke 2:38; 10:21; 12:12; <sup><2109></sup>Luke 20:19). <sup><4125></sup>Matthew 11:25 uses the demonstrative here, “at that time” (*en ekeinoi tooi kairooi*).



*Rejoiced in the Holy Spirit* (*egalliasato tooi pneumati tooi hagiooi*). First aorist middle of the late verb *agalliaoo* for *agalloo*, to exult. Always in the middle in the N.T. save <sup><0147></sup>Luke 1:47 in Mary's *Magnificat*. This holy joy of Jesus was directly due to the Holy Spirit. It is joy in the work of his followers, their victories over Satan, and is akin to the joy felt by Jesus in <sup><0103></sup>John 4:32-38 when the vision of the harvest of the world stirred his heart. The rest of this verse is precisely like <sup><0112></sup>Matthew 11:25f., a peculiarly Johannine passage in Matthew and Luke, but not in Mark, and so from Q (the Logia of Jesus). It has disturbed critics who are unwilling to admit the Johannine style and type of teaching as genuine, but here it is. See on Matthew for discussion.

“That God had proved his independence of the human intellect is a matter for thankfulness. Intellectual gifts, so far from being necessary, are often a hindrance” (Plummer).

<sup><0102></sup>**Luke 10:22** *Knoweth who the Son is* (*ginooskei tis estin ho huios*). Knows by experience, *ginooskei*. Here <sup><0112></sup>Matthew 11:27 has *epiginooskei* (fully knows) and simply *ton huion* (the Son) instead of the “who” (*tis*) clause. So also in “who the Father is” (*tis estin ho pater*). But the same use and contrast of “the Father,” “the Son.” in both Matthew and Luke, “an aerolite from the Johannine heaven” (Hase). No sane criticism can get rid of this Johannine bit in these Gospels written long before the Fourth Gospel was composed. We are dealing here with the oldest known document about Christ (the Logia) and the picture is that drawn in the Fourth Gospel (see my *The Christ of the Logia*). It is idle to try to whittle away by fantastic exegesis the high claims made by Jesus in this passage. It is an ecstatic prayer in the presence of the Seventy under the rapture of the Holy Spirit on terms of perfect equality and understanding between the Father and the Son in the tone of the priestly prayer in John 17. We are justified in saying that this prayer of supreme Fellowship with the Father in contemplation of final victory over Satan gives us a glimpse of the prayers with the Father when the Son spent whole nights on the mountain alone with the Father. Here is the Messianic consciousness in complete control and with perfect confidence in the outcome. Here as in <sup><0112></sup>Matthew 11:27 by the use of

*willeth to reveal him* (*bouleetai apokalupsai*). The Son claims the power to reveal the Father “to whomsoever he wills” (*hooi an bouleetai*, indefinite relative and present subjunctive of *boulomai*, to will, not the

future indicative). This is divine sovereignty most assuredly. Human free agency is also true, but it is full divine sovereignty in salvation that is here claimed along with possession (*paredothee*, timeless aorist passive indicative) of all power from the Father. Let that supreme claim stand.

◀2102▶ **Luke 10:23** *Turning to the disciples* (*strapheis pros tous matheetas*). Second aorist passive of *strephoo* as in ▶4105▶ Luke 9:55. The prayer was a soliloquy though uttered in the presence of the Seventy on their return. Now Jesus turned and spoke “privately” or to the disciples (the Twelve, apparently), whether on this same occasion or a bit later.

*Blessed* (*makarioi*). A beatitude, the same adjective as in ▶4108▶ Matthew 5:3-11. A beatitude of privilege very much like that in ▶4103▶ Matthew 5:13-16. Jesus often repeated his sayings.

◀2101▶ **Luke 10:24** *Which ye see* (*ha humeis blepete*). The expression of *humeis* makes “ye” very emphatic in contrast with the prophets and kings of former days.

◀2102▶ **Luke 10:25** *And tempted him* (*ekpeirazoon auton*). Present active participle, conative idea, trying to tempt him. There is no “and” in the Greek. He “stood up (*anestee*, ingressive second aorist active) trying to tempt him.” *Peirazoo* is a late form of *peirao* and *ekpeirazoo* apparently only in the LXX, and N.T. (quoted by Jesus from ▶4106▶ Deuteronomy 6:16 in ▶4107▶ Matthew 4:7; ▶4102▶ Luke 4:12 against Satan). Here and ▶4109▶ 1 Corinthians 10:9. The spirit of this lawyer was evil. He wanted to entrap Jesus if possible.

*What shall I do to inherit eternal life?* (*Ti poieesas zoeen aiooniou kleronomeeso;*). Literally, “By doing what shall I inherit eternal life?” Note the emphasis on “doing” (*poieesas*). The form of his question shows a wrong idea as to how to get it.

*Eternal life* (*zoeen aioonion*) is endless life as in John’s Gospel (▶4104▶ John 16:9; 18:18,30) and in ▶4104▶ Matthew 25:46, which see.

◀2102▶ **Luke 10:26** *How readest thou?* (*poos anaginooskeis;*). As a lawyer it was his business to know the facts in the law and the proper interpretation of the law. See on ▶4107▶ Luke 7:30 about *nomikos* (lawyer). The rabbis had a formula, “What readest thou?”

<2127> **Luke 10:27** *And he answering (ho de apokritheis)*. First aorist participle, no longer passive in idea. The lawyer's answer is first from the *Shema* (<1118> Deuteronomy 6:3; 11:13) which was written on the phylacteries. The second part is from <1118> Leviticus 19:18 and shows that the lawyer knew the law. At a later time Jesus himself in the temple gives a like summary of the law to a lawyer (<1128> Mark 12:28-34; <1124> Matthew 22:34-40) who wanted to catch Jesus by his question. There is no difficulty in the two incidents. God is to be loved with all of man's four powers (heart, soul, strength, mind) here as in <1123> Mark 12:30.

<1128> **Luke 10:28** *Thou hast answered right (orthoos apekrithees)*. First aorist passive indicative second singular with the adverb *orthoos*. The answer was correct so far as the words went. In <1124> Mark 12:34 Jesus commends the scribe for agreeing to his interpretation of the first and the second commandments. That scribe was "not far from the kingdom of God," but this lawyer was "tempting" Jesus.

*Do this and thou shalt live (touto poiei kai zeesei)*. Present imperative (keep on doing this forever) and the future indicative middle as a natural result. There was only one trouble with the lawyer's answer. No one ever did or ever can "do" what the law lays down towards God and man always. To slip once is to fail. So Jesus put the problem squarely up to the lawyer who wanted to know

*by doing what*. Of course, if he kept the law

*perfectly always*, he would inherit eternal life.

<1129> **Luke 10:29** *Desiring to justify himself (theloon dikaioosai heauton)*. The lawyer saw at once that he had convicted himself of asking a question that he already knew. In his embarrassment he asks another question to show that he did have some point at first:

*And who is my neighbour? (kai tis estin mou pleesion;)*. The Jews split hairs over this question and excluded from "neighbour" Gentiles and especially Samaritans. So here was his loop-hole. A neighbour is a nigh dweller to one, but the Jews made racial exceptions as many, alas, do today. The word *pleesion* here is an adverb (neuter of the adjective *pleeios*) meaning *ho pleesion oon* (the one who is near), but *oon* was usually not expressed and the adverb is here used as if a substantive.

**☞ Luke 10:30** *Made answer* (*hupolaboon*). Second aorist active participle of *hupolambanoo* (see ~~☞ Luke~~ Luke 7:43), to take up literally, and then in thought and speech, old verb, but in this sense of interrupting in talk only in the N.T.

*Was going down* (*katebainen*). Imperfect active describing the journey.

*Fell among robbers* (*leeistais periepesen*). Second aorist ingressive active indicative of *periptoo*, old verb with associative instrumental case, to fall among and to be encompassed by (*peri*, around), to be surrounded by robbers. A common experience to this day on the road to Jericho. The Romans placed a fort on this “red and bloody way.” These were bandits, not petty thieves.

*Stripped* (*ekdusantes*). Of his clothing as well as of his money, the meanest sort of robbers.

*Beat him* (*pleegas epithentes*). Second aorist active participle of *epiitheemi*, a common verb. Literally, “placing strokes or blows” (*pleegas*, plagues) upon him. See ~~☞ Luke~~ Luke 12:48; ~~☞ Acts~~ Acts 16:23; ~~☞ Revelation~~ Revelation 15:1,6,8 for “plagues.”

*Half-dead* (*heemithanee*). Late word from *heemi*, half, and *thneeskoo*, to die. Only here in the N.T. Vivid picture of the robbery.

**☞ Luke 10:31** *By chance* (*kata sugkurian*). Here only in the N.T., meaning rather, “by way of coincidence.” It is a rare word elsewhere and in late writers like Hippocrates. It is from the verb *sugkureoo*, though *sugkureesis* is more common.

*Was going down* (*katebainen*). Imperfect active as in verse 30. Passed by on the other side (*antipareelthen*). Second aorist active indicative of *antiparerchomai*, a late double compound here (verses 31,32) only in the N.T., but in the papyri and late writers. It is the ingressive aorist (*eelthen*), came alongside (*para*), and then he stepped over to the opposite side (*anti*) of the road to avoid ceremonial contamination with a stranger. A vivid and powerful picture of the vice of Jewish ceremonial cleanliness at the cost of moral principle and duty. The Levite in verse 32 behaved precisely as the priest had done and for the same reason.

**☞ Luke 10:33** *A certain Samaritan* (*Samareitees de tis*). Of all men in the world to do a neighbourly act!

*As he journeyed (hodeuoon).* Making his way.

*Came where he was (eelthen kat' auton).* Literally, “came down upon him.” He did not sidestep or dodge him, but had compassion on him.

◀2198▶ **Luke 10:34** *Bound up his wounds (katedeesen ta traumata).* First aorist active indicative of *katadeoo*, old verb, but here only in the N.T. The verb means “bound down.” We say “bind up.” Medical detail that interested Luke. The word for “wounds” (*traumata*) here only in the N.T.

*Pouring on them oil and wine (epicheoon elaion kai oinon).* Old verb again, but here only in the N.T. Oil and wine were household remedies even for wounds (soothing oil, antiseptic alcohol). Hippocrates prescribed for ulcers: “Bind with soft wool, and sprinkle with wine and oil.”

*Set him (epibibasas).* An old verb *epibibazoo* (*epi, bibazoo*), to cause to mount. In the N.T. only here and ◀4498▶ Acts 19:35; 23:24, common in LXX.

*Beast (kteenos).* Old word from *ktaomai*, to acquire, and so property (*kteema*) especially cattle or any beast of burden.

*An inn (pandocheion).* The old Attic form was *pandokeion* (from *pan*, all, and *dechomai*, to receive). A public place for receiving all comers and a more pretentious caravanserai than a *kataluma* like that in ◀4111▶ Luke 2:7. Here only in the N.T. There are ruins of two inns about halfway between Bethany and Jericho.

◀2198▶ **Luke 10:35** *On the morrow (epi teen aurion).* Towards the morrow as in ◀4408▶ Acts 4:5. (Cf. also ◀4401▶ Acts 3:1). Syriac Sinaitic has it “at dawn of the day.” An unusual use of *epi*.

*Took out (ekbaloon).* Second aorist active participle of *ekballoo*. It could mean, “fling out,” but probably only means “drew out.” Common verb.

*Two pence (duo deenaria).* About thirty-five cents, but worth more in purchasing power.

*To the host (tooi pandochei).* The innkeeper. Here only in the N.T.

*Whatsoever thou spendest more (hoti an prosdapaneeseis).* Indefinite relative clause with *an* and the aorist active subjunctive of *prosdapanaoo*, to spend besides (*pros*), a late verb for the common *prosanaliskoo* and here only in the N.T.

*I will repay* (*ego apodoosoo*). Emphatic. What he had paid was merely by way of pledge. He was a man of his word and known to the innkeeper as reliable.

*When I come back again* (*en tooi epanerchesthai me*). Luke's favourite idiom of *en* and the articular infinitive with accusative of general reference. Double compound verb *epanerchomai*.

<2106> **Luke 10:36** *Proved neighbour to him that fell* (*pleesion gegonenai tou empesontos*). Second perfect infinitive of *ginomai* and second aorist active participle of *empiptoo*. Objective genitive, became neighbour to the one, etc. Jesus has changed the lawyer's standpoint and has put it up to him to decide which of "these three" (*toutoon toon trioon*, priest, Levite, Samaritan) acted like a neighbour to the wounded man.

<2107> **Luke 10:37** *On him* (*met' autou*). With him, more exactly. The lawyer saw the point and gave the correct answer, but he gulped at the word "Samaritan" and refused to say that.

*Do thou* (*su poiei*). Emphasis on "thou." Would this Jewish lawyer act the neighbour to a Samaritan? This parable of the Good Samaritan has built the world's hospitals and, if understood and practised, will remove race prejudice, national hatred and war, class jealousy.

<2108> **Luke 10:38** *Now as they went on their way* (*een de tooi poreuesthai autous*). Luke's favourite temporal clause again as in verse 35.

*Received him into her house* (*hupedexato auton eis teen oikian*). Aorist middle indicative of *hupodechomai*, an old verb to welcome as a guest (in the N.T. only here and <2106> Luke 19:6; <4170> Acts 17:7; <5025> James 2:25). Martha is clearly the mistress of the home and is probably the elder sister. There is no evidence that she was the wife of Simon the leper (<810> John 12:1f.). It is curious that in an old cemetery at Bethany the names of Martha, Eleazar, and Simon have been found.

<2109> **Luke 10:39** *Which also sat* (*hee kai parakathestheisa*). First aorist passive participle of *parakathozomai*, an old verb, but only here in the N.T. It means to sit beside (*para*) and *pros* means right in front of the feet of Jesus. It is not clear what the point is in *kai* here. It may mean that Martha loved to sit here also as well as Mary.

*Heard* (*eekouen*). Imperfect active. She took her seat by the feet of Jesus and went on listening to his talk.

◀200▶ **Luke 10:40** *Was cumbered* (*periespfto*). Imperfect passive of *perispao*, an old verb with vivid metaphor, to draw around. One has sometimes seen women whose faces are literally drawn round with anxiety, with a permanent twist, distracted in mind and in looks.

*She came up to him* (*epistfsa*). Second aorist active participle of *ephisteemi*, an old verb to place upon, but in the N.T. only in the middle voice or the intransitive tenses of the active (perfect and second aorist as here). It is the ingressive aorist here and really means. stepping up to or bursting in or upon Jesus. It is an explosive act as is the speech of Martha.

*Dost thou not care* (*ou melei soi*). This was a reproach to Jesus for monopolizing Mary to Martha's hurt.

*Did leave me* (*me kateleipen*). Imperfect active, she kept on leaving me.

*Bid her* (*eipon auteei*). Late form instead of *eipe*, second aorist active imperative, common in the papyri. Martha feels that Jesus is the key to Mary's help.

*That she help me* (*hina moi sunantilabeetai*). Sub-final use of *hina* with second aorist middle subjunctive of *sunantilambanomai*, a double compound verb (*sun*, with, *anti*, at her end of the line, and *lambanomai*, middle voice of *lambanoo*, to take hold), a late compound appearing in the LXX, Diodorus and Josephus. Deissmann (*Light from the Ancient East*, p. 87) finds it in many widely scattered inscriptions "throughout the whole extent of the Hellenistic world of the Mediterranean." It appears only twice in the N.T. (here and ▶618▶ Romans 8:26). It is a beautiful word, to take hold oneself (middle voice) at his end of the task (*anti*) together with (*sun*) one.

◀210▶ **Luke 10:41** *Art anxious* (*merimnfis*). An old verb for worry and anxiety from *merizoo* (*meris*, part) to be divided, distracted. Jesus had warned against this in the Sermon on the Mount (▶4165▶ Matthew 6:25,28,31,34. See also ▶211▶ Luke 12:11,22,26).

*And troubled* (*kai thorubazei*). From *thorubazomai*, a verb found nowhere else so far. Many MSS. here have the usual form *turbazei*, from *turbazoo*. Apparently from *thorubos*, a common enough word for tumult. Martha had both inward anxiety and outward agitation.

*But one thing is needful* (*henos de estin chreia*). This is the reading of A C and may be correct. A few manuscripts have: “There is need of few things.” Aleph B L (and Westcott and Hort) have: “There is need of few things or one,” which seems like a conflate reading though the readings are all old. See Robertson, *Introduction to Textual Criticism of the N.T.*, p. 190. Jesus seems to say to Martha that only one dish was really necessary for the meal instead of the “many” about which she was so anxious.

~~<10>~~ **Luke 10:42** *The good portion* (*teen agatheen merida*). The best dish on the table, fellowship with Jesus. This is the spiritual application of the metaphor of the dishes on the table. Salvation is not “the good portion” for Martha had that also.

*From her* (*autees*). Ablative case after *aphaireetheesetai* (future passive indicative). Jesus pointedly takes Mary’s side against Martha’s fussiness.



## CHAPTER 11

◀210▶ **Luke 11:1** *As he was praying in a certain place* (*en tooi einai auton en topooi tini proseuchomenon*). Characteristically Lukan idiom: *en* with articular periphrastic infinitive (*einai proseuchomenon*) with accusative of general reference (*auton*).

*That*. Not in the Greek, asyndeton (*kai egeneto eipen*).

*When he ceased* (*hoos epausato*). Supply *proseuchomenos* (praying), complementary or supplementary participle.

*Teach us* (*didaxon heemas*). Jesus had taught them by precept (◀406▶ Matthew 6:7-15) and example (◀402▶ Luke 9:29). Somehow the example of Jesus on this occasion stirred them to fresh interest in the subject and to revival of interest in John's teachings (◀403▶ Luke 5:33). So Jesus gave them the substance of the Model Prayer in Matthew, but in shorter form. Some of the MSS. have one or all of the phrases in Matthew, but the oldest documents have it in the simplest form. See on ◀406▶ Matthew 6:7-15 for discussion of these details (Father, hallowed, kingdom, daily bread, forgiveness, bringing us into temptation). In ◀401▶ Matthew 6:11 "give" is *dos* (second aorist active imperative second singular, a single act) while here ◀210▶ Luke 11:3 "give" is *didou* (present active imperative, both from *didoomi*) and means, "keep on giving." So in ◀210▶ Luke 11:4 we have "For we ourselves also forgive" (*kai gar autoi aphiomien*), present active indicative of the late *oo* verb *aphioo* while ◀406▶ Matthew 6:12 has "as we also forgave" (*hoos kai heemeis apheekamen*), first aorist (*k* aorist) active of *aphieemi*. So also where ◀406▶ Matthew 6:12 has "debts" (*ta opheileemata*) ◀210▶ Luke 11:4 has "sins" (*tas hamartias*). But the spirit of each prayer is the same. There is no evidence that Jesus meant either form to be a ritual. In both ◀406▶ Matthew 6:13; ◀210▶ Luke 11:4 *mee eisenegkeeis* occurs (second aorist subjunctive with *mee* in prohibition, ingressive aorist). "Bring us not" is a better translation than "lead us not." There is no such thing as God enticing one to sin (◀3013▶ James 1:13). Jesus urges us to pray not to be tempted as in ◀224▶ Luke 22:40 in Gethsemane.

◀210▶ **Luke 11:5** *At midnight* (*mesonuktiou*). Genitive of time.

*And say to him (kai eipeei autooi)*. This is the deliberative subjunctive, but it is preceded by two future indicatives that are deliberative also (*hexei, poreusetai*).

*Lend me (chreeson moi)*. First aorist active imperative second singular.  
Lend me

*now*. From *kichreemi*, an old verb, to lend as a matter of friendly interest as opposed to *daneizoo*, to lend on interest as a business. Only here in the N.T.

◀2106▶ **Luke 11:6** *To set before him (ho paratheesoo autooi)*.

*Which I shall place beside him*. Future active of *paratitheemi*. See 9:16 for this same verb.

◀2107▶ **Luke 11:7** *And he (kakeinos)*. Emphatic.

*Shall say (eipeei)*. Still the aorist active deliberative subjunctive as in verse 5 (the same long and somewhat involved sentence).

*Trouble me not (mee moi kopous pareche)*. *Mee* and the present imperative active. Literally, “Stop furnishing troubles to me.” On this use of *kopous parechoo* see also ▶2130▶ Matthew 26:10; ▶4146▶ Mark 14:6; ▶8167▶ Galatians 6:17 and the singular *kopon*, ▶2185▶ Luke 18:5.

*The door is now shut (eedee hee thura kekleistai)*. Perfect passive indicative, shut to stay shut. Oriental locks are not easy to unlock. From *kleioo*, common verb.

*In bed (eis ten koiteen)*. Note use of *eis* in sense of *en*. Often a whole family would sleep in the same room.

*I cannot (ou dunamai)*. That is, I am not willing.

◀2108▶ **Luke 11:8** *Though (ei kai)*. *Kai ei* would be “Even if,” a different idea.

*Because he is his friend (dia to einai philon autou)*. *Dia* and the accusative articular infinitive with accusative of general reference, a causal clause=“because of the being a friend of his.”

*Yet because of his importunity (dia ge teen anaidian autou)*. From *anaidees*, shameless, and that from *a* privative and *aidoos*, shame,

shamelessness, impudence. An old word, but here alone in the N.T. Examples in the papyri. The use of *ge* here, one of the intensive particles, is to be noted. It sharpens the contrast to “though” by “yet.” As examples of importunate prayer Vincent notes Abraham in behalf of Sodom (<sup><4182></sup>Genesis 18:23-33) and the Syro-Phoenician woman in behalf of her daughter (<sup><4152></sup>Matthew 15:22-28).

<sup><210></sup>**Luke 11:9** *Shall be opened* (*anoigeesetai*). Second future passive third singular of *anoignumi* and the later *anoigoo*.

<sup><2111></sup>**Luke 11:11** *Of which of you that is a father* (*tina de ex humoon ton patera*). There is a decided anacoluthon here. The MSS. differ a great deal. The text of Westcott and Hort makes *ton patera* (the father) in apposition with *tina* (of whom) and in the accusative the object of *aiteesei* (shall ask) which has also another accusative (both person and thing) “a loaf.” So far so good. But the rest of the sentence is,

*will ye give him a stone?* (*mee lithon epidoosei autooi;*). *Mee* shows that the answer No is expected, but the trouble is that the interrogative *tina* in the first clause is in the accusative the object of *aiteesei* while here the same man (he) is the subject of *epidoosei*. It is a very awkward piece of Greek and yet it is intelligible. Some of the old MSS. do not have the part about “loaf” and “stone,” but only the two remaining parts about “fish” and “serpent,” “egg” and “scorpion.” The same difficult construction is carried over into these questions also.

<sup><2113></sup>**Luke 11:13** *Know how to give* (*oidate didonai*). See on <sup><4071></sup>Matthew 7:11 for this same saying. Only here Jesus adds the Holy Spirit (*pneuma hagion*) as the great gift (the *summum bonum*) that the Father is ready to bestow. Jesus is fond of “how much more” (*posooi mflon*, by how much more, instrumental case).

<sup><2114></sup>**Luke 11:14** *When* (*tou daimoniou exelthontos*). Genitive absolute ana asyndeton between *kai egeneto* and *elaleesen* as often in Luke (no *hoti* or *kai*).

<sup><2115></sup>**Luke 11:15** *Dumb* (*koophon*). See on <sup><4082></sup>Matthew 9:32.

*By Beelzebub* (*en Beezeboul*). Blasphemous accusation here in Judea as in Galilee (<sup><4182></sup>Mark 3:22; <sup><4124></sup>Matthew 12:24,27). See on Matthew for discussion of the form of this name and the various items in the sin against

the Holy Spirit involved in the charge. It was useless to deny the fact of the miracles. So they were explained as wrought by Satan himself, a most absurd explanation.

◀2116▶ **Luke 11:16** *Tempting him (peirazontes)*. These “others” (*heteroi*) apparently realized the futility of the charge of being in league with Beelzebub. Hence they put up to Jesus the demand for “a sign from heaven” just as had been done in Galilee (◀1128▶ Matthew 12:38). By “sign” (*seemeion*) they meant a great spectacular display of heavenly power such as they expected the Messiah to give and such as the devil suggested to Jesus on the pinnacle of the temple.

*Sought (ezeetoun)*. Imperfect active, kept on seeking.

◀2117▶ **Luke 11:17** *But he (autos de)*. In contrast with them.

*Knowing their thoughts (eidoos autoon ta dianoeemata)*. From *dianoeeo*, to think through or distinguish. This substantive is common in Plato, but occurs nowhere else in the N.T. It means intent, purpose. Jesus knew that they were trying to tempt him.

*And a house divided against a house falleth (kai oikos epi oikon piptei)*. It is not certain that *diameristheisa* (divided) is to be repeated here as in ◀1125▶ Matthew 12:25; ◀1125▶ Mark 3:25. It may mean,

*and house falls upon house*, “one tumbling house knocking down its neighbour, a graphic picture of what happens when a kingdom is divided against itself” (Bruce).

◀2118▶ **Luke 11:18** *Because ye sa (hoti legete)*. Jesus here repeats in indirect discourse (accusative and infinitive) the charge made against him in verse 15. The condition is of the first class, determined as fulfilled.

◀2119▶ **Luke 11:19** *And if I by Beelzebub (ei de egoo en Beezeboul)*. Also a condition of the first class, determined as fulfilled. A Greek condition deals only with the *statement*, not with the actual facts. For sake of argument, Jesus here assumes that he casts out demons by Beelzebub. The conclusion is a *reductio ad absurdum*. The Jewish exorcists practiced incantations against demons (◀4193▶ Acts 19:13).

◀2120▶ **Luke 11:20** *By the finger of God (en daktulooi theou)*. In distinction from the Jewish exorcists. ◀1128▶ Matthew 12:28 has “by the Spirit of God.”

*Then is come* (*ara ephthasen*). *Phthanoo* in late Greek comes to mean simply to come, not to come before. The aorist indicative tense here is timeless. Note *ara* (accordingly) in the conclusion (*apodosis*).

◀1127▶ **Luke 11:21** *Fully armed* (*kathooplismenos*). Perfect passive participle of *kathoplizoo*, an old verb, but here only in the N.T. Note perfective use of *kata* in composition with *hoplizoo*, to arm (from *hopla*, arms). Note indefinite temporal clause (*hotan* and present subjunctive *phulassei*).

*His own court* (*teen heautou auleen*). His own homestead. ▶1127▶ Mark 3:27; ▶1129▶ Matthew 12:29 has “house” (*oikian*). *Aulee* is used in the N.T. in various senses (the court in front of the house, the court around which the house is built, then the house as a whole).

*His goods* (*ta huparchonta autou*). “His belongings.” Neuter plural present active participle of *huparchoo* used as substantive with genitive.

◀1127▶ **Luke 11:22** *But when* (*epan de*). Note *hotan* in verse 21.

*Stronger than he* (*ischuroteros autou*). Comparative of *ischuros* followed by the ablative.

*Come upon him and overcome him* (*epelthoon nikeesei auton*). Second aorist active participle of *eperchomai* and first aorist active subjunctive of *nikaoo*. Aorist tense here because a single onset while in verse 22 the guarding (*phulassei*, present active subjunctive) is continuous.

*His whole armour* (*teen panoplian autou*). An old and common word for all the soldier’s outfit (shield, sword, lance, helmet, greaves, breastplate). Tyndale renders it “his harness.” In the N.T. only here and ▶1131▶ Ephesians 6:11,13 where the items are given.

*Wherein he trusted* (*eph’ heei epepoithei*). Second past perfect active of *peithoo*, to persuade. The second perfect *pepoitha* is intransitive, to trust. Old and common verb. He trusted his weapons which had been so efficacious.

*His spoils* (*ta skula autou*). It is not clear to what this figure refers. Strong as Satan is Jesus is stronger and wins victories over him as he was doing then. In ▶1135▶ Colossians 2:15 Christ is pictured as triumphing openly over the powers of evil by the Cross.

**Luke 11:23** *He that is not with me (ho mee oon met' emou)*. This verse is just like **Matthew 12:30**.

**Luke 11:24** *And finding none (kai mee heuriskon)*. Here **Matthew 12:43** has *kai ouch heuriskei* (present active indicative instead of present active participle). **Luke 11:24-26** is almost verbatim like **Matthew 12:43-45**, which see. Instead of just “taketh” (*paralambanei*) in verse 26, Matthew has “taketh with himself” (*paralambanei meth' heautou*). And Luke omits: “Even so shall it be also unto this evil generation” of **Matthew 12:45**.

*Than the first (toon prootoon)*. Ablative case after the comparative *cheirona*. The seven demons brought back remind one of the seven that afflicted Mary Magdalene (**Luke 8:2**).

**Luke 11:27** *As he said these things (en tooi legein auton)*. Luke's common idiom, *en* with articular infinitive. Verses 27,28 are peculiar to Luke. His Gospel in a special sense is the Gospel of Woman. This woman “speaks well, but womanly” (Bengel). Her beatitude (*makaria*) reminds us of Elisabeth's words (**Luke 1:42**, *eulogeemenee*). She is fulfilling Mary's own prophecy in 1:48 (*makariosin me*, shall call me happy).

**Luke 11:28** *But he said (autos de eipen)*. Jesus in contrast turns attention to others and gives them a beatitude (*makarioi*).

“The originality of Christ's reply guarantees its historical character. Such a comment is beyond the reach of an inventor” (Plummer).

**Luke 11:29** *Were gathering together unto him (epathroizomenoon)*. Genitive absolute present middle participle of *epathroizoo*, a rare verb, Plutarch and here only in the N.T., from *epi* and *athroizoo* (a common enough verb). It means to throng together (*athroos*, in throngs). Vivid picture of the crowds around Jesus.

*But the sign of Jonah (ei mee to seemeion Ioonf)*. Luke does not give here the burial and resurrection of Jesus of which Jonah's experience in the big fish was a type (**Matthew 12:39ff.**), but that is really implied (Plummer argues) by the use here of “shall be given” (*dotheesetai*) and “shall be” (*estai*), for the resurrection of Jesus is still future. The preaching of Jesus ought to have been sign enough as in the case of Jonah, but the

resurrection will be given. Luke's report is much briefer and omits what is in <sup><2124></sup>Matthew 12:41.

<sup><213></sup>**Luke 11:31** *With the men of this generation (meta toon androon tees genefs tautes)*. Here <sup><212></sup>Matthew 12:42 has simply "with this generation," which see.

<sup><213></sup>**Luke 11:32** *At the preaching of Jonah (eis to keerugma Ioon)*. Note this use of *eis* as in <sup><204></sup>Matthew 10:41; 12:41. Luke inserts the words about the Queen of the South (31) in between the discussion of Jonah (verses 29f., 32). Both *Solomoonos* (31) and *Ioonf* (verse 32) are in the ablative case after the comparative *pleion* (more,

*something more*).

<sup><213></sup>**Luke 11:33** *In a cellar (eis krupteen)*. A crypt (same word) or hidden place from *kruptoo*, to hide. Late and rare word and here only in the N.T. These other words (lamp, *luchnon*, bushel, *modion*, stand, *luchnian*) have all been discussed previously (<sup><215></sup>Matthew 5:15). <sup><213></sup>Luke 11:33 is like <sup><212></sup>Matthew 6:22f., which see for details.

<sup><213></sup>**Luke 11:35** *Whether not (mee)*. This use of *mee* in an indirect question is good Greek (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1045). It is a pitiful situation if the very light is darkness. This happens when the eye of the soul is too diseased to see the light of Christ.

<sup><213></sup>**Luke 11:36** *With its bright shining (teei astrapeei)*. Instrumental case, as if by a flash of lightning the light is revealed in him. See on <sup><218></sup>Luke 10:18.

<sup><213></sup>**Luke 11:37** *Now as he spake (en de tooi laleesai)*. Luke's common idiom, *en* with the articular infinitive (aorist active infinitive) but it does not mean "after he had spoken" as Plummer argues, but simply "in the speaking," no time in the aorist infinitive. See <sup><212></sup>Luke 3:21 for similar use of aorist infinitive with *en*.

*Asketh (erootfi)*. Present active indicative, dramatic present. Request, not question.

*To dine (hopoos aristeeseei)*. Note *hopoos* rather than the common *hina*. Aorist active subjunctive rather than present, for a single meal. The verb is from *ariston* (breakfast). See distinction between *ariston* and *deipnon*

(dinner or supper) in <sup><214D></sup>Luke 14:12. It is the morning meal (breakfast or lunch) after the return from morning prayers in the synagogue (<sup><412B></sup>Matthew 22:4), not the very early meal called *akratisma*. The verb is, however, used for the early meal on the seashore in <sup><212D></sup>John 21:12,15.

*With him (par' autooi)*. By his side.

*Sat down to meat (anepesen)*. Second aorist active indicative of *anapiptoo*, old verb, to recline, to fall back on the sofa or lounge. No word here for “to meat.”

<sup><213B></sup>**Luke 11:38** *That he had not first washed before dinner (hoti ou prooton ebaptishee pro tou aristou)*. The verb is first aorist passive indicative of *baptizoo*, to dip or to immerse. Here it is applied to the hands. It was the Jewish custom to dip the hands in water before eating and often between courses for ceremonial purification. In Galilee the Pharisees and scribes had sharply criticized the disciples for eating with unwashed hands (<sup><417B></sup>Mark 7:1-23; <sup><415B></sup>Matthew 15:1-20) when Jesus had defended their liberty and had opposed making a necessity of such a custom (tradition) in opposition to the command of God. Apparently Jesus on this occasion had himself reclined at the breakfast (not dinner) without this ceremonial dipping of the hands in water. The Greek has “first before” (*prooton pro*), a tautology not preserved in the translation.

<sup><213B></sup>**Luke 11:39** *The Lord (ho kurios)*. The Lord Jesus plainly and in the narrative portion of Luke.

*Now (nun)*. Probably refers to him. You Pharisees do now what was formerly done.

*The platter (tou pinakos)*. The dish. Old word, rendered “the charger” in <sup><418B></sup>Matthew 14:8. Another word for “platter” (*paropsis*) in <sup><413B></sup>Matthew 23:25 means “side-dish.”

*But your inward part (to de esoothen humoon)*. The part within you (Pharisees). They keep the external regulations, but their hearts are full of plunder (*harpages*, from *harpazoo*, to seize) and wickedness (*poneerias*, from *poneeros*, evil man). See <sup><413B></sup>Matthew 23:25 for a like indictment of the Pharisees for care for the outside of the cup but neglect of what is on the inside. Both inside and outside should be clean, but the inside first.



<sup><214></sup>**Luke 11:40** *Howbeit (pleen)*. See <sup><4024></sup>Luke 6:24. Instead of devoting so much attention to the outside.

*Those things which are within (ta enonta)*. Articular neuter plural participle from *eneimi*, to be in, common verb. This precise phrase only here in the N.T. though in the papyri, and it is not clear what it means. Probably, give as alms the things within the dishes, that is have inward righteousness with a brotherly spirit and the outward becomes “clean” (*kathara*). Properly understood, this is not irony and is not Ebionism, but good Christianity (Plummer).

<sup><212></sup>**Luke 11:42** *Tithe (apodekatoute)*. Late verb for the more common *dekateuoo*. So in <sup><4223></sup>Matthew 23:23. Take a tenth off (*apo-*). Rue (*peeganon*). Botanical term in late writers from *peegnumi*, to make fast because of its thick leaves. Here <sup><4223></sup>Matthew 23:23 has “anise.”

*Every herb (pfn lachanon)*. General term as in <sup><4062></sup>Mark 4:32. Matthew has “cummin.”

*Pass by (parerchesthe)*. Present middle indicative of *parerchomai*, common verb, to go by or beside. <sup><4223></sup>Matthew 23:23 has “ye have left undone” (*apheekate*). Luke here has “love” (*agapeen*), not in Matthew.

*Ought (edei)*. As in Matthew. Imperfect of a present obligation, not lived up to just like our “ought” (*owed*, not paid). *Pareinai*, as in Matthew, the second aorist active infinitive of *aphieemi*. to leave off. Common verb. Luke does not have the remark about straining out the gnat and swallowing the camel (<sup><4234></sup>Matthew 23:34). It is plain that the terrible exposure of the scribes and Pharisees in Matthew 23 in the temple was simply the culmination of previous conflicts such as this one.

<sup><213></sup>**Luke 11:43** *The chief seats in the synagogues (teen prootokathedrian en tais sunagogais)*. Singular here, plural in <sup><4236></sup>Matthew 23:6. This semi-circular bench faced the congregation. <sup><4236></sup>Matthew 23:6 has also the chief place at feasts given by Luke also in that discourse (<sup><2046></sup>Luke 20:46) as well as in <sup><2147></sup>Luke 14:7, a marked characteristic of the Pharisees.

<sup><2144></sup>**Luke 11:44** *The tombs which appear not (ta mneeneia ta adeela)*. These hidden graves would give ceremonial defilement for seven days (<sup><4096></sup>Numbers 19:16). Hence they were usually whitewashed as a warning.

So in <sup><127></sup>Matthew 23:27 the Pharisees are called “whited sepulchres.” Men do not know how rotten they are. The word *adeelos* (*a* privative and *deelos*, apparent or plain) occurs in the N.T. only here and <sup><148></sup>1 Corinthians 14:8, though an old and common word.

*Here men walking around* (*peripatountes*) walk over the tombs without knowing it. These three woes cut to the quick and evidently made the Pharisees wince.

<sup><215></sup>**Luke 11:45** *Thou reproachest us also* (*kai heemfs hubrizeis*).

Because the lawyers (scribes) were usually Pharisees. The verb *hubrizoo* is an old one and common for outrageous treatment, a positive insult (so <sup><282></sup>Luke 18:32; <sup><216></sup>Matthew 22:6; Acts 14:5; <sup><312></sup>1 Thessalonians 2:2). So Jesus proceeds to give the lawyers three woes as he had done to the Pharisees.

<sup><216></sup>**Luke 11:46** *Grievous to be borne* (*dusbastakta*). A late word in LXX and Plutarch (*dus* and *bastazoo*). Here alone in text of Westcott and Hort who reject it in <sup><1204></sup>Matthew 23:4 where we have “heavy burdens” (*phortia barea*). In <sup><812></sup>Galatians 6:2 we have *baree* with a distinction drawn. Here we have *phortizete* (here only in the N.T. and <sup><113></sup>Matthew 11:28) for “lade,” *phortia* as cognate accusative and then *phortiois* (dative after *ou prospsauete*, touch not). It is a fierce indictment of scribes (lawyers) for their pettifogging interpretations of the written law in their oral teaching (later written down as *Mishna* and then as *Gemarah*), a terrible load which these lawyers did not pretend to carry themselves, not even “with one of their fingers” to “touch” (*prospsauoo*, old verb but only here in the N.T.), touch with the view to remove. <sup><1204></sup>Matthew 23:4 has *kineesai*, to move. A physician would understand the meaning of *prospsauoo* for feeling gently a sore spot or the pulse.

<sup><218></sup>**Luke 11:48** *Consent* (*suneudokeite*). Double compound (*sun*, *eu*, *dokeo*), to think well along with others, to give full approval. A late verb, several times in the N.T., in <sup><480></sup>Acts 8:1 of Saul’s consenting to and agreeing to Stephen’s death. It is a somewhat subtle, but just, argument made here. Outwardly the lawyers build tombs for the prophets whom their fathers (forefathers) killed as if they disapproved what their fathers did. But in reality they neglect and oppose what the prophets teach just as their fathers did. So they are “witnesses” (*martures*) against themselves (<sup><1231></sup>Matthew 23:31).

◀214▶ **Luke 11:49** *The wisdom of God* (*hee sophia tou theou*). In  
 ▶123▶ Matthew 23:34 Jesus uses “I send” (*egoo apostelloo*) without this  
 phrase “the wisdom of God.” There is no book to which it can refer. Jesus  
 is the wisdom of God as Paul shows (◀403▶ 1 Corinthians 1:30), but it is  
 hardly likely that he so describes himself here. Probably he means that God  
 in his wisdom said, but even so “Jesus here speaks with confident  
 knowledge of the Divine counsels” (Plummer). See ▶202▶ Luke 10:22;  
 15:7,10. Here the future tense occurs, “I will send” (*aposteloo*).

*Some of them* (*ex autoon*). No “some” (*tinai*) in the Greek, but  
 understood. They will act as their fathers did. They will kill and persecute.

◀215▶ **Luke 11:50** *That ... may be required* (*hina ... ekzeeteethei*). Divinely  
 ordered sequence, first aorist passive subjunctive of *ekzeeteoo*, a late and  
 rare verb outside of LXX and N.T., requiring as a debt the blood of the  
 prophets.

*Which was shed* (*to ekkechumenon*). Perfect passive participle of *ekcheoo*  
 and *ekchunnoo* (an Aeolic form appearing in the margin of Westcott and  
 Hort here, *ekchunnomenon*, present passive participle). If the present  
 passive is accepted, it means the blood which is perpetually shed from time  
 to time.

*From the foundation of the world* (*apo katabolees kosmou*). See also  
 ▶123▶ Matthew 25:34; ▶172▶ John 17:24; ▶004▶ Ephesians 1:4, etc. It is a bold  
 metaphor for the purpose of God.

◀215▶ **Luke 11:51** *From the blood of Abel to the blood of Zachariah* (*apo  
 haimatos Abel heos haimatos Zachariou*). The blood of Abel is the first  
 shed in the Old Testament (◀004▶ Genesis 4:10), that of Zacharias the last in  
 the O.T. canon which ended with Chronicles (◀402▶ 2 Chronicles 24:22).  
 Chronologically the murder of Uriah by Jehoiakim was later (◀203▶ Jeremiah  
 26:23), but this climax is from Genesis to II Chronicles (the last book in  
 the canon). See on ▶123▶ Matthew 23:35 for discussion of Zachariah as “the  
 son of Barachiah” rather than “the son of Jehoiada.”

*Between the altar and the sanctuary* (*metaxu tou thusiasteeriu kai tou  
 oikou*). Literally, between the altar and the house (◀123▶ Matthew 23:35 has  
 temple, *naou*).

**◀215▶ Luke 11:52** *Ye took away the key of knowledge* (*eerate teen kleida tees gnooseoos*). First aorist active indicative of *airoo*, common verb. But this is a flat charge of obscurantism on the part of these scribes (lawyers), the teachers (rabbis) of the people. They themselves (*autoi*) refused to go into the house of knowledge (beautiful figure) and learn. They then locked the door and hid the key to the house of knowledge and hindered (*ekoolusate*, effective aorist active) those who were trying to enter (*tous eiserchomenous*, present participle, conative action). It is the most pitiful picture imaginable of blind ecclesiastics trying to keep others as blind as they were, blind leaders of the blind, both falling into the pit.

**◀215▶ Luke 11:53** *From thence* (*k'akeithen*). Out of the Pharisee's house. What became of the breakfast we are not told, but the rage of both Pharisees and lawyers knew no bounds.

*To press upon him* (*enechein*). An old Greek verb to hold in, to be enraged at, to have it in for one. It is the same verb used of the relentless hatred of Herodias for John the Baptist (◀4169▶ Mark 6:19).

*To provoke him to speak* (*apostomatizein*). From *apo* and *stoma* (mouth). Plato uses it of repeating to a pupil for him to recite from memory, then to recite by heart (Plutarch). Here (alone in the N.T.) the verb means to ply with questions, to entice to answers, to catechize.

*Of many things* (*peri pleionoon*). "Concerning more (comparative) things." They were stung to the quick by these woes which laid bare their hollow hypocrisy.

**◀215▶ Luke 11:54** *Laying wait for him* (*enedreuontes auton*). An old verb from *en* and *hedra*, a seat, so to lie in ambush for one. Here only and **◀422▶ Acts 23:21** in the N.T. Vivid picture of the anger of these rabbis who were treating Jesus as if he were a beast of prey.

*To catch something out of his mouth* (*theereusai to ek tou stomatos autou*). An old Greek verb, though here only in the N.T., from *theera* (cf. **◀5119▶ Romans 11:9**), to ensnare, to catch in hunting, to hunt. These graphic words from the chase show the rage of the rabbis toward Jesus. Luke gives more details here than in **◀276▶ Luke 20:45-47**; **◀4231▶ Matthew 23:1-7**, but there is no reason at all why Jesus should not have had this conflict at the Pharisee's breakfast before that in the temple in the great Tuesday debate.

## CHAPTER 12

**◀211▶ Luke 12:1** *In the meantime (en hois)*. It is a classic idiom to start a sentence or even a paragraph as here with a relative, “in which things or circumstances,” without any expressed antecedent other than the incidents in **◀215▶ Luke 11:53f**. In **◀211▶ Luke 12:3** Luke actually begins the sentence with two relatives *anth’ hoon hosa* (wherefore whatsoever).

*Many thousands (muriadoon)*. Genitive absolute with *episunachtheisoon* (first aorist passive participle feminine plural because of *muriadoon*), a double compound late verb, *episunagoo*, to gather together unto. The word “myriads” is probably hyperbolic as in **◀421▶ Acts 21:20**, but in the sense of ten thousand, as in **◀496▶ Acts 19:19**, it means a very large crowd apparently drawn together by the violent attacks of the rabbis against Jesus.

*Insomuch that they trode one upon another (hooste katapatein alleelous)*. The imagination must complete the picture of this jam.

*Unto his disciples first of all (pros tous matheetas autou prooton)*. This long discourse in Luke 12 is really a series of separate talks to various groups in the vast crowds around Jesus. This particular talk goes through verse 12.

*Beware of (prosechete heautois apo)*. Put your mind (*noun* understood) for yourselves (dative) and avoid (*apo* with the ablative).

*The leaven of the Pharisees which is hypocrisy (tees zumees heetis estin hypocrisis toon Pharisaioon)*. In **◀408▶ Mark 8:15** Jesus had coupled the lesson of the Pharisees with that of Herod, in **◀406▶ Matthew 16:6** with that of the Sadducees also. He had long ago called the Pharisees hypocrites (**◀418▶ Matthew 6:2,5,16**). The occasion was ripe here for this crisp saying. In **◀403▶ Matthew 13:33** leaven does not have an evil sense as here, which see. See **◀423▶ Matthew 23:13** for hypocrites. Hypocrisy was the leading Pharisaiic vice (Bruce) and was a mark of sanctity to hide an evil heart.

**◀211▶ Luke 12:2** *Covered up (sugkekalummenon estin)*. Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of *sugkaluptoo*, an old verb, but here only in the N.T., to cover up on all sides and so completely. Verses 2-9 here are parallel with **◀406▶ Matthew 10:26-33** spoken to the Twelve on their tour of Galilee,

illustrating again how often Jesus repeated his sayings unless we prefer to say that he never did so and that the Gospels have hopelessly jumbled them as to time and place. See the passage in Matthew for discussion of details.

◀217▶ **Luke 12:3** *In the inner chambers* (*en tois tameiouis*). Old form *tamieion*, a store chamber (◀212▶ Luke 12:24), secret room (◀186▶ Matthew 6:6; ▶217▶ Luke 12:3).

◀217▶ **Luke 12:4** *Unto you my friends* (*humin tois philois*). As opposed to the Pharisees and lawyers in ▶214▶ Luke 11:43,46,53.

*Be not afraid of* (*mee phobeetheete apo*). First aorist passive subjunctive with *mee*, ingressive aorist, do not become afraid of, with *apo* and the ablative like the Hebrew *min* and the English “be afraid of,” a translation Hebraism as in ▶108▶ Matthew 10:28 (Moulton, *Prolegomena*, p. 102).

*Have no more that they can do* (*mee echontoon perissoteron ti poiesai*). Luke often uses the infinitive thus with *echoo*, a classic idiom (◀174▶ Luke 7:40,42; 12:4,50; ▶214▶ Luke 14:14; ▶144▶ Acts 4:14, etc.).

◀217▶ **Luke 12:5** *Whom ye shall fear* (*tina phobeetheete*). First aorist passive subjunctive deliberative retained in the indirect question. *Tina* is the accusative, the direct object of this transitive passive verb (note *apo* in verse 4).

*Fear him who* (*phobeetheete ton*). First aorist passive imperative, differing from the preceding form only in the accent and governing the accusative also.

*After he hath killed* (*meta to apokteinai*). Preposition *meta* with the articular infinitive. Literally, “After the killing” (first aorist active infinitive of the common verb *apokteinoo*, to kill).

*Into hell* (*eis teen geennan*). See on ▶162▶ Matthew 5:22. Gehenna is a transliteration of *Ge-Hinnom*, Valley of Hinnon where the children were thrown on to the red-hot arms of Molech. Josiah (◀230▶ 2 Kings 23:10) abolished these abominations and then it was a place for all kinds of refuse which burned ceaselessly and became a symbol of punishment in the other world.

*This one fear* (*touton phobeetheete*). As above.

<2176> **Luke 12:6** *Is forgotten (estin epileelesenon)*. Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of *epilanthanomai*, common verb to forget. See <1009> Matthew 10:29 for a different construction.

<2177> **Luke 12:7** *Numbered (eerithmeentai)*. Perfect passive indicative. Periphrastic form in <1009> Matthew 10:30 which see for details about sparrows, etc.

<2178> **Luke 12:8** *Everyone who shall confess me (pas hos an homologeesei en emoi)*. Just like <1009> Matthew 10:32 except the use of *an* here which adds nothing. The Hebraistic use of *en* after *homologeoo* both here and in Matthew is admitted by even Moulton (*Prolegomena*, p. 104).

*The Son of man (ho huios tou anthroopou)*. Here <1009> Matthew 10:32 has *k'agoo* (I also) as the equivalent.

<2179> **Luke 12:9** *Shall be denied (aparneetheesetai)*. First future passive of the compound verb *aparneomai*. Here <1009> Matthew 10:33 has *arneesomai* simply. Instead of “in the presence of the angels of God” (*emprosthen toon aggeloon tou theou*) <1009> Matthew 10:33 has “before my Father who is in heaven.”

<2120> **Luke 12:10** *But unto him that blasphemeth against the Holy Spirit (tooi de eis to hagian pneuma blasphemeesanti)*. This unpardonable sin is given by <1009> Mark 3:28f.; <1029> Matthew 12:31f. immediately after the charge that Jesus was in league with Beelzebub. Luke here separates it from the same charge made in Judea (<2115> Luke 11:15-20). As frequently said, there is no sound reason for saying that Jesus only spoke his memorable sayings once. Luke apparently finds a different environment here. Note the use of *eis* here in the sense of “against.”

<2121> **Luke 12:11** *Be not anxious (mee merimneseete)*. First aorist active subjunctive with *mee* in prohibition. Do not become anxious. See a similar command to the Twelve on their Galilean tour (<1009> Matthew 10:19f.) and in the great discourse on the Mount of Olives at the end (<1131> Mark 13:11; <2114> Luke 21:14f.), given twice by Luke as we see.

*How or what ye shall answer (poos ee ti apologeseesthe)*. Indirect question and retaining the deliberative subjunctive *apologeseesthe* and also *eipeete* (say).



<212> **Luke 12:12** *What ye ought to say (hf dei eipein)*. Literally, what things it is necessary (*dei*) to say. This is no excuse for neglect in pulpit preparation. It is simply a word for courage in a crisis to play the man for Christ and to trust the issue with God without fear.

<213> **Luke 12:13** *Bid my brother (eipe tooi adelphooi mou)*. This volunteer from the crowd draws attention to the multitude (verses 13-21). He does not ask for arbitration and there is no evidence that his brother was willing for that. He wants a decision by Jesus against his brother. The law (<15217> Deuteronomy 21:17) was two-thirds to the elder, one-third to the younger.

<214> **Luke 12:14** *A judge or a divider (kriteen ee meristeen)*. Jesus repudiates the position of judge or arbiter in this family fuss. The language reminds one of <11214> Exodus 2:14. Jesus is rendering unto Caesar the things of Caesar (<215> Luke 20:25) and shows that his kingdom is not of this world (<4835> John 18:36). The word for divider or arbiter (*meristees*) is a late word from *merizomai* (verse 13) and occurs here only in the N.T.

<215> **Luke 12:15** *From all covetousness (apo pasees pleonexias)*. Ablative case. From every kind of greedy desire for more (*pleon*, more, *hexia*, from *echoo*, to have) an old word which we have robbed of its sinful aspects and refined to mean business thrift.

*In the abundance of the things which he possesseth (en tooi perisseuein tini ek toon huparchontoon autooi)*. A rather awkward Lukan idiom: “In the abounding (articular infinitive) to one out of the things belonging (articular participle) to him.”

<216> **Luke 12:16** *A parable unto them (paraboleen pros autous)*. The multitude of verses 13,15. A short and pungent parable suggested by the covetousness of the man of verse 13.

*Brought forth plentifully (euphoreesen)*. Late word from *euphoros* (bearing well), in medical writers and Josephus, here only in the N.T.

<217> **Luke 12:17** *Reasoned within himself (dielogizeto en hautooi)*. Imperfect middle, picturing his continued cogitations over his perplexity.

*Where to bestow (pou sunaxoo)*. Future indicative deliberative, where I shall gather together.



*My fruits (tous karpous mou)*. So it is with the rich fool: my fruits, my barns, my corn, my goods, just like Nabal whose very name means fool (<sup><4951></sup>1 Samuel 25:11), whether a direct reference to him or not.

<sup><6218></sup>**Luke 12:18** *I will pull down (katheloo)*. Future active of *kathaireoo*, an old verb, the usual future being *kathaireesoo*. This second form from the second aorist *katheilon* (from obsolete *heloo*) like *aphelei* in <sup><6219></sup>Revelation 22:19.

*My barns (mou tas apothekas)*. From *apotitheemi*, to lay by, to treasure. So a granary or storehouse, an old word, six times in the N.T. (<sup><4182></sup>Matthew 3:12; 6:26; 13:30; <sup><4187></sup>Luke 3:17; 12:18,24).

*All my corn (panta ton siton)*. Better grain (wheat, barley), not maize or Indian corn.

*My goods (ta agatha mou)*. Like the English, my good things. So the English speak of goods (freight) train.

<sup><6219></sup>**Luke 12:19** *Laid up for many years (keimena eis etee polla)*. Not in D and some other Latin MSS. The man's apostrophe to his "soul" (*psuchee*) is thoroughly Epicurean, for his soul feeds on his goods. The asyndeton here (take thine ease, eat, drink, be merry) shows his eagerness. Note difference in tenses (*anapauou*, keep on resting, *phage*, eat at once, *pie*, drink thy fill, *euphrainou*, keep on being merry), first and last presents, the other two aorists.

<sup><6220></sup>**Luke 12:20** *Thou foolish one (aphroon)*. Fool, for lack of sense (*a* privative and *phreen*, sense) as in <sup><2140></sup>Luke 11:40; <sup><4719></sup>2 Corinthians 11:19. Old word, used by Socrates in Xenophon. Nominative form as vocative.

*Is thy soul required of thee (teen psucheen sou aitousin apo sou)*. Plural active present, not passive: "They are demanding thy soul from thee." The impersonal plural (*aitousin*) is common enough (<sup><4168></sup>Luke 6:38; 12:11; <sup><2143></sup>Luke 16:9; 23:31). The rabbis used "they" to avoid saying "God."

<sup><6221></sup>**Luke 12:21** *Not rich toward God (mee eis theon ploutoon)*. The only wealth that matters and that lasts. <sup><2143></sup>Luke 16:9; <sup><4169></sup>Matthew 6:19f. Some MSS. do not have this verse. Westcott and Hort bracket it.

<sup><6222></sup>**Luke 12:22** *Unto his disciples (pros tous matheetas autou)*. So Jesus turns from the crowd to the disciples (verses 22-40, when Peter interrupts

the discourse). From here to the end of the chapter Luke gives material that appears in Matthew, but not in one connection as here. In Matthew part of it is in the charge to the Twelve on their tour in Galilee, part in the eschatological discourse on the Mount of Olives. None of it is in Mark. Hence Q or the Logia seems to be the source of it. The question recurs again whether Jesus repeated on other occasions what is given here or whether Luke has here put together separate discourses as Matthew is held by many to have done in the Sermon on the Mount. We have no way of deciding these points. We can only say again that Jesus would naturally repeat his favourite sayings like other popular preachers and teachers. So <sup><0122></sup>Luke 12:22-31 corresponds to <sup><4165></sup>Matthew 6:25-33, which see for detailed discussion. The parable of the rich fool was spoken to the crowd, but this exhortation to freedom from care (22-31) is to the disciples. So the language in <sup><0122></sup>Luke 12:22 is precisely that in <sup><4165></sup>Matthew 6:25. See there for *mee merimnfte* (stop being anxious) and the deliberative subjunctive retained in the indirect question (*phageete, enduseesthe*). So verse 23 here is the same in <sup><4165></sup>Matthew 6:25 except that there it is a question with *ouch* expecting the affirmative answer, whereas here it is given as a reason (*gar*, for) for the preceding command.

<sup><0124></sup>**Luke 12:24** *The ravens (tous korakas)*. Nowhere else in the N.T. The name includes the whole crow group of birds (rooks and jackdaws). Like the vultures they are scavengers. <sup><4166></sup>Matthew 6:26 has simply “the birds” (*ta peteina*).

*Storechamber* (tameion). Not in <sup><4166></sup>Matthew 6:26. Means secret chamber in <sup><0113></sup>Luke 12:3.

*Of how much more (posooi mflon)*. <sup><4166></sup>Matthew 6:26 has question, *ouch mflon*.

<sup><0125></sup>**Luke 12:25** *A cubit (peechn)*. <sup><4167></sup>Matthew 6:27 has *peechn hena* (one cubit, though *hena* is sometimes merely the indefinite article).

*Stature (heelikian)* as in Matthew, which see.

<sup><0126></sup>**Luke 12:26** *Not able to do even that which is least (oude elachiston dunasthe)*. Negative *oude* in the condition of the first class. Elative superlative, very small. This verse not in Matthew and omitted in D. Verse 27 as in <sup><4168></sup>Matthew 6:28, save that the verbs for toil and spin are plural in Matthew and singular here (neuter plural subject, *ta krina*).

~~Q128~~ **Luke 12:28** *Clothe* (*amphiazei*). Late Greek verb in the *Koin*, (papyri) for the older form *amphiennumi* (~~4163~~ Matthew 6:30). See Matthew for discussion of details. Matthew has “the grass of the field” instead of “the grass in the field” as here.

~~Q129~~ **Luke 12:29** *Seek not ye* (*humeis mee zeeteite*). Note emphatic position of “ye” (*humeis*). Stop seeking (*mee* and present imperative active). ~~4163~~ Matthew 6:31 has: “Do not become anxious” (*mee merimneeseite*), *mee* and ingressive subjunctive occur as direct questions (What are we to eat? What are we to drink? What are we to put on?) whereas here they are in the indirect form as in verse 22 save that the problem of clothing is not here mentioned:

*Neither be ye of doubtful mind* (*kai mee meteorizesthe*). *Mee* and present passive imperative (stop being anxious) of *meteorizoo*. An old verb from *meteoros* in midair, high (our meteor), to lift up on high, then to lift oneself up with hopes (false sometimes), to be buoyed up, to be tossed like a ship at sea, to be anxious, to be in doubt as in late writers (Polybius, Josephus). This last meaning is probably true here. In the LXX and Philo, but here only in the N.T.

~~Q131~~ **Luke 12:31** See ~~4163~~ Matthew 6:33 for this verse. Luke does not have “first” nor “his righteousness” nor “all.”

~~Q132~~ **Luke 12:32** *Little flock* (*to mikron poimnion*). Vocative with the article as used in Hebrew and often in the *Koin*, and so in the N.T. See both *pater* and *ho pateer* in the vocative in ~~Q121~~ Luke 10:21. See Robertson, *Grammar*, pp. 465f. *Poimnion* (flock) is a contraction from *poimenion* from *poimeen* (shepherd) instead of the usual *poimnee* (flock). So it is not a diminutive and *mikron* is not superfluous, though it is pathetic.

*For it is your Father’s good pleasure* (*hoti eudokeesen ho pateer humoon*). First aorist active indicative of *eudokeoo*. Timeless aorist as in ~~Q132~~ Luke 3:22. This verse has no parallel in Matthew.

~~Q133~~ **Luke 12:33** *Sell that ye have* (*Pooleesate ta huparchonta humoon*). Not in Matthew. Did Jesus mean this literally and always? Luke has been charged with Ebionism, but Jesus does not condemn property as inherently sinful. “The attempt to keep the letter of the rule here given (~~4124~~ Acts 2:44,45) had disastrous effects on the church of Jerusalem, which speedily

became a church of paupers, constantly in need of alms (<sup><6125></sup>Romans 15:25,26; <sup><6163></sup>1 Corinthians 16:3; <sup><6104></sup>2 Corinthians 8:4; 9:1)” (Plummer).

*Purses which wax not old* (*ballantia mee palaioumena*). So already *ballantion* in <sup><6104></sup>Luke 10:4. Late verb *palaiōō* from *palaios*, old, to make old, declare old as in <sup><6183></sup>Hebrews 8:13, is passive to become old as here and <sup><6011></sup>Hebrews 1:11.

*That faileth not* (*anekleipton*). Verbal from *a* privative and *ekleipōō*, to fail. Late word in Diodorus and Plutarch. Only here in the N.T. or LXX, but in papyri.

“I prefer to believe that even Luke sees in the words not a mechanical rule, but a law for the spirit” (Bruce).

*Draweth near* (*eggizei*). Instead of <sup><6169></sup>Matthew 6:19 “dig through and steal.”

*Destroyeth* (*diaphtheirei*). Instead of “doth consume” in <sup><6169></sup>Matthew 6:19.

<sup><6124></sup>**Luke 12:34** *Will be* (*estai*). Last word in the sentence in Luke. Otherwise like <sup><6161></sup>Matthew 6:21. See <sup><6173></sup>1 Corinthians 7:32-34 for similar principle.

<sup><6125></sup>**Luke 12:35** *Be girded about* (*estoosan periezoosmenai*). Periphrastic perfect passive imperative third plural of the verb *perizoonnumi* or *perizoonnuōō* (later form), an old verb, to gird around, to fasten the garments with a girdle. The long garments of the orientals made speed difficult. It was important to use the girdle before starting. Cf. 17:8; <sup><6128></sup>Acts 12:8.

*Burning* (*kaiomenoi*). Periphrastic present middle imperative, already burning and continuously burning. The same point of the Parable of the Ten Virgins (<sup><6120></sup>Matthew 25:1-13) is found here in condensed form. This verse introduces the parable of the waiting servants (<sup><6125></sup>Luke 12:35-40).

<sup><6126></sup>**Luke 12:36** *When he shall return from the marriage feast* (*pote analuseei ek toon gamoon*). The interrogative conjunction *pote* and the deliberative aorist subjunctive retained in the indirect question. The verb *analuoōō*, very common Greek verb, but only twice in the N.T. (here and <sup><6123></sup>Philippians 1:23). The figure is breaking up a camp or loosening the mooring of a ship, to depart. Perhaps here the figure is from the standpoint

of the wedding feast (plural as used of a single wedding feast in <sup><148></sup>Luke 14:8), departing from there. See on <sup><121></sup>Matthew 22:2.

*When he cometh and knocketh* (*elthontos kai krousantos*). Genitive absolute of the aorist active participle without *autou* and in spite of *autoi* (dative) being used after *anoixoosin* (first aorist active subjunctive of *anoigoo*).

<sup><127></sup>**Luke 12:37** *He shall gird himself* (*perizoosetai*). Direct future middle. Jesus did this (<sup><130></sup>John 13:4), not out of gratitude, but to give the apostles an object lesson in humility. See the usual course in <sup><177></sup>Luke 17:7-10 with also the direct middle (verse 8) of *perisoonnuoo*.

<sup><128></sup>**Luke 12:38** *And if* (*k'an = kai + ean*). Repeated. *Eltheei* and *heureei*, both second aorist subjunctive with *ean*, condition of the third class, undetermined, but with prospect of being determined.

*Blessed* (*makarioi*). Beatitude here as in verse 37.

<sup><129></sup>**Luke 12:39** *The thief* (*ho kleptees*). The change here almost makes a new parable to illustrate the other, the parable of the housebreaking (verses 39,40) to illustrate the parable of the waiting servants (35-38). This same language appears in <sup><143></sup>Matthew 24:43f.

“The Master returning from a wedding is replaced by a thief whose study it is to come to the house he means to plunder at an unexpected time” (Bruce).

The parallel in <sup><143></sup>Matthew 24:43-51 with <sup><129></sup>Luke 12:39-46 does not have the interruption by Peter.

*He would have watched* (*egreegoreesen an*). Apodosis of second-class condition, determined as unfulfilled, made plain by use of *an* with aorist indicative which is not repeated with *ouk apheeken* (first aorist active indicative of *aphieemi*, *k* aorist), though it is sometimes repeated (<sup><143></sup>Matthew 24:43).

<sup><124></sup>**Luke 12:40** *Be ye* (*ginesthe*). Present middle imperative, keep on becoming.

*Cometh* (*erchetai*). Futuristic present indicative. See <sup><143></sup>Matthew 24:43-51 for details in the comparison with Luke.

◀2124▶ **Luke 12:41** *Peter said (Eipen de ho Petros)*. This whole paragraph from verse 22-40 had been addressed directly to the disciples. Hence it is not surprising to find Peter putting in a question. This incident confirms also the impression that Luke is giving actual historical data in the environment of these discourses. He is certain that the Twelve are meant, but he desires to know if others are included, for he had spoken to the multitude in verses 13-21. Recall ◀4137▶ Mark 13:37. This interruption is somewhat like that on the Mount of Transfiguration (◀4133▶ Luke 9:33) and is characteristic of Peter. Was it the magnificent promise in verse 37 that stirred Peter's impulsiveness? It is certainly more than a literary device of Luke. Peter's question draws out a parabolic reply by Jesus (42-48).

◀2122▶ **Luke 12:42** *Who then (tis ara)*. Jesus introduces this parable of the wise steward (42-48) by a rhetorical question that answers itself. Peter is this wise steward, each of the Twelve is, anyone is who acts thus.

*The faithful and wise steward (ho pistos oikonomos ho phronimos)*. The faithful steward, the wise one. A steward is house manager (*oikos, nemoo*, to manage). Each man is a steward in his own responsibilities.

*Household (therapeias)*. Literally, service from *therapeuo*. medical service as in ◀4191▶ Luke 9:11, by metonymy household (a body of those domestics who serve).

*Their portion of food (to sitometrion)*. Late word from *sitometreoo* (◀4472▶ Genesis 47:12) for the Attic *ton siton metreoo*, to measure the food, the rations. Here only in the N.T. or anywhere else till Deissmann (*Bible Studies*, p. 158) found it in an Egyptian papyrus and then an inscription in Lycia (*Light from the Ancient East*, p. 104).

◀2124▶ **Luke 12:44** *Over all (epi pfsin)*. See Matthew 24-47 for *epi* with locative in this sense. Usually with genitive as in verse 42 and sometimes with accusative as in verse 14.

◀2125▶ **Luke 12:45** *Shall say (eipeei)*. Second aorist subjunctive, with *ean*, condition of the third class, undetermined, but with prospect of being determined.

*Delayeth (chronizei)*. From *chronos*, time, spends time, lingers.

*Shall begin (arxeetai)*. First aorist middle subjunctive with *ean* and the same condition as *eipeei*, above.

*The menservants (tous paidas)*

and the maidservants (*kai tas paidiskas*). *Paidiskee* is a diminutive of *pais* for a young female slave and occurs in the papyri, originally just a damsel. Here *pais* can mean slave also though strictly just a boy.

<1246> **Luke 12:46** *Shall cut him asunder (dichotomeesei)*. An old and somewhat rare word from *dichotomos* and that from *dicha* and *temnoo*, to cut, to cut in two. Used literally here. In the N.T. only here and <1251> Matthew 24:51.

*With the unfaithful (meta toon apistoon)*. Not here “the unbelieving” though that is a common meaning of *apistos* (*a* privative and *pistos*, from *peithoo*), but the unreliable, the untrustworthy. Here <1251> Matthew 24:51 has “with the hypocrites,” the same point. The parallel with <1248> Matthew 24:43-51 ends here. <1251> Matthew 24:51 adds the saying about the wailing and the gnashing of teeth. Clearly there Luke places the parable of the wise steward in this context while Matthew has it in the great eschatological discourse. Once again we must either think that Jesus repeated the parable or that one of the writers has misplaced it. Luke alone preserves what he gives in verses 47,48.

<1247> **Luke 12:47** *Which knew (ho gnous)*. Articular participle (second aorist active, punctiliar and timeless). The one who knows. So as to *mee hetoimasas ee poieesas* (does not make ready or do).

*Shall be beaten with many stripes (dareesetai pollas)*. Second future passive of *deroo*, to skin, to beat, to flay (see on <1215> Matthew 21:35; <1218> Mark 12:3,5). The passive voice retains here the accusative *pollas* (supply *pleegas*, present in <1210> Luke 10:30). The same explanation applies to *oligas* in verse 48.

<1248> **Luke 12:48** *To whomsoever much is given (panti de hooi edothee polu)*. Here is inverse attraction from *hoi* to *panti* (Robertson, *Grammar*, pp. 767f.). Note *par' autou* (from him) without any regard to *panti*.

*They commit (parethento)*. Second aorist middle indicative, timeless or gnomic aorist. Note the impersonal plural after the passive voice just before.

<1249> **Luke 12:49** *I came to cast fire (Pur eelthon balein)*. Suddenly Jesus lets the volcano in his own heart burst forth. The fire was already burning.



“Christ came to set the world on fire, and the conflagration had already begun” (Plummer).

The very passion in Christ’s heart would set his friends on fire and his foes in opposition as we have just seen (<sup><215></sup>Luke 11:53f.). It is like the saying of Jesus that he came to bring not peace, but a sword, to bring cleavage among men (<sup><103></sup>Matthew 10:34-36).

*And what will I, if it is already kindled? (kai ti theloo ei eedee aneephthee;)*. It is not clear what this passage means. Probably *ti* is be taken in the sense of “how” (*poos*). How I wish. Then *ei* can be taken as equal to *hoti*. How I wish that it were already kindled. *Aneephthee* is first aorist passive of *anaptoō*, to set fire to, to kindle, to make blaze. Probably Luke means the conflagration to come by his death on the Cross for he changes the figure and refers to that more plainly.

<sup><215></sup>**Luke 12:50** *I have a baptism (baptisma de echoo)*. Once again Jesus will call his baptism the baptism of blood and will challenge James and John to it (<sup><103></sup>Mark 10:32f.; <sup><102></sup>Matthew 20:22f.). So here. “Having used the metaphor of fire, Christ now uses the metaphor of water. The one sets forth the result of his coming as it affects the world, the other as it affects himself. The world is lit up with flames and Christ is bathed in blood” (Plummer).

*And how I am straitened (kai poos sunechomai)*. See this same vivid verb *sunechomai* in <sup><103></sup>Luke 8:37; <sup><105></sup>Acts 18:5; <sup><102></sup>Philippians 1:23 where Paul uses it of his desire for death just as Jesus does here. The urge of the Cross is upon Jesus at the moment of these words. We catch a glimpse of the tremendous passion in his soul that drove him on.

*Till it be accomplished (heoos hotou telestheei)*. First aorist passive subjunctive of *teleōō* with *heoos hotou* (until which time), the common construction for the future with this conjunction.

<sup><215></sup>**Luke 12:51** *But rather division (all’ ee diamerismon)*. Peace at any price is not the purpose of Christ. It is a pity for family jars to come, but loyalty to Christ counts more than all else. These ringing words (<sup><215></sup>Luke 12:51-53) occur in <sup><103></sup>Matthew 10:34-36 in the address to the Twelve for the Galilean tour. See discussion of details there. These family feuds are inevitable where only part cleave to Christ. In Matthew we have *kata* with the genitive whereas in Luke it is *epi* with the dative (and accusative once).



<2125> **Luke 12:54** *To the multitudes also (kai tois ochlois)*. After the strong and stirring words just before with flash and force Jesus turns finally in this series of discourses to the multitudes again as in verse 15. There are similar sayings to these verses 54-59 in <4061> Matthew 16:1f; 5:25f. There is a good deal of difference in phraseology whether that is due to difference of source or different use of the same source (Q or Logia) we do not know. Not all the old MSS. give <4062> Matthew 16:2,3. In Matthew the Pharisees and Sadducees were asking for a sign from heaven as they often did. These signs of the weather, “a shower” (*ombros*, <2124> Luke 12:54) due to clouds in the west, “a hot wave” (*kausoon*, verse 55) due to a south wind (*noton*) blowing, “fair weather” (*eudia*, <4162> Matthew 16:2) when the sky is red, are appealed to today. They have a more or less general application due to atmospheric and climatic conditions.

<2126> **Luke 12:56** *To interpret this time (ton kairon touton dokimazein)*. To test *dokimazein* as spiritual chemists. No wonder that Jesus here calls them “hypocrites” because of their blindness when looking at and hearing him. So it is today with those who are willfully blind to the steps of God among men. This ignorance of the signs of the times is colossal.

<2127> **Luke 12:57** *Even of yourselves (kai aph' heautoon)*. Without the presence and teaching of Jesus they had light enough to tell what is right (*to dikaion*) and so without excuse as Paul argued in Romans 1-3.

<2128> **Luke 12:58** *Give diligence to be quit of him (dos ergasian apeellachthai ap' autou)*. Second aorist active imperative *dos* from *didoomi*. *Apeellachthai*, perfect passive infinitive of *apallassoo* an old verb common, but only twice in the N.T. (here and <4192> Acts 19:12). Used here in a legal sense and the tense emphasizes a state of completion, to be rid of him for good.

*Hale thee (katasureei)*. Drag down forcibly, old verb, only here in the N.T.

*To the officer (tooi praktori)*. The doer, the proctor, the exactor of fines, the executor of punishment. Old word, only here in the N.T. 12:59

*Till thou have paid (heoos apodoois)*. Second aorist active subjunctive of *apodidoomi*, to pay back in full.

*The last mite* (to *eschaton lepton*). From *lepoo*, to peel off the bark. Very small brass coin, one-eighth of an ounce. In the N.T. only here and ~~<21>~~Luke 21:2; ~~<12>~~Mark 12:42 (the poor widow's mite) which see.

## CHAPTER 13

◀217▶ **Luke 13:1** *At that very season (en autooi tooi kairooi)*. Luke's frequent idiom, "at the season itself." Apparently in close connexion with the preceding discourses. Probably "were present" (*pareesan*, imperfect of *pareimi*) means "came," "stepped to his side," as often (◀416▶ Matthew 26:50; ◀417▶ Acts 12:20; ◀513▶ John 11:28). These people had a piece of news for Jesus.

*Whose blood Pilate had mingled with their sacrifices (hoon to haima Peilatos emixen meta toon thusioon autoon)*. The verb *emixen* is first aorist active (not past perfect) of *mignumi*, a common verb. The incident is recorded nowhere else, but is in entire harmony with Pilate's record for outrages. These Galileans at a feast in Jerusalem may have been involved in some insurrection against the Roman government, the leaders of whom Pilate had slain right in the temple courts where the sacrifices were going on. Jesus comments on the incident, but not as the reporters had expected. Instead of denunciation of Pilate he turned it into a parable for their own conduct in the uncertainty of life.

◀217▶ **Luke 13:2** *Sinners above all (hamartooloi para pantas)*. *Para* means "beside," placed beside all the Galileans, and so beyond or above (with the accusative).

*Have suffered (peponthasin)*. Second perfect active indicative third plural from *paschoo*, common verb, to experience, suffer. The tense notes that it is "an irrevocable fact" (Bruce).

◀217▶ **Luke 13:3** *Except ye repent (ean mee metanoete)*. Present active subjunctive of *metanoeeo*, to change mind and conduct, linear action, keep on changing. Condition of third class, undetermined, but with prospect of determination.

*Ye shall perish (apoleisthe)*. Future middle indicative of *apollumi* and intransitive. Common verb.

◀217▶ **Luke 13:4** *The tower in Siloam (ho purgos en Siloam)*. Few sites have been more clearly located than this. Jesus mentions this accident (only in Luke) of his own accord to illustrate still further the responsibility of his

hearers. Jesus makes use of public events in both these incidents to teach spiritual lessons. He gives the “moral” to the massacre of the Galilean pilgrims and the “moral” of the catastrophe at Siloam.

*Offenders* (*opheiletai*). Literally,

*debtors*, not sinners as in verse 2 and as the Authorized Version renders here. See <2074> Luke 7:41; 11:4; <4062> Matthew 6:12; 18:24-34.

<2135> **Luke 13:5** *Except ye repent* (*ean mee metanoeseete*). First aorist active subjunctive, immediate repentance in contrast to continued repentance, *metanoete* in verse 3, though Westcott and Hort put *metanoete* in the margin here. The interpretation of accidents is a difficult matter, but the moral pointed out by Jesus is obvious.

<2135> **Luke 13:6** *Planted* (*pephuteumeneen*). Perfect passive participle of *phuteuoo*, to plant, an old verb, from *phuton*, a plant, and that from *phuoo*, to grow. But this participle with *eichen* (imperfect active of *echoo*) does not make a periphrastic past perfect like our English “had planted.” It means rather, he had a fig tree, one already planted in his vineyard.

<2135> **Luke 13:7** *The vinedresser* (*ton ampelourgon*). Old word, but here only in the N.T., from *ampelos*, vine, and *ergon*, work.

*These three years I come* (*tria etee aph' hou erchomai*). Literally, “three years since (from which time) I come.” These three years, of course, have nothing to do with the three years of Christ’s public ministry. The three years are counted from the time when the fig tree would normally be expected to bear, not from the time of planting. The Jewish nation is meant by this parable of the barren fig tree. In the withering of the barren fig tree later at Jerusalem we see parable changed to object lesson or fact (<4112> Mark 11:12-14; <4218> Matthew 21:18f.).

*Cut it down* (*ekkopson*). “Cut it out,” the Greek has it, out of the vineyard, perfective use of *ek* with the effective aorist active imperative of *koptoo*, where we prefer “down.”

*Why?* (*hina ti*). Ellipsis here of *geneetai* of which *ti* is subject (Robertson, *Grammar*, pp. 739,916).

*Also* (*kai*). Besides bearing no fruit.

*Doth cumber the ground* (*teen geen katargei*). Makes the ground completely idle, of no use (*kata, argeoo*, from *argos, a* privative and *ergon*, work). Late verb, here only in the N.T. except in Paul's Epistles.

◀2138▶ **Luke 13:8** *Till I shall dig* (*heoos hotou skapsoo*). First aorist active subjunctive like *baloo* (second aorist active subjunctive of *balloo*), both common verbs.

*Dung it* (*baloo kopria*). Cast dung around it, manure it. *Kopria*, late word, here alone in the N.T.

◀2139▶ **Luke 13:9** *And if it bear fruit thenceforth* (*k'an men poieeseei karpon eis to mellon*). Aposiopesis, sudden breaking off for effect (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1203). See it also in ▶4112▶ Mark 11:32; ▶4239▶ Acts 23:9. Trench (*Parables*) tells a story like this of intercession for the fig tree for one year more which is widely current among the Arabs today who say that it will certainly bear fruit this time.

◀2130▶ **Luke 13:10** *He was teaching* (*een didaskoon*). Periphrastic imperfect active.

◀2131▶ **Luke 13:11** *A spirit of infirmity* (*pneuma astheneias*). A spirit that caused the weakness (*astheneias*, lack of strength) like a spirit of bondage (▶4815▶ Romans 8:15), genitive case.

*She was bowed together* (*een sunkuptousa*). Periphrastic imperfect active of *sunkuptoo*, old verb, here only in the N.T., to bend together, medical word for curvature of the spine.

*And could in no wise lift herself up* (*kai mee dunamenee anakupsai eis to panteles*). Negative form of the previous statement. *Anakupsai*, first aorist active infinitive of *anakuptoo* (*ana, kuptoo*, same verb above compounded with *sun*). Unable to bend herself up or back at all (*eis to panteles*, wholly as in ▶38125▶ Hebrews 7:25 only other passage in the N.T. where it occurs). The poor old woman had to come in all bent over.

◀2132▶ **Luke 13:12** *He called her* (*prosephooneesen*). To come to him (*pros*).

*Thou art loosed* (*apolelusai*). Perfect passive indicative of *apoluoo*, common verb, loosed to stay free. Only N.T. example of use about disease.

◀21313▶ **Luke 13:13** *He laid his hands upon her* (*epetheeken auteei tas cheiras*). First aorist active indicative of *epititheemi*. As the Great Physician with gentle kindness.

*She was made straight* (*anoorthoothee*). First aorist (effective) passive indicative of *anorthoo*, old verb, but only three times in the N.T. (◀21313▶ Luke 13:13; ◀81212▶ Hebrews 12:12; ◀41516▶ Acts 15:16), to make straight again. Here it has the literal sense of making straight the old woman's crooked back.

*She glorified God* (*edoxazen ton theon*). Imperfect active. Began it (inchoative) and kept it up.

◀21314▶ **Luke 13:14** *Answered* (*apokritheis*). First aorist passive participle of *apokrinomai*. No one had spoken to him, but he felt his importance as the ruler of the synagogue and was indignant (*aganaktoon*, from *agan* and *achomai*, to feel much pain). His words have a ludicrous sound as if all the people had to do to get their crooked backs straightened out was to come round to his synagogue during the week. He forgot that this poor old woman had been coming for eighteen years with no result. He was angry with Jesus, but he spoke to the multitude (*tooi ochlooi*).

*Ought* (*dei*). Really, must, necessary, a direct hit at Jesus who had “worked” on the sabbath in healing this old woman.

*And not* (*kai mee*). Instead of *kai ou*, because in the imperative clause.

◀21315▶ **Luke 13:15** *The Lord answered him* (*apekrithee de autooi ho Kurios*). Note use of “the Lord” of Jesus again in Luke's narrative. Jesus answered the ruler of the synagogue who had spoken to the crowd, but about Jesus. It was a crushing and overwhelming reply.

*Hypocrites* (*hupokritai*). This pretentious faultfinder and all who agree with him.

*Each of you* (*hekastos humoon*). An *argumentum ad hominen*. These very critics of Jesus cared too much for an ox or an ass to leave it all the sabbath without water.

*Stall* (*phatnees*). Old word, in the N.T. only here and ◀41017▶ Luke 2:7,12,16 the manger where the infant Jesus was placed.

*To watering* (*potizei*). Old verb, causative, to give to drink.

**<2136> Luke 13:16** *Daughter of Abraham (thugatera Abraam)*. Triple argument, human being and not an ox or ass, woman, daughter of Abraham (Jewess), besides being old and ill.

*Ought not (ouk edei)*. Imperfect active. Of necessity. Jesus simply had to heal her even if on the sabbath.

*Whom Sftan bound (heen edeesen ho Satanas)*. Definite statement that her disease was due to Satan.

**<2137> Luke 13:17** *Were put to shame (kateischunonto)*. Imperfect passive of *kataischunoo*, old verb, to make ashamed, make one feel ashamed. Passive here, to blush with shame at their predicament.

*Rejoiced (echairen)*. Imperfect active. Sharp contrast in the emotions of the two groups.

*Were done (ginomenois)*. Present middle participle, were continually being done.

**<2138> Luke 13:18** *He said therefore (elegen oun)*. It is not clear to what to refer “therefore,” whether to the case of the woman in verse 11, the enthusiasm of the crowd in verse 17, or to something not recorded by Luke.

**<2139> Luke 13:19** *A grain of mustard seed (kokkooi sinapeos)*. Either the *sinapis nigra* or the *salvadora persica*, both of which have small seeds and grow to twelve feet at times. The Jews had a proverb: “Small as a mustard seed.” Given by **<4083>** Mark 4:30-32; **<4131>** Matthew 13:31f. in the first great group of parables, but just the sort to be repeated.

*Cast into his own garden (ebalen eis keepon heautou)*. Different from “earth” (Mark) or “field” (Matthew.)” *Keepos*, old word for garden, only here in the N.T. and **<4301>** John 19:1,26; 19:41.

*Became a tree (egeneto eis dendron)*. Common Hebraism, very frequent in LXX, only in Luke in the N.T., but does appear in *Koin*, though rare in papyri; this use of *eis* after words like *ginomai*. It is a translation Hebraism in Luke.

*Lodged (kateskeenoosen)*. Mark and Matthew have *kataskeenoin* infinitive of the same verb, to make tent (or nest).

◀<sup>0133</sup> **Luke 13:20** *Whereunto shall I liken?* (*Tini homoioosoo*);. This question alone in Luke here as in verse 18. But the parable is precisely like that in ▶<sup>0133</sup> Matthew 13:33, which see for details.

◀<sup>0132</sup> **Luke 13:22** *Journeying on unto Jerusalem* (*poreian poioumenos eis Ierosoluma*). Making his way to Jerusalem. Note tenses here of continued action, and distributive use of *kata* with cities and villages. This is the second of the journeys to Jerusalem in this later ministry corresponding to that in John 11.

◀<sup>0132</sup> **Luke 13:23** *Are they few that be saved?* (*ei oligoi hoi soozomenoi*);. Note use of *ei* as an interrogative which can be explained as ellipsis or as *ei=ee* (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1024). This was an academic theological problem with the rabbis, the number of the elect.

◀<sup>0134</sup> **Luke 13:24** *Strive* (*agoonizesthe*). Jesus makes short shrift of the question. He includes others (present middle plural of *agoonizomai*, common verb, our agonize). Originally it was to contend for a prize in the games. The kindred word *agoonia* occurs of Christ's struggle in Gethsemane (▶<sup>0224</sup> Luke 22:44). The narrow gate appears also in ▶<sup>0173</sup> Matthew 7:13, only there it is an outside gate (*pulees*) while here it is the entrance to the house, "the narrow door" (*thuras*).

◀<sup>0135</sup> **Luke 13:25** *When once* (*aph' hou an*). Possibly to be connected without break with the preceding verse (so Westcott and Hort), though Bruce argues for two parables here, the former (verse 24) about being in earnest, while this one (verses 25-30) about not being too late. The two points are here undoubtedly. It is an awkward construction, *aph' hou = apo toutou hote* with *an* and the aorist subjunctive (*egertheei* and *apokleiseei*). See Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 978.

*Hath shut to* (*apokleiseei*), first aorist active subjunctive of *apokleioo*, old verb, but only here in the N.T. Note effective aorist tense and perfective use of *apo*, slammed the door fast.

*And ye begin* (*kai arxeesthe*). First aorist middle subjunctive of *archomai* with *aph' hou an* like *egertheei* and *apokleiseei*.

*To stand* (*hestanai*). Second perfect active infinitive of *histeemi*, intransitive tense

*and to knock* (*kai krouein*). Present active infinitive, to keep on knocking.



*Open to us* (*anoixon heemin*). First aorist active imperative, at once and urgent.

*He shall say* (*erei*). Future active of *eipon* (defective verb). This is probably the apodosis of the *aph' hou* clause.

◀2137▶ **Luke 13:26** *Shall ye begin* (*arxesthe*). Future middle, though Westcott and Hort put *arxeesthe* (aorist middle subjunctive of *archomai*) and in that case a continuation of the *aph' hou* construction. It is a difficult passage and the copyists had trouble with it.

*In thy presence* (*enoopion sou*). As guests or hosts or neighbours some claim, or the master of the house. It is grotesque to claim credit because Christ taught in their streets, but they are hard run for excuses and claims.

◀2137▶ **Luke 13:27** *I know not whence ye are* (*ouk oida pothen este*). This blunt statement cuts the matter short and sweeps away the flimsy cobwebs. Acquaintance with Christ in the flesh does not open the door. Jesus quotes ▶4080▶ Psalm 8:9 as in ▶4073▶ Matthew 7:23, there as in the LXX, here with *pantes ergatai adikias*, there with *hoi ergazomenoi teen anomian*. But *aposteete* (second aorist active imperative) here, and there *apochooreite* (present active imperative).

◀2138▶ **Luke 13:28** *There* (*ekei*). Out there, outside the house whence they are driven.

*When ye shall see* (*hotan opseesthe*). First aorist middle subjunctive (of a late aorist *oopsameen*) of *horaoo*, though *opseesthe* (future middle) in margin of Westcott and Hort, unless we admit here a “future” subjunctive like Byzantine Greek (after Latin).

*And yourselves cast forth without* (*humfs de ekballomenous exoo*). Present passive participle, continuous action, “you being cast out” with the door shut. See on ▶4081▶ Matthew 8:11f. for this same picture.

◀2139▶ **Luke 13:29** *Shall sit down* (*anaklitheesontai*). Future passive indicative third plural. Recline, of course, is the figure of this heavenly banquet. Jesus does not mean that these will be saved in different ways, but only that many will come from all the four quarters of the earth.

◀2139▶ **Luke 13:30** *Last* (*eschatoi*). This saying was repeated many times (▶4090▶ Matthew 19:30; ▶4108▶ Mark 10:31; ▶4106▶ Matthew 20:16).

**Luke 13:31** *In that very hour* (*en auteei teei hoorfi*). Luke's favourite notation of time.

*Pharisees* (*Pharisaioi*). Here we see the Pharisees in a new role, warning Jesus against the machinations of Herod, when they are plotting themselves.

**Luke 13:32** *That fox* (*teei aloopeki tauteei*). This epithet for the cunning and cowardice of Herod shows clearly that Jesus understood the real attitude and character of the man who had put John the Baptist to death and evidently wanted to get Jesus into his power in spite of his superstitious fears that he might be John the Baptist *redivivus*. The message of Jesus means that he is independent of the plots and schemes of both Herod and the Pharisees. The preacher is often put in a tight place by politicians who are quite willing to see him shorn of all real power.

*Cures* (*iaseis*). Old word, but in the N.T. only here and <sup>402</sup>Acts 4:22,30.

*I am perfected* (*teleioumai*). Present passive indicative of *teleiooo*, old verb from *teleios*, to bring to perfection, frequent in the N.T. Used in <sup>310</sup>Hebrews 2:10 of the Father's purpose in the humanity of Christ. Perfect humanity is a process and Jesus was passing through that, without sin, but not without temptation and suffering. It is the prophetic present with the sense of the future.

**Luke 13:33** *The day following* (*teei echomeneei*). See <sup>405</sup>Acts 20:15. The same as the third day in verse 32. A proverb.

*It cannot be* (*ouk endechetai*). It is not accepted, it is inadmissible. A severely ironical indictment of Jerusalem. The shadow of the Cross reaches Perea where Jesus now is as he starts toward Jerusalem.

**Luke 13:34** *O Jerusalem, Jerusalem* (*Ierousaleem, Ierousaleem*). In <sup>427</sup>Matthew 23:37f. Jesus utters a similar lament over Jerusalem. The connection suits both there and here, but Plummer considers it "rather a violent hypothesis" to suppose that Jesus spoke these words twice. It is possible, of course, though not like Luke's usual method, that he put the words here because of the mention of Jerusalem. In itself it is not easy to see why Jesus could not have made the lament both here and in Jerusalem. The language of the apostrophe is almost identical in both places (<sup>234</sup>Luke 13:34f.; <sup>427</sup>Matthew 23:37-39). For details see on Matthew. In Luke we

have *episunaxai* (late first aorist active infinitive) and in Matthew *episunagagein* (second aorist active infinitive), both from *episunagoo*, a double compound of late Greek (Polybius). Both have “How often would I” (*posakis eetheleesa*). How often did I wish. Clearly showing that Jesus made repeated visits to Jerusalem as we know otherwise only from John’s Gospel.

*Even as (hon tropon)*. Accusative of general reference and in <sup><1237></sup>Matthew 23:37 also. Incorporation of antecedent into the relative clause.

*Brood (nossian)* is in Luke while Matthew has

*chickens (nossia)*, both late forms for the older *neossia*. The adjective

*desolate (ereemos)* is wanting in <sup><2135></sup>Luke 13:35 and is doubtful in <sup><1239></sup>Matthew 23:39.

## CHAPTER 14

◀241▶ **Luke 14:1** *When he went (en tooi elthein auton)*. Luke's favourite temporal clause = "on the going as to him."

*That (kai)*. Another common Lukan idiom, *kai=hoti* after *egeneto*, like Hebrew *wav*.

*They (autoi)*. Emphatic.

*Were watching (eesan parateeroumenoi)*. Periphrastic imperfect middle. Note force of *autoi*, middle voice, and *para-*. They were themselves watching on the side (on the sly), watching insidiously, with evil intent as in ▶418▶ Mark 3:2 (active).

◀242▶ **Luke 14:2** *Which had the dropsy (hudroopikos)*. Late and medical word from *hudoor* (water), one who has internal water (*hudroops*). Here only in the N.T. and only example of the disease healed by Jesus and recorded.

◀243▶ **Luke 14:3** *Answering (apokritheis)*. First aorist passive participle without the passive meaning. Jesus answered the thoughts of those mentioned in verse 1. Here "lawyers and Pharisees" are treated as one class with one article (*tous*) whereas in ▶473▶ Luke 7:30 they are treated as two classes with separate articles.

*Or not (ee ou)*. The dilemma forestalled any question by them.

*They held their peace (heesuchasan)*. Ingressive aorist active of old verb *heesuchazoo*. They became silent, more so than before.

◀244▶ **Luke 14:4** *Took him (epilabomenos)*. Second aorist middle participle of *epilambanoo*, an old verb, only in the middle in the N.T. It is not redundant use, "took and healed," but "took hold of him and healed him." Only instance in the N.T. of its use in a case of healing.

*Let him go (apelusen)*. Probably, dismissed from the company to get him away from these critics.

◀245▶ **Luke 14:5** *An ass or an ox (onos ee bous)*. But Westcott and Hort *huios ee bous* (

*a son or an ox*). The manuscripts are much divided between *huios* (son) and *onos* (ass) which in the abbreviated uncials looked much alike (TC, OC) and were much alike. The sentence in the Greek reads literally thus: Whose ox or ass of you shall fall (*peseitai*, future middle of *pipto*) into a well and he (the man) will not straightway draw him up (*anaspasei*, future active of *anaspao*) on the sabbath day? The very form of the question is a powerful argument and puts the lawyers and the Pharisees hopelessly on the defensive.

◀246▶ **Luke 14:6** *Could not answer again* (*ouk ischusan antapokritheenai*). Did not have strength to answer back or in turn (*anti-*) as in ▶812▶ Romans 9:20. They could not take up the argument and were helpless. They hated to admit that they cared more for an ox or ass or even a son than for this poor dropsical man.

◀247▶ **Luke 14:7** *A parable for those which were bidden* (*pros tous kkleemenous paraboleen*). Perfect passive participle of *kaleoo*, to call, to invite. This parable is for the guests who were there and who had been watching Jesus.

*When he marked* (*epechoon*). Present active participle of *epechoo* with *ton noun* understood, holding the mind upon them, old verb and common.

*They chose out* (*exelegonto*). Imperfect middle, were picking out for themselves.

*The chief seats* (*tas prootoklisias*). The first reclining places at the table. Jesus condemned the Pharisees later for this very thing (▶4216▶ Matthew 23:6; ▶4129▶ Mark 12:39; ▶2206▶ Luke 20:46). On a couch holding three the middle place was the chief one. At banquets today the name of the guests are usually placed at the plates. The place next to the host on the right was then, as now, the post of honour.

◀248▶ **Luke 14:8** *Sit not down* (*mee kataklitheis*). First aorist (ingressive) passive subjunctive of *kataklinoo*, to recline. Old verb, but peculiar to Luke in the N.T. (▶4076▶ Luke 7:36; 9:14; 14:8; ▶4243▶ Luke 24:30).

*Be bidden* (*eei kkleemenos*). Periphrastic perfect passive subjunctive of *kaleoo* after *mee pote*.

◀249▶ **Luke 14:9** *And say* (*kai erei*). Changes to future indicative with *mee pote* as in ▶2128▶ Luke 12:58.

*Shalt begin with shame* (*arxeei meta aischunees*). The moment of embarrassment.

*To take the lowest place* (*ton eschaton topon katechein*). To hold down the lowest place, all the intermediate ones being taken.

◀240▶ **Luke 14:10** *Sit down* (*anapese*). Second aorist active imperative of *anapiptoo*, to fall up or back, to lie back or down. Late Greek word for *anaklinoo* (cf. *kataklinoo* in verse 8).

*He that hath bidden thee* (*ho kekleekoos se*). Perfect active participle as in verse 12 (*tooi kekleekoti*) with which compare *ho kalesas* in verse 9 (first aorist active participle).

*He may say* (*erei*). The future indicative with *hina* does occur in the *Koin*, (papyri) and so in the N.T. (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 984).

*Go up higher* (*prosanabeethi*). Second aorist active imperative second singular of *prosanabainoo*, an old double compound verb, but here only in the N.T. Probably, “Come up higher,” because the call comes from the host and because of *pros*.

◀241▶ **Luke 14:11** *Shall be humbled* (*tapeinootheesetai*). First future passive. One of the repeated sayings of Jesus (◀238▶ Luke 18:14; ▶232▶ Matthew 23:12).

◀242▶ **Luke 14:12** *A dinner or a supper* (*ariston ee deipnon*). More exactly, a breakfast or a dinner with distinction between them as already shown. This is a parable for the host as one had just been given for the guests, though Luke does not term this a parable.

*Call not* (*mee phoonei*). *Mee* and the present imperative active, prohibiting the habit of inviting only friends. It is the *exclusive* invitation of such guests that Jesus condemns. There is a striking parallel to this in Plato’s *Phaedrus* 233.

*Recompense* (*antapodoma*). In the form of a return invitation. Like *anti* in “bid thee again” (*antikalesoosin*).

◀243▶ **Luke 14:13** *When thou makest a feast* (*hotan docheen poieeis*). *Hotan* and the present subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause. *Dochee* means reception as in ▶239▶ Luke 5:29, late word, only in these two passages

in the N.T. Note absence of article with these adjectives in the Greek (poor people, maimed folks, lame people, blind people).

<sup><2144></sup>**Luke 14:14** *To recompense thee (antapodounai soi)*. Second aorist active infinitive of this old and common double compound verb, to give back in return. The reward will come at the resurrection if not before and thou shalt be happy.

<sup><2145></sup>**Luke 14:15** *Blessed (makarios)*. Happy, same word in the Beatitudes of Jesus (<sup><1188></sup>Matthew 5:3ff.). This pious platitude whether due to ignorance or hypocrisy was called forth by Christ's words about the resurrection. It was a common figure among the rabbis, the use of a banquet for the bliss of heaven. This man may mean that this is a prerogative of the Pharisees. He assumed complacently that he will be among the number of the blest. Jesus himself uses this same figure of the spiritual banquet for heavenly bliss (<sup><2229></sup>Luke 22:29).

*Shall eat (phagetai)*. Future middle from *esthioo*, defective verb, from stem of the aorist (*ephagon*) like *edomai* of the old Greek.

<sup><2146></sup>**Luke 14:16** *Made (epoiei)*. Imperfect active, was on the point of making (inchoative).

*Great supper (deipnon)*. Or dinner, a formal feast. Jesus takes up the conventional remark of the guest and by this parable shows that such an attitude was no guarantee of godliness (Bruce). This parable of the marriage of the King's son (<sup><2145></sup>Luke 14:15-24) has many points of likeness to the parable of the wedding garment (<sup><4221></sup>Matthew 22:1-14) and as many differences also. The occasions are very different, that in Matthew grows out of the attempt to arrest Jesus while this one is due to the pious comment of a guest at the feast and the wording is also quite different. Hence we conclude that they are distinct parables.

*And he bade many (kai ekalesen pollous)*. Aorist active, a distinct and definite act following the imperfect *epoiei*.

<sup><2147></sup>**Luke 14:17** *His servant (ton doulon autou)*. His bondservant. *Vocator* or Summoner (<sup><1788></sup>Esther 5:8; 6:14). This second summons was the custom then as now with wealthy Arabs. Tristram (*Eastern Customs*, p. 82) says: "To refuse the second summons would be an insult, which is equivalent among the Arab tribes to a declaration of war."

◀2448▶ **Luke 14:18** *With one consent* (*apo mias*). Some feminine substantive like *gnoomees* or *psuchees* has to be supplied. This precise idiom occurs nowhere else. It looked like a conspiracy for each one in his turn did the same thing.

*To make excuse* (*paraiteisthai*). This common Greek verb is used in various ways, to ask something from one (◀4156▶ Mark 15:6), to deprecate or ask to avert (◀8219▶ Hebrews 12:19), to refuse or decline (◀4251▶ Acts 25:11), to shun or to avoid (◀8223▶ 2 Timothy 2:23), to beg pardon or to make excuses for not doing or to beg (◀2448▶ Luke 14:18ff.). All these ideas are variations of *aiteoo*, to ask in the middle voice with *para* in composition.

*The first* (*ho prootos*). In order of time. There are three of the “many” (“all”), whose excuses are given, each more flimsy than the other.

*I must needs* (*echoo anagkeen*). I have necessity. The land would still be there, a strange “necessity.”

*Have me excused* (*eche me pareiteemenon*). An unusual idiom somewhat like the English perfect with the auxiliary “have” and the modern Greek idiom with *echoo*, but certainly not here a Greek periphrasis for *pareiteeso*. This perfect passive participle is predicate and agrees with *me*. See a like idiom in ▶1101▶ Mark 3:1; ▶2129▶ Luke 12:19 (Robertson, *Grammar*, pp. 902f.). The Latin had a similar idiom, *habe me excusatum*. Same language in verse 19.

◀2449▶ **Luke 14:19** *To prove them* (*dokimasai auta*). He could have tested them before buying. The oxen would not run away or be stolen.

◀2441▶ **Luke 14:20** *I cannot come* (*ou dunamai elthein*). Less polite than the others but a more plausible pretence if he wanted to make it so. The law excused a newly married man from war (◀15245▶ Deuteronomy 24:5), “but not from social courtesy” (Ragg). The new wife would probably have been glad to go with him to the feast if asked. But see ▶4173▶ 1 Corinthians 7:33. There is here as often a sharp difference between the excuses offered and the reasons behind them.

◀2442▶ **Luke 14:21** *Being angry* (*orgistheis*). First aorist (ingressive) passive, becoming angry.

*Quickly* (*tacheoos*). The dinner is ready and no time is to be lost. The invitation goes still to those in the city.



*Streets and lanes* (*tas plateias kai rhumas*). Broadways and runways (broad streets and narrow lanes).

*Maimed* (*anapeirous*). So Westcott and Hort for the old word *anapeerous*, due to itacism (*ei=ee* in pronunciation). The word is compounded of *ana* and *peeros*, lame all the way up.

◄14:22► **Luke 14:22** *And yet there is room* (*kai eti topos estin*). The Master had invited “many” (verse 16) who had all declined. The servant knew the Master wished the places to be filled.

◄14:23► **Luke 14:23** *The highways and hedges* (*tas hodous kai phragmous*). The public roads outside the city of Judaism just as the streets and lanes were inside the city. The heathen are to be invited this time.

*Hedges* is fenced in places from *phrassoo*, to fence in (◄8189► Romans 3:19).

*Compel* (*anagkason*). First aorist active imperative of *anagkazoo*, from *anagkee* (verse 18). By persuasion of course. There is no thought of compulsory salvation.

“Not to use force, but to constrain them against the reluctance which such poor creatures would feel at accepting the invitation of a great lord” (Vincent).

As examples of such “constraint” in this verb see ◄14:22► Matthew 14:22; ◄8181► Acts 26:11; ◄8162► Galatians 6:12.

*That my house may be filled* (*hina gemistheei mou ho oikos*). First aorist passive subjunctive of *gemizoo*, to fill full, old verb from *gemoo*, to be full. Effective aorist. Subjunctive with *hina* in final clause. The Gentiles are to take the place that the Jews might have had (◄5125► Romans 11:25). Bengel says: *Nec natura nec gratia patitur vacuum*.

◄14:24► **Luke 14:24** *My supper* (*mou tou deipnou*). Here it is still the Master of the feast who is summing up his reasons for his conduct. We do not have to say that Jesus shuts the door now in the face of the Jews who may turn to him.

◄14:25► **Luke 14:25** *And he turned* (*kai strapheis*). Second aorist passive participle of *strepheoo*, common verb. It is a dramatic act on the part of Jesus, a deliberate effort to check the wild and unthinking enthusiasm of the crowds who followed just to be following. Note “many multitudes”

(*ochloi polloi*) and the imperfect tense *suneporeuonto*, were going along with him.

**Luke 14:26** *Hateth not (ou misei)*. An old and very strong verb *miseo*, to hate, detest. The orientals use strong language where cooler spirits would speak of preference or indifference. But even so Jesus does not here mean that one must hate his father or mother of necessity or as such, for <sup><4054></sup>Matthew 15:4 proves the opposite. It is only where the element of choice comes in (cf. <sup><4062></sup>Matthew 6:24) as it sometimes does, when father or mother opposes Christ. Then one must not hesitate. The language here is more sharply put than in <sup><4067></sup>Matthew 10:37. The *ou* here coalesces with the verb *misei* in this conditional clause of the first class determined as fulfilled. It is the language of exaggerated contrast, it is true, but it must not be watered down till the point is gone. In mentioning “and wife” Jesus has really made a comment on the excuse given in verse 20 (I married a wife and so I am not able to come).

*And his own life also (eti te kai teen psucheen heautou)*. Note *te kai*, both-and. “The *te* (B L) binds all the particulars into one bundle of *renuncianda*” (Bruce). Note this same triple group of conjunctions (*eti te kai*) in <sup><4218></sup>Acts 21:28, “And moreover also,” “even going as far as his own life.” Martyrdom should be an ever-present possibility to the Christian, not to be courted, but not to be shunned. Love for Christ takes precedence “over even the elemental instinct of self-preservation” (Ragg).

**Luke 14:27** *His own cross (ton stauron heautou)*. This familiar figure we have had already (<sup><4023></sup>Luke 9:23; <sup><4034></sup>Mark 8:34; <sup><4038></sup>Matthew 10:38; 16:24). Each follower has a cross which he must bear as Jesus did his. *Bastazoo* is used of cross bearing in the N.T. only here (figuratively) and <sup><3997></sup>John 19:17 literally of Jesus. Crucifixion was common enough in Palestine since the days of Antiochus Epiphanes and Alexander Jannaeus.

**Luke 14:28** *Build a tower (purgon oikodomeesai)*. A common metaphor, either a tower in the city wall like that by the Pool of Siloam (<sup><4134></sup>Luke 13:4) or a watchtower in a vineyard (<sup><4213></sup>Matthew 21:33) or a tower-shaped building for refuge or ornament as here. This parable of the rash builder has the lesson of counting the cost.

*Sit down (kathisas)*. Attitude of deliberation.

*First (prooton)*. First things first. So in verse 31.

**Count** (*pseephizei*). Common verb in late writers, but only here and <sup><6138></sup>Revelation 13:18 in the N.T. The verb is from *pseephos*, a stone, which was used in voting and so counting. Calculate is from the Latin *calculus*, a pebble. To vote was to cast a pebble (*titheemi pseephon*). Luke has Paul using “deposit a pebble” for casting his vote (<sup><4830></sup>Acts 26:10).

**The cost** (*teen dapaneen*). Old and common word, but here only in the N.T. from *daptoō*, to tear, consume, devour. Expense is something which eats up one’s resources.

**Whether he hath wherewith to complete it** (*ei echei eis apartismon*). If he has anything for completion of it. *Apartismon* is a rare and late word (in the papyri and only here in the N.T.). It is from *apartizoo*, to finish off (*ap-* and *artizoo* like our articulate), to make even or square. Cf. *exeertismenos* in <sup><5117></sup>2 Timothy 3:17.

<sup><2149></sup>**Luke 14:29** **Lest haply** (*hina meepote*). Double final particles (positive and negative with addition of *pote*). Used here with aorist middle subjunctive in *arxoontai* (begin).

**When he hath laid ... and was not able** (*thentos autou ... kai mee ischuontos*)

**to finish** (*ektelesai*). First aorist active infinitive. Note perfective use of *ek*, to finish out to the end. Two genitive absolutes, first, second aorist active participle *thentos*; second, present active participle *ischuontos*.

**To mock him** (*autooi empaizein*). An old verb, *em-paizoo*, to play like a child (*pais*), at or with, to mock, scoff at, to trifle with like Latin *illudere*.

<sup><2143></sup>**Luke 14:30** **This man** (*houtos ho anthroopos*). This fellow, contemptuous or sarcastic use of *houtos*.

<sup><2143></sup>**Luke 14:31** **To encounter** (*sunbalein*). Second aorist active infinitive of *sunballoo*, old and common verb, to throw or bring together, to dispute, to clash in war as here.

**Another king** (*heterooi basilei*), to grapple with another king in war or for war (*eis polemon*). Associative instrumental case.

**Take counsel** (*bouleusetai*). Future middle indicative of old and common verb *bouleuoo*, from *boulee*, will, counsel. The middle means to take counsel with oneself, to deliberate, to ponder.

*With ten thousand (en deka chilianin)*. Literally, in ten thousand. See this so-called instrumental use of *en* in <sup><6114></sup>Jude 1:14. Equipped in or with ten thousand. See <sup><4017></sup>Luke 1:17. Note *meta eikosi chiliadoon* just below (midst of twenty thousand).

*To meet (hupanteesai)*. Common verb (like *apantao*) from *antaoo* (*anta*, end, face to face, from which *anti*) with preposition *hupo* (or *apo*), to go to meet. Here it has a military meaning.

<sup><2143></sup>**Luke 14:32** *Or else (ei de meege)*. Same idiom in 5:36. Luke is fond of this formula.

*An embassy (presbeian)*. Old and common word for the office of ambassador, composed of old men (*presbeis*) like Japanese Elder Statesmen who are supposed to possess wisdom. In the N.T. only here and <sup><2014></sup>Luke 19:14.

*Asketh conditions of peace (erootfi pros eireeneen)*. The use of *erootao* in this sense of beg or petition is common in the papyri and *Koin*, generally. The original use of asking a question survives also. The text is uncertain concerning *pros eireeneen* which means with *erootao*, to ask negotiations for peace. In B we have *eis* instead of *pros* like verse 28. Most MSS. have *ta* before *pros* or *eis*, but not in Aleph and B. It is possible that the *ta* was omitted because of preceding *tai* (*homoeoteleuton*), but the sense is the same. See <sup><5149></sup>Romans 14:19 *ta tees eireenees*, the things of peace, which concern or look towards peace, the preliminaries of peace.

<sup><2143></sup>**Luke 14:33** *Renounceth not (ouk apotassetai)*. Old Greek word to set apart as in a military camp, then in the middle voice to separate oneself from, say good-bye to (<sup><4191></sup>Luke 9:61), to renounce, forsake, as here.

*All that he hath (pasin tois heautou huparchousin)*. Dative case, says good-bye to all his property, “all his own belongings” (neuter plural participle used as substantive) as named in verse 26. This verse gives the principle in the two parables of the rash builder and of the rash king. The minor details do not matter. The spirit of self-sacrifice is the point.

<sup><2143></sup>**Luke 14:35** *Dunghill (koprian)*. Later word in the *Koin*, vernacular. Here only in the N.T., though in the LXX.

*Men cast it out (exoo ballousin auto)*. Impersonal plural. This saying about salt is another of Christ’s repeated sayings (<sup><4153></sup>Matthew 5:13; <sup><4108></sup>Mark

9:50). Another repeated saying is the one here about having ears to hear (~~Q88~~ Luke 8:8; 14:35, ~~Q115~~ Matthew 11:15; 13:43).

## CHAPTER 15

☞**Luke 15:1** *All the publicans and sinners* (*pantes hoi teloonai kai hoi hamartooloi*). The two articles separate the two classes (all the publicans and the sinners). They are sometimes grouped together (☞**Luke 5:30**; ☞**Matthew 9:11**), but not here. The publicans are put on the same level with the outcasts or sinners. So in verse 2 the repeated article separates Pharisees and scribes as not quite one. The use of “all” here may be hyperbole for very many or the reference may be to these two classes in the particular place where Jesus was from time to time.

*Were drawing near unto him* (*eesan autooi eggizontes*). Periphrastic imperfect of *eggizoo*, from *eggus* (near), late verb.

*For to hear* (*akouein*). Just the present active infinitive of purpose.

☞**Luke 15:2** *Both ... and* (*te ... kai*). United in the complaint.

*Murmured* (*diegogguzon*). Imperfect active of *diagogguzoo*, late Greek compound in the LXX and Byzantine writers. In the N.T. only here and ☞**Luke 19:7**. The force of *dia* here is probably between or among themselves. It spread (imperfect tense) whenever these two classes came in contact with Jesus. As the publicans and the sinners were drawing near to Jesus just in that proportion the Pharisees and the scribes increased their murmurings. The social breach is here an open yawning chasm.

*This man* (*houtos*). A contemptuous sneer in the use of the pronoun. They spoke out openly and probably pointed at Jesus.

*Receiveth* (*prosdechetai*). Present middle indicative of the common verb *prosdechomai*. In ☞**Luke 12:36** we had it for expecting, here it is to give access to oneself, to welcome like *hupedexato* of Martha’s welcome to Jesus (☞**Luke 10:38**). The charge here is that this is the habit of Jesus. He shows no sense of social superiority to these outcasts (like the Hindu “untouchables” in India).

*And eateth with them* (*kai sunesthiei autois*). Associative instrumental case (*autois*) after *sun-* in composition. This is an old charge (☞**Luke 5:30**) and a much more serious breach from the standpoint of the Pharisees. The implication is that Jesus prefers these outcasts to the respectable classes

(the Pharisees and the scribes) because he is like them in character and tastes, even with the harlots. There was a sting in the charge that he was the “friend” (*philos*) of publicans and sinners (<sup><4073></sup>Luke 7:34).

<sup><2157></sup>**Luke 15:3** *This parable (teen paraboleen tauteen)*. The Parable of the Lost Sheep (<sup><2157></sup>Luke 15:3-7). This is Christ’s way of answering the cavilling of these chronic complainers. Jesus gave this same parable for another purpose in another connection (<sup><4182></sup>Matthew 18:12-14). The figure of the Good Shepherd appears also in <sup><6101></sup>John 10:1-18.

“No simile has taken more hold upon the mind of Christendom”  
(Plummer).

Jesus champions the lost and accepts the challenge and justifies his conduct by these superb stories.

“The three Episodes form a climax: The Pasture--the House--the Home; the Herdsman--the Housewife--the Father; the Sheep--the Treasure--the Beloved Son” (Ragg).

<sup><2157></sup>**Luke 15:4** *In the wilderness (en teei ereemooi)*. Their usual pasturage, not a place of danger or peril. It is the owner of the hundred sheep who cares so much for the one that is lost. He knows each one of the sheep and loves each one.

*Go after that which is lost (poreuetai epi to apoololos)*. The one lost sheep (*apoololos*, second perfect active participle of *apollumi*, to destroy, but intransitive, to be lost). There is nothing more helpless than a lost sheep except a lost sinner. The sheep went off by its own ignorance and folly. The use of *epi* for the goal occurs also in <sup><4219></sup>Matthew 22:9; <sup><4185></sup>Acts 8:26; 9:11.

*Until he find it (heoos heureei auto)*. Second aorist active subjunctive of *heuriskoo*, common verb, with *heoos*, common Greek idiom. He keeps on going (*poreuetai*, linear present middle indicative) until success comes (effective aorist, *heureei*).

<sup><2157></sup>**Luke 15:5** *On his shoulders (epi tous oomous autou)*. He does it himself in exuberant affection and of necessity as the poor lost sheep is helpless. Note the plural shoulders showing that the sheep was just back of the shepherd’s neck and drawn around by both hands. The word for

shoulder (*oomos*) is old and common, but in the N.T. only here and <sup><4174></sup>Matthew 23:4.

*Rejoicing (chairoon)*. “There is no upbraiding of the wandering sheep, nor murmuring at the trouble” (Plummer).

<sup><4176></sup>**Luke 15:6** *Rejoice with me (sunchareete moi)*. Second aorist passive of *sunchairoo*, an old and common verb for mutual joy as in <sup><4187></sup>Philippians 2:17f. Joy demands fellowship. Same form in verse 9. So the shepherd

*calls together (sunkalei*, note *sun* again) both his friends and his neighbours. This picture of the Good Shepherd has captured the eye of many artists through the ages.

<sup><4177></sup>**Luke 15:7** *Over one sinner that repenteth (epi heni hamartoolooi metanoonti)*. The word sinner points to verse 1. Repenting is what these sinners were doing, these lost sheep brought to the fold. The joy in heaven is in contrast with the grumbling Pharisees and scribes.

*More than over (ee epi)*. There is no comparative in the Greek. It is only implied by a common idiom like our “rather than.”

*Which need no repentance (hoitines ou chreian echousin metanoias)*. Jesus does not mean to say that the Pharisees and the scribes do not need repentance or are perfect. He for the sake of argument accepts their claims about themselves and by their own words condemns them for their criticism of his efforts to save the lost sheep. It is the same point that he made against them when they criticized Jesus and the disciples for being at Levi’s feast (<sup><4151></sup>Luke 5:31f.). They posed as “righteous.” Very well, then. That shuts their mouths on the point of Christ’s saving the publicans and sinners.

<sup><4178></sup>**Luke 15:8** *Ten pieces of silver (drachmas deka)*. The only instance in the N.T. of this old word for a coin of 65.5 grains about the value of the common *deenarius* (about eighteen cents), a quarter of a Jewish shekel. The double drachma (*didrachmon*) occurs in the N.T. only in <sup><4174></sup>Matthew 17:24. The root is from *drassomai*, to grasp with the hand (<sup><4189></sup>1 Corinthians 3:19), and so a handful of coin. Ten drachmas would be equal to nearly two dollars, but in purchasing power much more.

*Sweep (saroi)*. A late colloquial verb *sarooo* for the earlier *sairoo*, to clear by sweeping. Three times in the N.T. (<sup><4125></sup>Luke 11:25; 15:8; <sup><4124></sup>Matthew



12:44). The house was probably with out windows (only the door for light and hence the lamp lit) and probably also a dirt floor. Hence Bengel says: *non sine pulvere*. This parable is peculiar to Luke.

<2151> **Luke 15:9** *Her friends and neighbours* (*tas philas kai geitonas*).

Note single article and female friends (feminine article and *philas*). *Heoos hou eureei* here as in verse 4, only *hou* added after *heoos* (until which time) as often.

*Which I lost* (*heen apoolesa*). First aorist active indicative of *apollumi*. She lost the coin (note article). The shepherd did not lose the one sheep.

<2151> **Luke 15:10** *There is joy* (*ginetai chara*). More exactly, joy arises. Futuristic present of *ginomai* (cf. *estai* in verse 7).

*In the presence of the angels of God* (*enoopion toon aggeloon tou theou*). That is to say, the joy of God himself. The angels are in a sense the neighbours of God.

<2151> **Luke 15:11** *Had* (*eichen*). Imperfect active. Note *echoon* (verse 4), *echousa* (verse 8), and now *eichen*. The self-sacrificing care is that of the owner in each case. Here (verses 11-32) we have the most famous of all the parables of Jesus, the Prodigal Son, which is in Luke alone. We have had the Lost Sheep, the Lost Coin, and now the Lost Son. Bruce notes that in the moral sphere there must be self-recovery to give ethical value to the rescue of the son who wandered away. That comes out beautifully in this allegory.

<2152> **Luke 15:12** *The portion* (*to meros*). The Jewish law allotted one-half as much to the younger son as to the elder, that is to say one-third of the estate (<4217> Deuteronomy 21:17) at the death of the father. The father did not have to abdicate in favour of the sons, but “this very human parable here depicts the impatience of home restraints and the optimistic ambition of youth” (Ragg).

*And he divided* (*ho de dieilen*). The second aorist active indicative of *diaireoo*, an old and common verb to part in two, cut asunder, divide, but in the N.T. only here and <4211> 1 Corinthians 12:11. The elder son got his share also of the “substance” or property or estate (*tees ousias*), “the living” (*ton bion*) as in <4124> Mark 12:44, not “life” as in <4184> Luke 8:14.

**Luke 15:13** *Not many days after* (*met' ou pollas heemeras*).

Literally, after not many days. Luke is fond of this idiom (7:6; <sup><4016></sup>Acts 1:5).

*Took his journey* (*apedeemeesen*). First aorist active indicative of *apodeemeoo* (from *apodeemos*, away from home). Common verb. In the N.T. here and <sup><4213></sup>Matthew 21:33; 25:14; <sup><4121></sup>Mark 12:1; <sup><4219></sup>Luke 20:9. He burned all his bridges behind him, gathering together all that he had.

*Wasted* (*dieskorpisen*). First aorist active indicative of *diaskorpizoo*, a somewhat rare verb, the very opposite of “gathered together” (*sunagooon*). More exactly he scattered his property. It is the word used of winnowing grain (<sup><4234></sup>Matthew 25:24).

*With riotous living* (*zoon asootoos*). Living dissolutely or profligately. The late adverb *asootoos* (only here in the N.T.) from the common adjective *asootos* (*a* privative and *soozoo*), one that cannot be saved, one who does not save, a spendthrift, an abandoned man, a profligate, a prodigal. He went the limit of sinful excesses. It makes sense taken actively or passively (*prodigus* or *perditus*), active probably here.

**Luke 15:14** *When he had spent* (*dapaneesantos autou*). Genitive absolute. The verb is here used in a bad sense as in <sup><5048></sup>James 4:3. See on *dapaneee* <sup><4148></sup>Luke 14:28.

*He* (*autos*). Emphasis.

*To be in want* (*hustereisthai*). The verb is from *husteros*, behind or later (comparative). We use “fall behind” (Vincent) of one in straitened circumstances. Plummer notes the coincidences of Providence. The very land was in a famine when the boy had spent all.

**Luke 15:15** *Joined himself* (*ekolleethee*). First aorist passive of *kollaoo*, an old verb to glue together, to cleave to. In the N.T. only the passive occurs. He was glued to, was joined to. It is not necessary to take this passive in the middle reflexive sense.

*The citizens* (*toon politoon*). Curiously enough this common word citizen (*politees* from *polis*, city) is found in the N.T. only in Luke's writings (<sup><4215></sup>Luke 15:15; 19:14; <sup><4219></sup>Acts 21:39) except in He 8:11 where it is quoted from <sup><4888></sup>Jeremiah 38:34.

*To feed swine* (*boskein choirous*). A most degrading occupation for anyone and for a Jew an unspeakable degradation.

<sup><21516></sup>**Luke 15:16** *He would fain have been filled* (*epethumei chortastheenai*). Literally, he was desiring (longing) to be filled. Imperfect indicative and first aorist passive infinitive. *Chortastheenai* is from *chortazoo* and that from *chortos* (grass), and so to feed with grass or with anything. Westcott and Hort put *gemisai teen koilian autou* in the margin (the Textus Receptus).

*With the husks* (*ek toon keratioon*). The word occurs here alone in the N.T. and is a diminutive of *keras* (horn) and so means little horn. It is used in various senses, but here refers to the pods of the carob tree or locust tree still common in Palestine and around the Mediterranean, so called from the shape of the pods like little horns, *Bockshornbaum* in German or goat's-horn tree. The gelatinous substance inside has a sweetish taste and is used for feeding swine and even for food by the lower classes. It is sometimes called Saint John's Bread from the notion that the Baptist ate it in the wilderness.

*No man gave unto him* (*oudeis edidou autooi*). Imperfect active. Continued refusal of anyone to allow him even the food of the hogs.

<sup><21517></sup>**Luke 15:17** *But when he came to himself* (*eis heauton de elthoon*). As if he had been far from himself as he was from home. As a matter of fact he had been away, out of his head, and now began to see things as they really were. Plato is quoted by Ackerman (*Christian Element in Plato*) as thinking of redemption as coming to oneself.

*Hired servants* (*misthioi*). A late word from *misthos* (hire). In the N.T. only in this chapter. The use of "many" here suggests a wealthy and luxurious home.

*Have bread enough and to spare* (*perisseuontai artoon*). Old verb from *perissos* and that from *peri* (around). Present passive here, "are surrounded by loaves" like a flood.

*I perish* (*egoo de limooi hoode apollumai*). Every word here counts: While I on the other hand am here perishing with hunger. It is the linear present middle of *apollumi*. Note *egoo* expressed and *de* of contrast.

**◀21518▶ Luke 15:18** *I will arise and go* (*anastas proreusomai*). This determination is the act of the will after he comes to himself and sees his real condition.

*I did sin* (*heemarton*). That is the hard word to say and he will say it first. The word means to miss the mark. I shot my bolt and I missed my aim (compare the high-handed demand in verse 12).

**◀21519▶ Luke 15:19** *No longer worthy* (*ouketi axios*). Confession of the facts. He sees his own pitiful plight and is humble.

*As one* (*hoos hena*). The hired servants in his father's house are high above him now.

**◀21520▶ Luke 15:20** *To his father* (*pros ton patera heautou*). Literally, to his own father. He acted at once on his decision.

*Yet afar off* (*eti autou makran apechontos*). Genitive absolute. *Makran* agrees with *hodon* understood: While he was yet holding off a distant way. This shows that the father had been looking for him to come back and was even looking at this very moment as he came in sight.

*Ran* (*dramoon*). Second aorist active participle of the defective verb *trechoo*. The eager look and longing of the father.

*Kissed* (*katephileesen*). Note perfective use of *kata* kissed him much, kissed him again and again. The verb occurs so in the older Greek.

**◀21521▶ Luke 15:21** The son made his speech of confession as planned, but it is not certain that he was able to finish as a number of early manuscripts do not have "Make me as one of the hired servants," though Aleph B D do have them. It is probable that the father interrupted him at this point before he could finish.

**◀21522▶ Luke 15:22** *The best robe* (*stoleen teen prooteen*). *Stolee* is an old word for a fine stately garment that comes down to the feet (from *stello*, to prepare, equip), the kind worn by kings (**◀41165▶** Mark 16:5; **◀2246▶** Luke 22:46). Literally, "a robe the first." But not the first that you find, but the first in rank and value, the finest in the house. This in contrast with his shabby clothes.

*A ring (daktulion)*. Common in classical writers and the LXX, but here only in the N.T. From *daktulos*, finger. See *chrusodaktulios* in <sup><SUB></sup>James 2:2.

*Shoes (hupodeemata)*. Sandals, “bound under.” Both sandals and ring are marks of the freeman as slaves were barefooted.

<sup><DIS></sup>**Luke 15:23** *The fatted calf (ton moschon ton siteuton)*. The calf the fatted one. *Siteuton* is the verbal adjective of *sileuoo*, to feed with wheat (*sitos*). The calf was kept fat for festive occasions, possibly in the hope of the son’s return.

*Kill (thusate)*. Not as a sacrifice, but for the feast.

*Make merry (euphranthoomen)*. First aorist passive subjunctive (volitive). From *euphrainoo*, an old verb from *eu* (well) and *phreen* (mind).

<sup><DIS></sup>**Luke 15:24** *And is alive (kai anezeesen)*. First aorist active indicative of *anazaoo*, to live again. Literally, he was dead and he came back to life.

*He was lost (een apolooloos)*, periphrastic past perfect active of *apollumi* and intransitive, in a lost state) and he was found (*heurethee*). He was found, we have to say, but this aorist passive is really timeless, he is found after long waiting (effective aorist) The artists have vied with each other in picturing various items connected with this wonderful parable.

<sup><DIS></sup>**Luke 15:25** *As he came and drew nigh (hoos erchomenos eeggisen)*. More exactly, “As, coming, he drew nigh,” for *erchomenos* is present middle participle and *eeggisen* is aorist active indicative.

*Music (sumphoonias)*. Our word “symphony.” An old Greek word from *sumphoonos* (*sun*, together, and *phoonee*, voice or sound),

*harmony, concord*, by a band of musicians. Here alone in the N.T.

*And dancing (kai choroon)*. An old word again, but here alone in the N.T. Origin uncertain, possibly from *orchos* by metathesis (*orcheomai*, to dance). A circular dance on the green.

<sup><DIS></sup>**Luke 15:26** *Servants (paidoon)*. Not *douloi* (bondslaves) as in verse 22. The Greeks often used *pais* for servant like the Latin *puer*. It could be either a hired servant (*misthios*, verse 17) or slave (*doulos*).

*He inquired* (*epunthaneto*). Imperfect middle, inquired repeatedly and eagerly.

*What these things might be* (*ti an eiee tauta*). Not “poor” Greek as Easton holds, but simply the form of the direct question retained in the indirect. See the direct form as the apodosis of a condition of the fourth class in ~~<4178>~~ Acts 17:18. In ~~<4107>~~ Acts 10:17 we have the construction with *an eiee* of the direct retained in the indirect question. So also in ~~<4162>~~ Luke 1:62: See Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1044.

~~<2157>~~ **Luke 15:27** *Is come* (*heekei*). Present indicative active, but a stem with perfect sense, old verb *heekoo* retaining this use after perfect tenses came into use (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 893).

*Hath killed* (*ethusen*). Aorist active indicative and literally means, *did kill*. Difficult to handle in English for our tenses do not correspond with the Greek.

*Hath received* (*apelaben*). Second aorist active indicative with similar difficulty of translation. Note *apo* in compositions, like *re-* in “receive,” *hath gotten him back* (*ap-*).

*Safe and sound* (*hugiainonta*). Present active participle of *hugiainoo* from *hugiees*, to be in good health. In spite of all that he has gone through and in spite of the father’s fears.

~~<2158>~~ **Luke 15:28** *But he was angry* (*oorgisthee*). First aorist (ingressive) passive indicative. But he became angry, he flew into a rage (*orgee*). This was the explosion as the result of long resentment towards the wayward brother and suspicion of the father’s partiality for the erring son.

*Would not go in* (*ouk eethelen eiselthein*). Imperfect tense (was not willing, refused) and aorist active (ingressive) infinitive.

*Entreated* (*parekalei*). Imperfect tense, he kept on beseeching him.

~~<2159>~~ **Luke 15:29** *Do I serve thee* (*douleuoo soi*). Progressive present tense of this old verb from *doulos* (slave) which the elder son uses to picture his virtual slavery in staying at home and perhaps with longings to follow the younger son (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 879).

*Transgressed* (*pareelthon*). Second aorist active indicative of *parerchomai*, to pass by. Not even once (aorist) in contrast with so many years of service (linear present).

*A kid* (*eriphon*). Some MSS. have *eriphion*, diminutive, a little kid. So margin of Westcott and Hort. B has it also in ~~ⲁⲓⲥⲓ~~ Matthew 25:32, the only other N.T. passage where the word occurs.

*That I might make merry* (*hina euphranthoo*). Final clause, first aorist passive subjunctive of the same verb used in verses 23,25.

~~ⲁⲓⲥⲓ~~ **Luke 15:30** *This thy son* (*ho huios sou houtos*). Contempt and sarcasm. He does not say: “This my brother.”

*Came* (*eelthen*). He does not even say, came back or came home.

*Devoured* (*kataphagoon*). We say, “eaten up,” but the Greek has, “eaten down” (perfective use of *kata-*). Suggested by the feasting going on.

*With harlots* (*meta pornoon*). This may be true (verse 13), but the elder son did not know it to be true. He may reflect what he would have done in like case.

~~ⲁⲓⲥⲓ~~ **Luke 15:31** *Son* (*Teknon*). Child.

*Thou* (*su*). Expressed and in emphatic position in the sentence. He had not appreciated his privileges at home with his father.

~~ⲁⲓⲥⲓ~~ **Luke 15:32** *It was meet* (*edei*). Imperfect tense. It expressed a necessity in the father’s heart and in the joy of the return that justifies the feasting. *Euphrantheenai* is used again (first aorist passive infinitive) and *chareenai* (second aorist passive infinitive) is more than mere hilarity, deep-seated joy. The father repeats to the elder son the language of his heart used in verse 24 to his servants. A real father could do no less. One can well imagine how completely the Pharisees and scribes (verse 2) were put to silence by these three marvellous parables. The third does it with a graphic picture of their own attitude in the case of the surly elder brother. Luke was called a painter by the ancients. Certainly he has produced a graphic pen picture here of God’s love for the lost that justifies forever the coming of Christ to the world to seek and to save the lost. It glorifies also soul-saving on the part of his followers who are willing to go with Jesus after the lost in city and country, in every land and of every race.

## CHAPTER 16

**◀2161▶ Luke 16:1** *Unto the disciples (kai pros tous matheetas)*. The three preceding parables in chapter 15 exposed the special faults of the Pharisees,

“their hard exclusiveness, self-righteousness, and contempt for others” (Plummer).

This parable is given by Luke alone. The *kai* (also) is not translated in the Revised Version. It seems to mean that at this same time, after speaking to the Pharisees (chapter 15), Jesus proceeds to speak a parable to the disciples (◀2161▶ Luke 16:1-13), the parable of the Unjust Steward. It is a hard parable to explain, but Jesus opens the door by the key in verse 9.

*Which had a steward (hos eeichen oikonomon)*. Imperfect active, continued to have. Steward is house-manager or overseer of an estate as already seen in ▶2120▶ Luke 12:42.

*Was accused (diebleethee)*. First aorist indicative passive, of *diaballoo*, an old verb, but here only in the N.T. It means to throw across or back and forth, rocks or words and so to slander by gossip. The word implies malice even if the thing said is true. The word *diabolos* (slanderer) is this same root and it is used even of women, she-devils (◀5181▶ 1 Timothy 3:11).

*That he was wasting (hoos diaskorpizoon)*. For the verb see on ▶2153▶ Luke 15:13. The use of *hoos* with the participle is a fine Greek idiom for giving the alleged ground of a charge against one.

*His goods (ta huparchonta autou)*. “His belongings,” a Lukan idiom.

**◀2162▶ Luke 16:2** *What is this that I hear? (ti touto akouoo;)*. There are several ways of understanding this terse Greek idiom. The Revised Version (above) takes *ti* to be equal to *ti estin touto ho akouoo*; That is a possible use of the predicate *touto*. Another way is to take *ti* to be exclamatory, which is less likely. Still another view is that *ti* is “Why”: “Why do I hear this about thee?” See ▶4445▶ Acts 14:15 where that is the idiom employed.

*Render (apodos)*. Second aorist active imperative of *apodidoomi*, Give back (and at once).



*The account* (*ton logon*). The reckoning or report. Common use of *logos*.

*Stewardship* (*oikonomias*). Same root as *oikonomos* (steward). This demand does not necessarily mean dismissal if investigation proved him innocent of the charges. But the reason given implies that he is to be dismissed:

*Thou canst no longer* (*ou gar duneei*).

◀216▶ **Luke 16:3** *Within himself* (*en heautooi*). As soon as he had time to think the thing over carefully. He knew that he was guilty of embezzlement of the Master's funds.

*Taketh away* (*aphaireitai*). Present (linear) middle indicative of *aphaireoo*, old verb to take away. Here the middle present means, He is taking away for himself.

*To beg I am not ashamed* (*epaitein aischunomai*). The infinitive with *aischunomai* means ashamed to begin to beg. The participle, *epaitoon aischunomai* would mean, ashamed while begging, ashamed of begging while doing it.

◀217▶ **Luke 16:4** *I am resolved* (*egnoon*). Second aorist active indicative of *ginoosko*. A difficult tense to reproduce in English. I knew, I know, I have known, all miss it a bit. It is a burst of daylight to the puzzled, darkened man: I've got it, I see into it now, a sudden solution.

*What to do* (*ti poiesoo*). Either deliberative first aorist active subjunctive or deliberative future active indicative.

*When I am put out* (*hotan metastathoo*). First aorist passive subjunctive of *methisteemi*, (*meta, histeemi*), old verb, to transpose, transfer, remove. He is expecting to be put out.

*They may receive me* (*dexoontai*). First aorist middle subjunctive of *dechomai*, common verb. Subjunctive with final particle *hina*. He wishes to put the debtors under obligation to himself.

*Debtors* (*toon chreophiletoon*). A late word. In the N.T. only here and ▶218▶ Luke 7:41 from *chreos*, loan, and *opheiletes*, debtor. It is probable that he dealt with "each one" separately.

◀216▶ **Luke 16:6** *Measures* (*batous*). Transliterated word for Hebrew *bath*, between eight and nine gallons. Here alone in the N.T. Not the same word as *batos* (

*bush*) in ▶164▶ Luke 6:44.

*Thy bond* (*sou ta grammata*). Thy writings, thy contracts, thy note.

*Quickly* (*tacheoos*). It was a secret arrangement and speed was essential.

◀217▶ **Luke 16:7** *Measures* (*korous*). Another Hebrew word for dry measure. The Hebrew *cor* was about ten bushels. Data are not clear about the Hebrew measures whether liquid (*bath*) or dry (*cor*).

◀218▶ **Luke 16:8** *His lord commended* (*epeinesen ho kurios*). The steward's lord praised him though he himself had been wronged again (see verse 1 "wasting his goods").

*The unrighteous steward* (*ton oikonomon tees adikias*). Literally, the steward of unrighteousness. The genitive is the case of genus, species, the steward distinguished by unrighteousness as his characteristic. See "the mammon of unrighteousness" in verse 9. See "the forgetful hearer" in ▶3025▶ James 1:25. It is a vernacular idiom common to Hebrew, Aramaic, and the *Koin*,.

*Wisely* (*phronimoos*). An old adverb, though here alone in the N.T. But the adjective *phronimos* from which it comes occurs a dozen times as in ▶1006▶ Matthew 10:16. It is from *phroneoo* and that from *phreen*, the mind (▶4340▶ 1 Corinthians 14:20), the discerning intellect. Perhaps "shrewdly" or "discreetly" is better here than "wisely." The lord does not absolve the steward from guilt and he was apparently dismissed from his service. His shrewdness consisted in finding a place to go by his shrewdness. He remained the steward of unrighteousness even though his shrewdness was commended.

*For* (*hoti*). Probably by this second *hoti* Jesus means to say that he cites this example of shrewdness because it illustrates the point. "This is the moral of the whole parable. Men of the world in their dealings with men like themselves are more prudent than the children of light in their intercourse with one another" (Plummer). We all know how stupid Christians can be in their co-operative work in the kingdom of God, to go no further.

*Wiser than* (*phronimooteroi huper*). Shrewder beyond, a common Greek idiom.

<2161> **Luke 16:9** *By the mammon of unrighteousness* (*ek tou mammoonf tees adikias*). By the use of what is so often evil (money). In <4162> Matthew 6:24 mammon is set over against God as in <2163> Luke 16:13 below. Jesus knows the evil power in money, but servants of God have to use it for the kingdom of God. They should use it discreetly and it is proper to make friends by the use of it.

*When it shall fail* (*hotan eklippei*). Second aorist active subjunctive with *hotan*, future time. The mammon is sure to fail.

*That they may receive you into the eternal tabernacles* (*hina dexoontai humas eis tas aioonious skeenas*). This is the purpose of Christ in giving the advice about their making friends by the use of money. The purpose is that those who have been blessed and helped by the money may give a welcome to their benefactors when they reach heaven. There is no thought here of purchasing an entrance into heaven by the use of money. That idea is wholly foreign to the context. These friends will give a hearty welcome when one gives him mammon here. The wise way to lay up treasure in heaven is to use one's money for God here on earth. That will give a cash account there of joyful welcome, not of purchased entrance.

<2161> **Luke 16:10** *Faithful in a very little* (*pistos en elachistooi*). Elative superlative. One of the profoundest sayings of Christ. We see it in business life. The man who can be trusted in a very small thing will be promoted to large responsibilities. That is the way men climb to the top. Men who embezzle in large sums began with small sums. Verses 10-13 here explain the point of the preceding parables.

<2161> **Luke 16:11** *Faithful in the unrighteous mammon* (*en tooi adikooi mammoonfi*). In the use of what is considered "unrighteous" as it so often is. Condition of the first class, "if ye did not prove to be" (*ei ouk egenesthe*). Failure here forfeits confidence in "the true riches" (*to aleethinon*). There is no sadder story than to see a preacher go down by the wrong use of money, caught in this snare of the devil.

<2161> **Luke 16:12** *That which is your own* (*to humeteron*). But Westcott and Hort read *to heemeteron* (our own) because of B L Origen. The difference is due to itacism in the pronunciation of *hu-* and *hee* alike (long

i). But the point in the passage calls for “yours” as correct. Earthly wealth is ours as a loan, a trust, withdrawn at any moment. It belongs to another (*en tooi allotriooi*). If you did not prove faithful in this, who will give you what is really yours forever? Compare “rich toward God” (◀1721▶ Luke 12:21).

◀2163▶ **Luke 16:13** *Servant (oiketees)*. Household (*oikos*) servant. This is the only addition to ◀1161▶ Matthew 6:24 where otherwise the language is precisely the same, which see. Either Matthew or Luke has put the *logion* in the wrong place or Jesus spoke it twice. It suits perfectly each context. There is no real reason for objecting to repetition of favourite sayings by Jesus.

◀2164▶ **Luke 16:14** *Who were lovers of money (philarguroi huparchontes)*. Literally, being lovers of money. *Philarguroi* is an old word, but in the N.T. only here and ◀5182▶ 2 Timothy 3:2. It is from *philos* and *arguros*.

*Heard (eekouon)*. Imperfect active, were listening (all the while Jesus was talking to the disciples (verses 1-13).

*And they scoffed at him (kai exemukteerizon)*. Imperfect active again of *ekmukteerizoo*. LXX where late writers use simple verb. In the N.T. only here and ◀1735▶ Luke 23:35. It means to turn out or up the nose at one, to sneer, to scoff. The Romans had a phrase, *naso adunco suspendere*, to hang on the hooked nose (the subject of ridicule). These money-loving Pharisees were quick to see that the words of Jesus about the wise use of money applied to them. They had stood without comment the three parables aimed directly at them (the lost sheep, the lost coin, the lost son). But now they do not remain quiet while they hear the fourth parable spoken to the disciples. No words were apparently spoken, but their eyes, noses, faces were eloquent with a fine disdain.

◀2165▶ **Luke 16:15** *That justify yourselves (hoi dikaiountes heautous)*. They were past-masters at that and were doing it now by upturned noses.

*An abomination in the sight of God (bdelugma enoopian tou theou)*. See on ◀1215▶ Matthew 24:15; ◀1134▶ Mark 13:14 for this LXX word for a detestable thing as when Antiochus Epiphanes set up an altar to Zeus in place of that to Jehovah. There is withering scorn in the use of this phrase by Jesus to these pious pretenders.

**Luke 16:16** *Entereth violently into it (eis auteen biazetai)*. A corresponding saying occurs in **Matthew 11:12** in a very different context. In both the verb *biazetai*, occurs also, but nowhere else in the N.T. It is present middle here and can be middle or passive in Matthew, which see. It is rare in late prose. Deissmann (*Bible Studies*, p. 258) cites an inscription where *biazomai* is reflexive middle and used absolutely. Here the meaning clearly is that everyone forces his way into the kingdom of God, a plea for moral enthusiasm and spiritual passion and energy that some today affect to despise.

**Luke 16:17** *One tittle (mian kerean)*. See on **Matthew 5:18**.

**Luke 16:18** *Committeth adultery (moicheuei)*. Another repeated saying of Christ (**Matthew 5:32**; **Mark 10:11f.**; **Matthew 19:9f.**). Adultery remains adultery, divorce or no divorce, remarriage or no marriage.

**Luke 16:19** *He was clothed (enedidusketo)*. Imperfect middle of *enediduskoo*, a late intensive form of *enduoo*. He clothed himself in or with. It was his habit.

*Purple (porphuran)*. This purple dye was obtained from the purple fish, a species of mussel or *murex* (1Macc. 4:23). It was very costly and was used for the upper garment by the wealthy and princes (royal purple). They had three shades of purple (deep violet, deep scarlet or crimson, deep blue). See also **Mark 15:17,20**; **Revelation 18:12**.

*Fine linen (busson)*.

*Byssus* or Egyptian flax (India and Achaia also). It is a yellowed flax from which fine linen was made for undergarments. It was used for wrapping mummies. "Some of the Egyptian linen was so fine that it was called *woven air*" (Vincent). Here only in the N.T. for the adjective *bussinos* occurs in **Revelation 18:12**; **19:8,14**.

*Faring sumptuously (euphrainomenos lamproos)*.

*Making merry brilliantly*. The verb *euphrainomai* we have already had in **Luke 12:19**; **15:23,25,32**. *Lamproos* is an old adverb from *lampros*, brilliant, shining, splendid, magnificent. It occurs here only in the N.T. This parable apparently was meant for the Pharisees (verse 14) who were lovers of money. It shows the wrong use of money and opportunity.

**Luke 16:20** *Beggar (ptoochos)*. Original meaning of this old word. See on **Matthew 5:3**. The name Lazarus is from *Eleazaros*, “God a help,” and was a common one. *Lazar* in English means one afflicted with a pestilential disease.

*Was laid (ebebleeto)*. Past perfect passive of the common verb *balloo*. He had been flung there and was still there, “as if contemptuous roughness is implied” (Plummer).

*At his gate (pros ton puloona autou)*. Right in front of the large portico or gateway, not necessarily a part of the grand house, porch in **Matthew 26:71**.

*Full of sores (heilkoomenos)*. Perfect passive participle of *helkoo*, to make sore, to ulcerate, from *helkos*, ulcer (Latin *ulcus*). See use of *helkos* in verse 21. Common in Hippocrates and other medical writers. Here only in the N.T.

**Luke 16:21** *With the crumbs that fell (apo toon piptontoon)*. From the things that fell from time to time. The language reminds one of **Luke 15:16** (the prodigal son) and the Syro-Phoenician woman (**Mark 7:28**). Only it does not follow that this beggar did not get the scraps from the rich man’s table. Probably he did, though nothing more. Even the wild street dogs would get them also.

*Yea, even the dogs (alla kai hoi kunes)*. For *alla kai* see also **Luke 12:7; 24:22**. *Alla* can mean “yea,” though it often means “but.” Here it depends on how one construes Luke’s meaning. If he means that he was dependent on casual scraps and it was so bad that even the wild dogs moreover were his companions in misery, the climax came that he was able to drive away the dogs. The other view is that his hunger was unsatisfied, but even the dogs increased his misery.

*Licked his sores (epeleichon ta helkee autou)*. Imperfect active of *epileichoo*, a late vernacular *Koin*, verb, to lick over the surface. It is not clear whether the licking of the sores by the dogs added to the misery of Lazarus or gave a measure of comfort, as he lay in his helpless condition. “Furrer speaks of witnessing dogs and lepers waiting together for the refuse” (Bruce). It was a scramble between the dogs and Lazarus.

**Luke 16:22** *Was borne (apenechtheenai)*. First aorist passive infinitive from *apopheroo*, a common compound defective verb. The

accusative case of general reference (*auton*) is common with the infinitive in such clauses after *egeneto*, like indirect discourse. It is his soul, of course, that was so borne by the angels, not his body.

*Into Abraham's bosom* (*eis ton holpon Abraam*). To be in Abraham's bosom is to the Jew to be in Paradise. In <sup><4018></sup>John 1:18 the Logos is in the bosom of the Father. Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob are in heaven and welcome those who come (<sup><4081></sup>Matthew 8:11; 4Macc. 14:17). The beloved disciple reclined on the bosom of Jesus at the last passover (<sup><4133></sup>John 13:23) and this fact indicates special favour. So the welcome to Lazarus was unusual.

*Was buried* (*etaphee*). Second aorist (effective) passive of the common verb *thapto*. Apparently in contrast with the angelic visitation to the beggar.

<sup><2163></sup>**Luke 16:23** *In Hades* (*en tooi Hfideei*). See on <sup><2168></sup>Matthew 16:18 for discussion of this word. Lazarus was in Hades also for both Paradise (Abraham's bosom) and Gehenna are in the unseen world beyond the grave.

*In torments* (*en basanois*). The touchstone by which gold and other metals were tested, then the rack for torturing people. Old word, but in the N.T. only here, <sup><2168></sup>Luke 16:28; <sup><4024></sup>Matthew 4:24.

*Sees* (*horfi*). Dramatic present indicative. The Jews believed that Gehenna and Paradise were close together. This detail in the parable does not demand that we believe it. The picture calls for it.

*From afar* (*apo makrothen*). Pleonastic use of *apo* as *makrothen* means *from afar*.

<sup><2164></sup>**Luke 16:24** *That he may dip* (*hina bapseei*). First aorist active subjunctive of *bapto*, common verb, to dip.

*In water* (*hudatos*). Genitive, the specifying case, water and not something else.

*Cool* (*katapsuxeei*). First aorist active subjunctive of *katapsuchoo*, a late Greek compound, to cool off, to make cool. Only here in the N.T. but common in medical books. Note perfective use of *kata-* (down). A small service that will be welcome.

*For I am in anguish* (*hoti odunoomai*). The active has a causative sense to cause intense pain, the middle to torment oneself (<sup><418></sup>Luke 2:48; <sup><418></sup>Acts 20:38), the passive to be translated as here. Common verb, but no other examples in the N.T.

<sup><216></sup>**Luke 16:25** *Receivedst* (*apelabes*). Second aorist indicative of *apolambanoo*, old verb to get back what is promised and in full. See also <sup><418></sup>Luke 6:34; 18:30; <sup><234></sup>Luke 23:41.

*Evil things* (*ta kaka*). Not “his,” but “the evil things” that came upon him.

*Thou art in anguish* (*odunfsai*). Like *kauchfsai* in <sup><417></sup>Romans 2:17. They contracted *-aesai* without the loss of *s*. Common in the *Koin*,.

<sup><216></sup>**Luke 16:26** *Beside all this* (*en pfsi toutois*).

*In all these things* (or regions).

*Gulf* (*chasma*). An old word from *chainoo*, to yawn, our chasm, a gaping opening. Only here in the N.T.

*Is fixed* (*esteeriktai*). Perfect passive indicative of *steerizoo*, old verb (see on <sup><418></sup>Luke 9:51). Permanent chasm.

*May not be able* (*mee dunooantai*). Present middle subjunctive of *dunamai*. The chasm is there on purpose (

*that not, hopoos mee*) to prevent communication. <sup><417></sup>Luke 16:27

*That you send him* (*hina pempseeis auton*). As if he had not had a fair warning and opportunity. The Roman Catholics probably justify prayer to saints from this petition from the Rich Man to Abraham, but both are in Hades (the other world). It is to be observed besides, that Abraham makes no effort to communicate with the five brothers. But heavenly recognition is clearly assumed. Dante has a famous description of his visit to the damned (*Purg.* iii, 114).

<sup><216></sup>**Luke 16:28** *That he may testify* (*hopoos diamarturetai*). An old verb for solemn and thorough (*dia-*) witness. The Rich Man labours under the delusion that his five brothers will believe the testimony of Lazarus as a man from the dead.



<2163> **Luke 16:29** *Let them hear them* (*akousatoosan autoon*). Even the heathen have the evidence of nature to show the existence of God as Paul argues in Romans so that they are without excuse (~~<4111>~~ Romans 1:20f.).

<2163> **Luke 16:30** *They will repent* (*metanooesousin*). The Rich Man had failed to do this and he now sees that it is the one thing lacking. It is not wealth, not poverty, not alms, not influence, but repentance that is needed. He had thought repentance was for others, not for all.

<2163> **Luke 16:31** *Neither will they be persuaded* (*oud' peistheesontai*). First future passive of *peithoo*. Gressmann calls attention to the fact that Jesus is saying this in the conclusion of the parable. It is a sharp discouragement against efforts today to communicate with the dead.

“Saul was not led to repentance when he saw Samuel at Endor nor were the Pharisees when they saw Lazarus come forth from the tomb. The Pharisees tried to put Lazarus to death and to explain away the resurrection of Jesus” (Plummer).

Alford comments on the curious fact that Lazarus was the name of the one who did rise from the dead but whose return from the dead “was the immediate exciting cause of their (Pharisees) crowning act of unbelief.”

## CHAPTER 17

☞ **Luke 17:1** *It is impossible* (*anendekton estin*). See *ouk endechetai* in 13:33. Alpha privative (*an-*) and *endektos*, verbal adjective, from *endechomai*. The word occurs only in late Greek and only here in the N.T. The meaning is inadmissible, unallowable.

*But that occasions of stumbling should come* (*tou ta skandala mee elthein*). This genitive articular infinitive is not easy to explain. In ☞ Acts 10:25 there is another example where the genitive articular infinitive seems to be used as a nominative (Robertson, *Grammar*, p. 1040). The loose Hebrew infinitive construction may have a bearing here, but one may recall that the original infinitives were either locatives (*-eni*) or datives (*-ai*). *Ta skandala* is simply the accusative of general reference. Literally, the not coming as to occasions of stumbling. For *skandalon* (a trap) see on ☞ Matthew 5:29; 16:23. It is here only in Luke. The positive form of this saying appears in ☞ Matthew 18:7, which see.

☞ **Luke 17:2** *It were well for him* (*lusitelei autooi*). An old word, but only here in the N.T., from *lusitelees* and this from *luoo*, to pay, and *ta telee*, the taxes. So it pays the taxes, it returns expenses, it is profitable. Literally here, “It is profitable for him” (dative case, *autooi*). Matthew has *sumpherei* (it is advantageous, bears together for).

*If a millstone were hanged* (*ei lithos mulikos perikeitai*). Literally, “if a millstone is hanged.” Present passive indicative from *perikeimai* (to lie or be placed around). It is used as a perfect passive of *perititheemi*. So it is a first-class condition, determined as fulfilled, not second-class as the English translations imply. *Mulikos* is simply a stone (*lithos*), belonging to a mill. Here only in the text of Westcott and Hort, not in ☞ Mark 9:42 which is like ☞ Matthew 18:6 *mulos onikos* where the upper millstone is turned by an ass, which see.

*Were thrown* (*erriptai*). Perfect passive indicative from *rhiptoo*, old verb. Literally, is thrown or has been thrown or cast or hurled. Mark has *bebleetai* and Matthew *katapontistheei*, which see, all three verbs vivid and expressive. Rather than (*ee*). The comparative is not here expressed before *ee* as one would expect. It is implied in *lusitelei*. See the same idiom in ☞ Luke 15:7.

<217b> **Luke 17:3** *If thy brother sin (ean hamarteei)*. Second aorist (ingressive) subjunctive in condition of third class.

<217b> **Luke 17:4** *Seven times in a day (heptakis tees heemeras)*. Seven times within the day. On another occasion Peter's question (<4182b> Matthew 18:21) brought Christ's answer "seventy times seven" (verse 22), which see. Seven times during the day would be hard enough for the same offender.

<217b> **Luke 17:5** *Increase (prothes)*. Second aorist active imperative of *prostithemi*, to add to. Bruce thinks that this sounds much like the stereotyped petition in church prayers. A little reflection will show that they should answer the prayer themselves.

<217b> **Luke 17:6** *If ye have (ei echete)*. Condition of the first class, assumed to be true.

*Ye would say (elegete an)*. Imperfect active with *an* and so a conclusion (apodosis) of the second class, determined as unfulfilled, a mixed condition therefore.

*Sycamine tree (sukaminooi)*. At the present time both the black mulberry (sycamine) and the white mulberry (sycamore) exist in Palestine. Luke alone in the N.T. uses either word, the sycamine here, the sycamore in 19:4. The distinction is not observed in the LXX, but it is observed in the late Greek medical writers for both trees have medicinal properties. Hence it may be assumed that Luke, as a physician, makes the distinction. Both trees differ from the English sycamore. In <4172b> Matthew 17:20 we have "mountain" in place of "sycamine tree."

*Be thou rooted up (ekrizootheeti)*. First aorist passive imperative as is *phuteutheeti*.

*Would have obeyed (hupeekousen an)*. First aorist active indicative with *an*, apodosis of a second-class condition (note aorist tense here, imperfect *elegete*).

<217c> **Luke 17:7** *Sit down to meat (anapese)*. Recline (for the meal). Literally, fall up (or back).

<217b> **Luke 17:8** *And will not rather say (all' ouk erei)*.

*But will not say? Ouk* in a question expects the affirmative answer.

*Gird thyself* (*perizoosamenos*). Direct middle first aorist participle of *perizoosamenos*, to gird around.

*Till I have eaten and drunken* (*heoos phagoo kai pioo*). More exactly, till I eat and drink. The second aorist subjunctives are not future perfects in any sense, simply punctiliar action, effective aorist.

*Thou shalt eat and drink* (*phagesai kai piesai*). Future middle indicative second person singular, the uncontracted forms *-esai* as often in the *Koin*. These futures are from the aorist stems *ephagon* and *epion* without *sigma*.

~~2170~~ **Luke 17:9** *Does he thank?* (*mee echei charin*;). *Mee* expects the negative answer. *Echoo charin*, to have gratitude toward one, is an old Greek idiom (~~5012~~ 1 Timothy 1:12; ~~5003~~ 2 Timothy 1:3; ~~5123~~ Hebrews 12:28).

~~2170~~ **Luke 17:10** *Unprofitable* (*achreioi*). The Syriac Sinaitic omits “unprofitable.” The word is common in Greek literature, but in the N.T. only here and ~~4153~~ Matthew 25:30 where it means “useless” (*a* privative and *chreios* from *chraomai*, to use). The slave who only does what he is commanded by his master to do has gained no merit or credit.

“In point of fact it is not commands, but demands we have to deal with, arising out of special emergencies” (Bruce).

The slavish spirit gains no promotion in business life or in the kingdom of God.

~~2171~~ **Luke 17:11** *Through the midst of Samaria and Galilee* (*dia meson Samarias kai Galilaias*). This is the only instance in the N.T. of *dia* with the accusative in the local sense of “through.” Xenophon and Plato use *dia mesou* (genitive). Jesus was going from Ephraim (~~4154~~ John 11:54) north through the midst of Samaria and Galilee so as to cross over the Jordan near Bethshean and join the Galilean caravan down through Perea to Jerusalem. The Samaritans did not object to people going north away from Jerusalem, but did not like to see them going south towards the city (~~4155~~ Luke 9:51-56).

~~2172~~ **Luke 17:12** *Which stood afar off* (*hoi anesteesan porroothen*). The margin of Westcott and Hort reads simply *esteesan*. The compound read

by B means “rose up,” but they stood at a distance (<sup><1315></sup>Leviticus 13:45f.). The first healing of a leper (5:12-16) like this is given by Luke only.

<sup><2173></sup>**Luke 17:13** *Lifted up* (*eeran*). First aorist active of the liquid verb *airoo*.

<sup><2174></sup>**Luke 17:14** *As they went* (*en tooi hupagein autous*). Favourite Lukan idiom of *en* with articular infinitive as in 17:11 and often.

<sup><2176></sup>**Luke 17:16** *And he was a Samaritan* (*kai autos een Samareitees*). This touch colours the whole incident. The one man who felt grateful enough to come back and thank Jesus for the blessing was a despised Samaritan. The *autos* has point here.

<sup><2178></sup>**Luke 17:18** *Save this stranger* (*ei mee ho allogenees*). The old word was *alophulos* (<sup><4103></sup>Acts 10:28), but *allogenees* occurs in the LXX, Josephus, and inscriptions. Deissmann (*Light from the Ancient East*, p. 80) gives the inscription from the limestone block from the Temple of Israel in Jerusalem which uses this very word which may have been read by Jesus:

*Let no foreigner enter within the screen and enclosure surrounding the sanctuary* (*Meethena allogenee eisporeuesthai entos tou peri to hieron truphaktou kai peribolou*).

<sup><2171></sup>**Luke 17:20** *With observation* (*meta parateeseos*). Late Greek word from *parateereo*, to watch closely. Only here in the N.T. Medical writers use it of watching the symptoms of disease. It is used also of close astronomical observations. But close watching of external phenomena will not reveal the signs of the kingdom of God.

<sup><2172></sup>**Luke 17:21** *Within you* (*entos humoon*). This is the obvious, and, as I think, the necessary meaning of *entos*. The examples cited of the use of *entos* in Xenophon and Plato where *entos* means “among” do not bear that out when investigated. Field (*Ot. Norv.*) “contends that there is no clear instance of *entos* in the sense of among” (Bruce), and rightly so. What Jesus says to the Pharisees is that they, as others, are to look for the kingdom of God within themselves, not in outward displays and supernatural manifestations. It is not a localized display “Here” or “There.” It is in this sense that in <sup><2171></sup>Luke 11:20 Jesus spoke of the kingdom of God as “come upon you” (*ephthasen eph’ humfs*), speaking to Pharisees. The only other instance of *entos* in the N.T. (<sup><1236></sup>Matthew 23:26) necessarily

means “within” (“the inside of the cup”). There is, beside, the use of *entos* meaning “within” in the Oxyrhynchus Papyrus saying of Jesus of the Third Century (Deissmann, *Light from the Ancient East*, p. 426) which is interesting: “The kingdom of heaven is within you” (*entos humoon* as here in <2173> Luke 17:21).

<2173> **Luke 17:23** *Go not away nor follow after them (mee apeltheete meede diooxeete)*. Westcott and Hort bracket *apeltheete meede*. Note aorist subjunctive with *mee* in prohibition, ingressive aorist. Do not rush after those who set times and places for the second advent. The Messiah was already present in the first advent (verse 21) though the Pharisees did not know it.

<2174> **Luke 17:24** *Lighteneth (astraptousa)*. An old and common verb, though only here and 24:4 in the N.T. The second coming will be sudden and universally visible. There are still some poor souls who are waiting in Jerusalem under the delusion that Jesus will come there and nowhere else.

<2175> **Luke 17:25** *But first (prooton de)*. The second coming will be only after the Cross.

<2177> **Luke 17:27** *They ate, they drank, they married, they were given in marriage (eesthion, epinon, egamoun, egamizonto)*. Imperfects all of them vividly picturing the life of the time of Noah. But the other tenses are aorists (Noah entered *eiseelthen*, the flood came *elthen*, destroyed *apoolesen*).

<2178> **Luke 17:28** Note the same sharp contrast between the imperfects here (*ate eesthion, drank epinon, bought eegorazon, sold epooloun, planted ephuteuon, builded ooikodomoun*) and the aorists in verse 29 (*went out exeelthen, rained ebrexen, destroyed apoolesen*).

<2179> **Luke 17:30** *Is revealed (apokaluptetai)*. Prophetic and futuristic present passive indicative.

<2178> **Luke 17:31** *Let him not go down (mee katabatoo)*. Second aorist active imperative of *katabainoo* with *mee* in a prohibition in the third person singular. The usual idiom here would be *mee* and the aorist subjunctive. See <411815> Mark 13:15f.; <411817> Matthew 24:17f. when these words occur in the great eschatological discussion concerning flight before the destruction of Jerusalem. Here the application is “absolute indifference to

all worldly interests as the attitude of readiness for the Son of Man” (Plummer).

<2173> **Luke 17:32** *Remember Lot’s wife* (*mneemoneuete tees gunaikos Looi*). Here only in the N.T. A pertinent illustration to warn against looking back with yearning after what has been left behind (<01926> Genesis 19:26).

<2173> **Luke 17:33** *Shall preserve it* (*zoogoneesei auteen*). Or save it alive. Here only in the N.T. except <34613> 1 Timothy 6:13; <4076> Acts 7:19. It is a late word and common in medical writers, to bring forth alive (*zoos, genoo*) and here to keep alive.

<2173> **Luke 17:34** *In that night* (*tauteei teei nukti*). More vivid still, “on this night,” when Christ comes.

<2173> **Luke 17:35** *Shall be grinding* (*esontai aleethousai*). Periphrastic future active indicative of *aleethoo*, an old verb only in the N.T. here and <0244> Matthew 24:41.

*Together* (*epi to auto*). In the same place, near together as in <4001> Acts 2:1.

<2173> **Luke 17:37** *The eagles* (*hoi aetoi*). Or the vultures attracted by the carcass. This proverb is quoted also in <0243> Matthew 24:28. See <3327> Job 39:27-30; <3003> Hebrews 1:8; <3001> Hosea 8:1. Double compound (*epi-sun-*) in *epi-sun-achtheesontai* completes the picture.

## CHAPTER 18

**Luke 18:1** *To the end that* (*pros to dein*).

*With a view to the being necessary*, *pros* and the articular infinitive. The impersonal verb *dei* here is in the infinitive and has another infinitive loosely connected with it *proseuchesthai*, to pray.

*Not to faint* (*mee enkakein*). Literally, not to give in to evil (*en, kakeoo*, from *kakos*, bad or evil), to turn coward, lose heart, behave badly. A late verb used several times in the N.T. (~~400~~ 2 Corinthians 4:1,16, etc.).

18:2 Regarded not (*mee entrepomenos*). Present middle participle of *entrepo*, old verb, to turn one on himself, to shame one, to reverence one. This was a “hard-boiled” judge who knew no one as his superior. See on ~~1057~~ Matthew 21:37.

**Luke 18:3** *Came oft* (*eercheto*). Imperfect tense denotes repetitions, no adverb for “oft” in the Greek.

*Avenge me of* (*ekdikeeson me apo*). A late verb for doing justice, protecting one from another (note both *ek* and *apo*, here). Deissmann (*Light from the Ancient East*, pp. 420ff.) quotes a *steelee* of the second century B.C. with a prayer for vengeance for a Jewish girl that had been murdered which has this very verb *ekdikeoo*.

**Luke 18:4** *He would not* (*ouk eethelen*). Imperfect tense of continued refusal.

*Though* (*ei kai*). Concerning sentence, not *kai ei* (even if).

**Luke 18:5** *Yet* (*ge*). Delicate intensive particle of deep feeling as here.

*Because this widow troubleth me* (*dia to parechein moi kopon teen cheeran tauteen*). Literally, because of the furnishing me trouble as to this widow (accusative of general reference with the articular infinitive).

*Lest she wear me out* (*hina mee hupoopiazeei me*). Some take it that the judge is actually afraid that the widow may come and assault him, literally beat him under the eye. That idea would be best expressed here by the aorist tense.



◀2186▶ **Luke 18:6** *The unrighteous judge* (*ho kritees tees adikias*). The judge of unrighteousness (marked by unrighteousness), as in ▶2168▶ Luke 16:8 we have “the steward of unrighteousness,” the same idiom.

◀2187▶ **Luke 18:7** *And he is longsuffering* (*makrothumei*). This present active indicative comes in awkwardly after the aorist subjunctive *poieeseei* after *ou mee*, but this part of the question is positive. Probably *kai* here means “and yet” as so often (◀488▶ John 9:30; ▶2168▶ Luke 16:32, etc.). God delays taking vengeance on behalf of his people, not through indifference, but through patient forbearance.

◀2188▶ **Luke 18:8** *Howbeit* (*pleen*). It is not clear whether this sentence is also a question or a positive statement. There is no way to decide. Either will make sense though not quite the same sense. The use of *fra* before *heureesei* seems to indicate a question expecting a negative answer as in ▶488▶ Acts 8:30; ▶549▶ Romans 14:19. But here *fra* comes in the middle of the sentence instead of near the beginning, an unusual position for either inferential *fra* or interrogative *fra*. On the whole the interrogative *fra* is probably correct, meaning to question if the Son will find a persistence of faith like that of the widow.

◀2189▶ **Luke 18:9** *Set all others at naught* (*exouthenountas tous loipous*). A late verb *exoutheneoo*, like *oudeneoo*, from *outhen* (*ouden*), to consider or treat as nothing. In LXX and chiefly in Luke and Paul in the N.T.

◀2190▶ **Luke 18:10** *Stood* (*statheis*). First aorist passive participle of *histeemi*. Struck an attitude ostentatiously where he could be seen. Standing was the common Jewish posture in prayer (▶4085▶ Matthew 6:5; ▶4125▶ Mark 11:25).

*Prayed thus* (*tauta proseucheto*). Imperfect middle, was praying these things (given following).

*With himself* (*pros heauton*). A soliloquy with his own soul, a complacent recital of his own virtues for his own self-satisfaction, not fellowship with God, though he addresses God.

*I thank thee* (*eucharistoo soi*). But his gratitude to God is for his own virtues, not for God’s mercies to him. One of the rabbis offers a prayer like this of gratitude that he was in a class by himself because he was a Jew and

not a Gentile, because he was a Pharisee and not of the *am-haaretz* or common people, because he was a man and not a woman.

*Extortioners* (*harpages*). An old word, *harpax* from same root as *harpazoo*, to plunder. An adjective of only one gender, used of robbers and plunderers, grafters, like the publicans (<sup><4183></sup>Luke 3:13), whether wolves (<sup><4175></sup>Matthew 7:15) or men (<sup><4181></sup>1 Corinthians 5:19f.). The Pharisee cites the crimes of which he is not guilty.

*Or even* (*ee kai*). As the climax of iniquity (Bruce), he points to “this publican.” Zaccheus will admit robbery (<sup><4183></sup>Luke 19:8).

*God* (*ho theos*). Nominative form with the article as common with the vocative use of *theos* (so verse 13; <sup><4183></sup>John 20:28).

<sup><4182></sup>**Luke 18:12** *Twice in the week* (*dis tou sabbatou*). One fast a year was required by the law (<sup><4182></sup>Leviticus 16:29; <sup><4187></sup>Numbers 29:7). The Pharisees added others, twice a week between passover and pentecost, and between tabernacles and dedication of the temple.

*I get* (*ktoomai*). Present middle indicative, not perfect middle *kekteemai* (I possess). He gave a tithe of his income, not of his property.

<sup><4183></sup>**Luke 18:13** *Standing afar off* (*makrothen hestoos*). Second perfect active participle of *histeemi*, intransitive like *statheis* above. But no ostentation as with the Pharisee in verse 11. At a distance from the Pharisee, not from the sanctuary.

*Would not lift* (*ouk eethelen oude epfrai*). Negatives (double) imperfect of *thel*”, was not willing even to lift up, refused to lift (*epfrai*, first aorist active infinitive of the liquid compound verb, *ep-airoo*). Smote (*etupte*). Imperfect active of *tuptoo*, old verb, kept on smiting or beating. Worshippers usually lifted up their closed eyes to God.

*Be merciful* (*hilastheeti*). First aorist passive imperative of *hilaskomai*, an old verb, found also in LXX and inscriptions (*exhilaskomai*, Deissmann, *Bible Studies*, p. 224).

*A sinner* (*tooi hamartooloi*). The sinner, not a sinner. It is curious how modern scholars ignore this Greek article. The main point in the contrast lies in this article. The Pharisee thought of others as sinners. The publican thinks of himself alone as the sinner, not of others at all.

◀2184**Luke 18:14** *This man* (*houtos*). This despised publican referred to contemptuously in verse 11 as “this” (*houtos*) publican.

*Rather than the other* (*par’ ekeinon*). In comparison with (placed beside) that one. A neat Greek idiom after the perfect passive participle *dedikaiomenos*.

*For* (*hoti*). This moral maxim Christ had already used in 14:11. Plummer pertinently asks: “Why is it assumed that Jesus did not repeat his sayings?”

◀2185**Luke 18:15** *They brought* (*prosepheron*). Imperfect active, they were bringing. So ▶4103Mark 10:13.

*Their babes* (*ta brephee*). Old word for

*infants*. Here ▶4103Mark 10:13; ▶4093Matthew 19:13 have *paidia* (little children). Note “also” (*kai*) in Luke, not in Mark and Matthew.

*That he should touch them* (*hina autoon hapteetai*). Present middle subjunctive (linear action, repeatedly touch or one after the other), where ▶4103Mark 10:13 has aorist middle subjunctive (*hapsetai*).

*Rebuked* (*epetimoon*). Imperfect indicative active. Either inchoative began to rebuke, or continued, kept on rebuking. Matthew and Mark have the aorist *epetimeesan*.

◀2186**Luke 18:16** *Called* (*prosekalesato*). Indirect middle aorist indicative, called the children with their parents to himself and then rebuked the disciples for their rebuke of the parents. The language of Jesus is precisely that of ▶4104Mark 10:14 which see, and nearly that of ▶4094Matthew 19:14 which see also. The plea of Jesus that children be allowed to come to him is one that many parents need to heed. It is a tragedy to think of parents “forbidding” their children or of preachers doing the same or of both being stumbling-blocks to children.

◀2187**Luke 18:17** *As a little child* (*hoos paidion*). Jesus makes the child the model for those who seek entrance into the kingdom of God, not the adult the model for the child. He does not say that the child is already in the kingdom without coming to him. Jesus has made the child’s world by understanding the child and opening the door for him.

◀21818▶ **Luke 18:18** *Ruler (archoon)*. Not in ▶41107▶ Mark 10:17; ▶41916▶ Matthew 19:16.

*What shall I do to inherit? (Ti poieesas kleeronomeesoo)*; “By doing what shall I inherit?” Aorist active participle and future active indicative.

Precisely the same question is asked by the lawyer in ▶21025▶ Luke 10:25. This young man probably thought that by some one act he could obtain eternal life. He was ready to make a large expenditure for it.

*Good (agathon)*. See on ▶41107▶ Mark 10:17; ▶41916▶ Matthew 19:16 for discussion of this adjective for absolute goodness. Plummer observes that no Jewish rabbi was called “good” in direct address. The question of Jesus will show whether it was merely fulsome flattery on the part of the young man or whether he really put Jesus on a par with God. He must at any rate define his attitude towards Christ.

◀21822▶ **Luke 18:22** *One thing thou lackest yet (eti hen soi leipei)*. Literally, one thing still fails thee or is wanting to thee. An old verb with the dative of personal interest. ▶411021▶ Mark 10:21 has here *husterei se*, which see. It was an amazing compliment for one who was aiming at perfection (▶41921▶ Matthew 19:21). The youth evidently had great charm and was sincere in his claims.

*Distribute (diados)*. Second aorist active imperative of *diadidoomi* (give to various ones, *dia-*). Here Mark and Matthew simply have *dos* (give). The rest the same in all three Gospels.

◀21823▶ **Luke 18:23** *Became (egeneethee)*. First aorist passive indicative of *ginomai*. Like his countenance fell (*stugnasas*), in ▶411022▶ Mark 10:22.

*Exceedingly sorrowful (perilupos)*. Old adjective (*peri, lupee*) with perfective use of *peri*.

*Very rich (plousios sphodra)*. Rich exceedingly. Today, a multimillionaire.

◀21824▶ **Luke 18:24** *Shall they enter (eisporeuontai)*. Present middle indicative, futuristic present.

◀21825▶ **Luke 18:25** *Through a needle's eye (dia treematos belonees)*. Both words are old. *Treema* means a perforation or hole or eye and in the N.T. only here and ▶41924▶ Matthew 19:24. *Belonee* means originally the point of a spear and then a surgeon's needle. Here only in the N.T. ▶411025▶ Mark 10:25; ▶41924▶ Matthew 19:24 have *rhapsidos* for needle. This is probably a current

proverb for the impossible. The Talmud twice speaks of an elephant passing through the eye of a needle as being impossible.

◀D187▶ **Luke 18:26** *Then who (kai tis)*. Literally,

*and who*. The *kai* calls attention to what has just been said. Wealth was assumed to be mark of divine favour, not a hindrance to salvation.

◀D187▶ **Luke 18:27** *The impossible with men possible with God (ta adunata para anthroopois dunata para tooi theooi)*. Paradoxical, but true. Take your stand “beside” (*para*) God and the impossible becomes possible. Clearly then Jesus meant the humanly impossible by the parabolic proverb about the camel going through the needle’s eye. God can break the grip of gold on a man’s life, but even Jesus failed with this young ruler.

◀D188▶ **Luke 18:28** *Our own (ta idia)*. Our own things (home, business, etc.). Right here is where so many fail. Peter speaks here not in a spirit of boastfulness, but rather with his reactions from their consternation at what has happened and at the words of Jesus (Plummer).

◀D189▶ **Luke 18:30** *Shall not receive (ouchi mee labeei)*. Very strong double negative with aorist active subjunctive of *lambanoo*.

*Manifold more (pollaplasiona)*. Late Greek word, here alone in the N.T. save ▶D189▶ Matthew 19:29 where Westcott and Hort have it though many MSS. there read *hekatoplasiona* (a hundredfold) as in ▶D189▶ Mark 10:30.

◀D189▶ **Luke 18:31** *Took unto him (paralaboon)*. Second aorist active participle of *paralambanoo*. Taking along with himself. So ▶D189▶ Mark 10:32. ▶D189▶ Matthew 20:17 adds *kat’ idian* (apart). Jesus is making a special point of explaining his death to the Twelve.

*We go up (anabainomen)*. Present active indicative, we are going up.

*Unto the Son of man (tooi huiooi tou anthroopou)*. Dative case of personal interest. The position is amphibolous and the construction makes sense either with “shall be accomplished” (*telestheesetai*) or “that are written” (*ta gegrammena*), probably the former. Compare these minute details of the prophecy here (verses 32f.) with the words in ▶D189▶ Mark 10:33f.; ▶D189▶ Matthew 20:18f., which see.

◀2133▶ **Luke 18:33** *The third day* (*teei heemerfi teei triteei*). The day the third. In ▶1119▶ Matthew 20:19 it is “the third day” while in ▶4103▶ Mark 10:34 “after three days” occurs in the same sense, which see.

◀2134▶ **Luke 18:34** *And they perceived not* (*kai ouk eginookon*). Imperfect active. They kept on not perceiving. Twice already Luke has said this in the same sentence.

*They understood none of these things* (*ouden toutoon suneekan*). First aorist active indicative, a summary statement.

*This saying was hid from them* (*een to rheema touto kekrummenon ap' autoon*). Past perfect passive indicative (periphrastic), state of completion. It was a puzzling experience. No wonder that Luke tries three times to explain the continued failure of the apostles to understand Jesus. The words of Christ about his death ran counter to all their hopes and beliefs.

◀2135▶ **Luke 18:35** *Unto Jericho* (*eis Iereichoo*). See on ▶1119▶ Matthew 20:29; ▶4106▶ Mark 10:46, for discussion of the two Jerichos in Mark and Matt. (the old and the new as here).

*Begging* (*epaitoon*). Asking for something. He probably was by the wayside between the old Jericho and the new Roman Jericho. Mark gives his name Bartimaeus (▶2104▶ Luke 10:46). ▶1119▶ Matthew 20:30 mentions two.

◀2136▶ **Luke 18:36** *Inquired* (*epunthaneto*). Imperfect middle. Repeatedly inquired as he heard the tramp of the passing crowd going by (*diaporeuomenou*).

*What this meant* (*Ti eiee touto*). Literally, What it was. Without *an* the optative is due to indirect discourse, changed from *estin*. With *an* (margin of Westcott and Hort) the potential optative of the direct discourse is simply retained.

◀2137▶ **Luke 18:37** *Passeth by* (*parerchetai*). Present middle indicative retained in indirect discourse as *paragei* is in ▶1119▶ Matthew 20:30. No reason for differences of English tenses in the two passages (was passing by, passeth by).

◀2138▶ **Luke 18:38** *He cried* (*ebooesen*). Old verb, *boaoō*, to shout, as in ▶1118▶ Luke 9:38.

*Son of David (huie Daueid)*. Shows that he recognizes Jesus as the Messiah.

~~<2183>~~ **Luke 18:39** *That he should hold his peace (hina sigeeseei)*. Ingressive aorist subjunctive. That he should become silent; as with *hina sioopeeseei* in ~~<4108>~~ Mark 10:48.

*The more a great deal (pollooi mfillon)*. By much more as in ~~<4108>~~ Mark 10:48.

~~<2184>~~ **Luke 18:40** *Stood (statheis)*. First aorist passive where ~~<4109>~~ Mark 10:49; ~~<4112>~~ Matthew 20:32 have *stas* (second aorist active) translated “stood still.” One is as “still” as the other. The first is that Jesus “ stopped.”

*Be brought (achtheenai)*. First aorist infinitive in indirect command.

~~<2184>~~ **Luke 18:41** *What wilt thou that I should do unto thee? (Ti soi theleis poiesoo;)*. Same idiom in ~~<4105>~~ Mark 10:51; ~~<4112>~~ Matthew 20:32 which see, the use of *theloo* without *hina* with aorist subjunctive (or future indicative). See same references also for *hina anablepsoo* “that I may see again” without verb before *hina*. Three uses of *anablepoo* here (verses 41,42,43).

~~<2186>~~ **Luke 18:43** *Followed (eekolouthei)*. Imperfect active as in ~~<4102>~~ Mark 10:52. Either inchoative he began to follow, or descriptive, he was following.

## CHAPTER 19

◀2191▶ **Luke 19:1** *Was passing through* (*dieercheto*). Imperfect middle. Now Jesus was inside the Roman Jericho with the procession.

◀2192▶ **Luke 19:2** *Chief publican* (*architeloonees*). The word occurs nowhere else apparently but the meaning is clear from the other words with *archi-* like *archieurus* (chief priest) *archipoimeen* (chief shepherd). Jericho was an important trading point for balsam and other things and so Zacchaeus was the head of the tax collections in this region, a sort of commissioner of taxes who probably had other publicans serving under him.

◀2193▶ **Luke 19:3** *He sought* (*ezeetei*). Imperfect active. He was seeking, conative idea.

*Jesus who he was* (*leesoun tis estin*). Prolepsis, to see who Jesus was. He had heard so much about him. He wanted to see which one of the crowd was Jesus.

*For the crowd* (*apo tou ochlou*). He was short and the crowd was thick and close.

*Stature* (*teei heeliki fi*). No doubt of that meaning here and possibly so in ▶2125▶ Luke 2:52. Elsewhere “age” except ▶2125▶ Luke 12:25; ▶1127▶ Matthew 6:27 where it is probably “stature” also.

◀2194▶ **Luke 19:4** *Ran on before* (*prodragoon eis to emprosthen*). Second aorist active participle of *protrechoo* (defective verb). “Before” occurs twice (*pro-* and *eis to emprosthen*).

*Into a sycamore tree* (*epi sukomorean*). From *sukon*, fig, and *moron*, mulberry. The fig-mulberry and quite a different tree from the sycamine tree in ▶2176▶ Luke 17:6, which see. It bore a poor fruit which poor people ate (▶3174▶ Amos 7:14). It was a wide open tree with low branches so that Zacchaeus could easily climb into it.

*That way* (*ekeinees*). Feminine for *hodos* (way) is understood. Genitive case with *di* in composition (*dierchesthai*) or as an adverbial use.



◀2116▶ **Luke 19:5** *Make haste and come down* (*speusas katabeethi*).

Simultaneous aorist active participle (*speusas*) with the second aorist active imperative. “Come down in a hurry.”

◀2116▶ **Luke 19:6** *He made haste and came down* (*speusas katebee*). Luke repeats the very words of Jesus with the same idiom.

*Received him joyfully* (*hupedexato auton chairoon*). The very verb used of Martha’s welcome to Jesus (◀2118▶ Luke 10:38). “Joyfully” is the present active participle, “rejoicing” (*chairoon*).

◀2117▶ **Luke 19:7** *Murmured* (*diegogguzonto*). Imperfect middle of this compound onomatopoeic word *dia-gogguzoo*. In ◀2118▶ Luke 5:30 we have the simple *gogguzoo*, a late word like the cooing doves or the hum of bees. This compound with *dia-* is still rarer, but more expressive.

*To lodge* (*katalusai*). Jesus was the hero of this crowd from Galilee on their way to the passover. But here he had shocked their sensibilities and those of the people of Jericho by inviting himself to be the guest of this chief publican and notorious sinner who had robbed nearly everybody in the city by exorbitant taxes.

◀2118▶ **Luke 19:8** *Stood* (*statheis*). Apparently Jesus and Zacchaeus had come to the house of Zacchaeus and were about to enter when the murmur became such a roar that Zacchaeus turned round and faced the crowd.

*If I have wrongfully exacted aught of any man* (*ei tinos ti esukophanteesa*). A most significant admission and confession. It is a condition of the first class (*ei* and the aorist active indicative) that assumes it to be true. His own conscience was at work. He may have heard audible murmurs from the crowd. For the verb *sukophantein*, see discussion on 3:14, the only two instances in the N.T. He had extorted money wrongfully as they all knew.

*I return fourfold* (*apodidoomi tetraploun*). I offer to do it here and now on this spot. This was the Mosaic law (◀2201▶ Exodus 22:1; ◀2416▶ Numbers 5:6f.). Restitution is good proof of a change of heart. D. L. Moody used to preach it with great power. Without this the offer of Zacchaeus to give half his goods to the poor would be less effective. “It is an odd coincidence, nothing more, that the fig-mulberry (sycamore) should occur in connexion with the *fig*-shewer (sycophant).”

<sup><2190></sup>**Luke 19:10** *The lost (to apoloolos)*. The neuter as a collective whole, second perfect active participle of *apollumi*, to destroy. See Luke 15 for the idea of the lost.

<sup><2191></sup>**Luke 19:11** *He added and spake (prostheis eipen)*. Second aorist active participle of *prostitheemi* with *eipen*. It is a Hebrew idiom seen also in <sup><2191></sup>Luke 20:1f. he added to send (*prosetheto pempasai*) and in <sup><4113></sup>Acts 12:3 “he added to seize” (*prosetheto sullabein*). This undoubted Hebraism occurs in the N.T. in Luke only, probably due to the influence of the LXX on Luke the Greek Christian.

*To appear (anaphainesthai)*. Present passive infinitive of an old verb to be made manifest, to be shown up. In the N.T. only here and <sup><2103></sup>Acts 21:3.

<sup><2192></sup>**Luke 19:12** *To take to himself a kingdom (labein heautooi basileian)*. Second aorist active infinitive of *lambanoo* with the dative reflexive *heautooi* where the middle voice could have been used. Apparently this parable has the historical basis of Archelaus who actually went from Jerusalem to Rome on this very errand to get a kingdom in Palestine and to come back to it. This happened while Jesus was a boy in Nazareth and it was a matter of common knowledge.

<sup><2193></sup>**Luke 19:13** *Trade ye herewith till I come (pragmateusasthe en hooi erchomai)*. First aorist middle imperative of *pragmateuomai*, an old verb from *prfgma*, business. Here only in the N.T. Westcott and Hort in their text read *pragmateusasthai*, first aorist middle infinitive (*-ai* and *-e* were pronounced alike). The infinitive makes it indirect discourse, the imperative direct.

*While I am coming* is what *en hooi erchomai* really means.

<sup><2194></sup>**Luke 19:14** *His citizens (hoi politai autou)*. That actually happened with Archelaus.

<sup><2195></sup>**Luke 19:15** *When he was come back again (en tooi epanelthein auton)*. “On the coming back again as to him.” Luke’s favourite idiom of the articular infinitive after *en* and with the accusative of general reference.

*Had given (dedookai)*. Past perfect active indicative without augment of *didoomi*.

*That he might know (hina gnoi)*. Second aorist active subjunctive of *ginoskoo*. The optative would be *gnoiee*.

<2196> **Luke 19:16** *Hath made (proseergasato)*. Only here in the N.T. Note *pros-* in addition, besides, more.

<2197> **Luke 19:17** *Have thou authority (isthi exousian echoon)*. Periphrastic present active imperative. Keep on having authority.

<2199> **Luke 19:19** *Be thou also over (kai su epano ginou)*. Present middle imperative. Keep on becoming over. There is no real reason for identifying this parable of the pounds with the parable of the talents in Matthew 25. The versatility of Jesus needs to be remembered by those who seek to flatten out everything.

<2191> **Luke 19:20** *I kept (eichon)*. Imperfect active of *echoo*. I kept on keeping.

*Laid up (apokeimeneen)*. Present passive participle agreeing with *heen* (which), used often as perfect passive of *titheemi* as here, laid away or off (*apo*). It is not the periphrastic construction, but two separate verbs, each with its own force.

*In a napkin (en soudariooi)*. A Latin word *sudarium* from *sudor* (sweat) transliterated into Greek, a sweatcloth handkerchief or napkin. Found in papyrus marriage contracts as part of the dowry (second and third centuries A.D., Deissmann, *Bible Studies*, p. 223). Used also for swathing the head of the dead (<8144>John 11:44; 20:7).

<2192> **Luke 19:21** *I feared (ephoboumeen)*. Imperfect middle, I continued to fear.

*Austere (austeros)*. Old Greek word from *auoo*, to dry up. Reproduced in Latin *austeros* and English *austere*. It means rough to the taste, stringent. Here only in the N.T. Compare *skleeros* (hard) in <4234>Matthew 25:24. “Harsh in flavour, then in disposition” (Bruce).

*Thou layedst not down (ouk etheekas)*. Probably a proverb for a grasping profiteer.

<2192> **Luke 19:22** *Thou knewest (eideis)*. Second past perfect of *horaoo*, to see, used as imperfect of *oida*, to know. Either it must be taken as a

question as Westcott and Hort do or be understood as sarcasm as the Revised Version has it. The words of the wicked (*poneeros*) slave are turned to his own condemnation.

◀2923- Luke 19:23 *Then wherefore (kai dia ti)*. Note this inferential use of *kai-* in that case.

*Into the bank (epi trapezan)*. Literally,

*upon a table*. This old word *trapeza*, from *tetrapeza* (*tetra*, four, *pous*, foot). It means then any table (◀40723- Mark 7:28), food on the table (◀4163- Acts 16:34), feast or banquet (◀5110- Romans 11:9), table of the money-changers (◀4025- John 2:15; ◀41115- Mark 11:15; ◀4212- Matthew 21:12), or bank as here. Our word bank is from Old English *bench*.

*With interest (sun tokooi)*. Not usury, but proper and legal interest. Old word from *tiktoo*, to bring forth. In the N.T. only here and ◀4257- Matthew 25:27.

*Should have required it (an auto epraxa)*. Conclusion of second-class condition the condition or apodosis being implied in the participle “coming” (*elthoon*), and the previous question. On this technical use of *prassoo* (*epraxa*) see ◀4013- Luke 3:13.

◀2925- Luke 19:25 *And they said unto him (kai eipan autooi)*. Probably the eager audience who had been listening to this wonderful parable interrupted Jesus at this point because of this sudden turn when the one pound is given to the man who has ten pounds. If so, it shows plainly how keenly they followed the story which Jesus was giving because of their excitement about the kingdom (◀2911- Luke 19:11).

◀2926- Luke 19:26 *That hath not (tou mee echontos)*. The present tense of *echoo* here, that keeps on not having, probably approaches the idea of acquiring or getting, the one who keeps on not acquiring. This is the law of nature and of grace.

◀2927- Luke 19:27 *Reign (basileusai)*. First aorist active infinitive, ingressive aorist, come to rule.

*Slay (katasphaxate)*. First aorist active imperative of *katasphazoo*, to slaughter, an old verb, but only here in the N.T.

<2128> **Luke 19:28** *Went on before* (*eporeueto emprosthen*). Imperfect middle. Jesus left the parable to do its work and slowly went on his way up the hill to Jerusalem.

<2129> **Luke 19:29** *Unto Bethphage and Bethany* (*eis Beethphagee kai Beethania*). Both indeclinable forms of the Hebrew or Aramaic names. In <4101>Mark 11:1 “Bethany” is inflected regularly, which see.

*Of Olives* (*Elaioon*). As in <4101>Mark 11:1; <4201>Matthew 21:1, though some editors take it to be, not the genitive plural of *elaia* (olive tree), but the name of the place Olivet. In the Greek it is just a matter of accent (circumflex or acute) Olivet is correct in <4012>Acts 1:12. See on <4201>Matthew 21:1ff.; <4101>Mark 11:1ff. for details.

<2130> **Luke 19:30** *Whereon no man ever yet sat* (*eph' hon oudeis poopote anthroopoon ekathisen*). Plummer holds that this fact indicated to the disciples a royal progress into the city of a piece with the Virgin Birth of Jesus and the burial in a new tomb.

<2132> **Luke 19:32** *As he had said unto them* (*kathoos eipen autois*). Luke alone notes this item.

<2133> **Luke 19:33** *As they were loosing* (*luontoon autoon*). Genitive absolute.

*The owners thereof* (*hoi kurioi autou*). The same word *kurios* used of the Lord Jesus in verse 31 (and 34) and which these “owners” would understand. See on <4203>Matthew 21:3; <4103>Mark 11:3 for *kurios* used by Jesus about himself with the expectation that these disciples would recognize him by that title as they did. The word in common use for the Roman emperor and in the LXX to translate the Hebrew *Elohim* (God).

<2135> **Luke 19:35** *Set Jesus thereon* (*epebibasan ton Ieesoun*). First aorist active. Old verb, to cause to mount, causative verb from *bainoo*, to go. In the N.T. only here and <2134>Luke 10:34; <4224>Acts 23:24.

<2136> **Luke 19:36** *They spread* (*hupestroonnuon*). Imperfect active describing the continued spreading as they went on. *Hupestroonnuoo* is a late form of the old verb *hupostorennumi*. Here only in the N.T.

<2137> **Luke 19:37** *At the descent* (*pros teei katabasei*). Epexegetic of “drawing nigh.” They were going by the southern slope of the Mount of

Olives. As they turned down to the city, the grand view stirred the crowd to rapturous enthusiasm. This was the first sight of the city on this route which is soon obscured in the descent. The second view bursts out again (verse 41). It was a shout of triumph from the multitude with their long pent-up enthusiasm (verse 11), restrained no longer by the parable of the pounds.

*For all the mighty works which they had seen* (*peri pasoon eidon dunameoon*). Neat Greek idiom, incorporation of the antecedent (*dunameoon*) into the relative clause and attraction of the case of the relative from the accusative *has* to the genitive *hoon*. And note “all.” The climax had come, Lazarus, Bartimaeus, and the rest.

**Luke 19:38** *The king cometh* (*ho erchomenos, ho basileus*). The Messianic hopes of the people were now all ablaze with expectation of immediate realization. A year ago in Galilee he had frustrated their plans for a revolutionary movement “to take him by force to make him king” (<sup><4165></sup>John 6:15). The phrase “the coming king” like “the coming prophet” (<sup><4164></sup>John 6:14; <sup><5185></sup>Deuteronomy 18:15) expressed the hope of the long-looked-for Messiah. They are singing from the Hallel in their joy that Jesus at last is making public proclamation of his Messiahship.

*Peace in heaven, and glory in the highest* (*en ouranooi eireenee kai doxa en hupsistois*). This language reminds one strongly of the song of the angels at the birth of Jesus (<sup><4114></sup>Luke 2:14). <sup><4110></sup>Mark 11:10; <sup><4109></sup>Matthew 21:9 have “Hosannah in the highest.”

**Luke 19:39** *Some of the Pharisees* (*tines toon Pharisaioon*). Luke seems to imply by “from the multitude” (*apo tou ochlou*) that these Pharisees were in the procession, perhaps half-hearted followers of the mob. But <sup><4129></sup>John 12:19 speaks of Pharisees who stood off from the procession and blamed each other for their failure and the triumph of Jesus. These may represent the bolder spirits of their same group who dared to demand of Jesus that he rebuke his disciples.

**Luke 19:40** *If these shall hold their peace* (*ean houtoi sioopeousin*). A condition of the first class, determined as fulfilled. The use of *ean* rather than *ei* cuts no figure in the case (see <sup><4181></sup>Acts 8:31; <sup><4178></sup>1 Thessalonians 3:8; <sup><4165></sup>1 John 5:15). The kind of condition is determined by the mode which is here indicative. The future tense by its very nature does approximate the aorist subjunctive, but after all it is the indicative.

*The stones will cry out* (*hoi lithoi kraxousin*). A proverb for the impossible happening.

~~2194~~ **Luke 19:41** *Wept* (*eklausen*). Ingressive aorist active indicative, burst into tears. Probably audible weeping.

~~2192~~ **Luke 19:42** *If thou hadst known* (*ei egnoos*). Second aorist active indicative of *ginooskoo*. Second-class condition, determined as unfulfilled.

*Even thou* (*kai su*). Emphatic position of the subject.

*But now* (*nun de*). Aposiopesis. The conclusion is not expressed and the sudden breaking off and change of structure is most impressive.

*They are hid* (*ekrubee*). Second aorist passive indicative of *kruptoo*, common verb, to hide.

~~2193~~ **Luke 19:43** *Shall cast up a bank* (*parembalousin charaka*). Future active indicative of *parembaloo*, a double compound (*para, en, balloo*) of long usage, finally in a military sense of line of battle or in camp. Here alone in the N.T. So also the word *charaka* (*charax*) for bank, stake, palisade, rampart, is here alone in the N.T., though common enough in the old Greek.

*Compass thee round* (*perikukloosousin se*). Future active indicative. Another common compound to make a circle (*kuklos*) around (*peri*), though here only in the N.T.

*Keep thee in* (*sunexousin se*). Shall hold thee together on every side (*pantohen*). See about *sunechoo* on ~~2193~~ Luke 4:38.

~~2194~~ **Luke 19:44** *Shall dash to the ground* (*edaphiousin*). Attic future of *edaphizoo*, to beat level, to raze to the ground, a rare verb from *edaphos*, bottom, base, ground (~~4217~~ Acts 22:7), here alone in the N.T.

*Because* (*anth' hoon*). "In return for which things."

*Thou knewest not* (*ouk egnoos*). Applying the very words of the lament in the condition in verse 42. This vivid prophecy of the destruction of Jerusalem is used by those who deny predictive prophecy even for Jesus as proof that Luke wrote the Gospel after the destruction of Jerusalem. But it is no proof at all to those who concede to Jesus adequate knowledge of his mission and claims.

<295> **Luke 19:45** *Began to cast out (eerxato ekballein)*. So <4115> Mark 11:15 whereas <4212> Matthew 21:12 has simply “he cast out.” See Mark and Matthew for discussion of this second cleansing of the temple at the close of the public ministry in relation to the one at the beginning in <4324> John 2:14-22. There is nothing gained by accusing John or the Synoptics of a gross chronological blunder. There was abundant time in these three years for all the abuses to be revived.

<297> **Luke 19:47** *He was teaching (een didaskoon)*. Periphrastic imperfect.

*Daily (to kath' heemeran)*. Note the accusative neuter article, “as to the according to the day,” very awkward English surely, but perfectly good Greek. The same idiom occurs in <2103> Luke 11:3.

*Sought (ezeetoun)*. Imperfect active, conative imperfect, were seeking, trying to seek.

*The principal men of the people (hoi prootoi tou laou)*. The first men of the people. The position after the verb and apart from the chief priests and the scribes calls special attention to them. Some of these “first men” were chief priests or scribes, but not all of them. The lights and leaders of Jerusalem were bent on the destruction (*apolesai*) of Jesus. The raising of Lazarus from the dead brought them together for this action (<4147> John 11:47-53; 12:9-11).

<298> **Luke 19:48** *They could not find (ouch heeuriskon)*. Imperfect active. They kept on not finding.

*What they might do (to ti poieesoosin)*. First aorist active deliberative subjunctive in a direct question retained in the indirect. Note the article *to* (neuter accusative) with the question.

*Hung upon him (exkremeto autou)*. Imperfect middle of *ekkremamai*, an old verb (*mi* form) to hang from, here only in the N.T. The form is an *omega* form from *ekkremomai*, a constant tendency to the *omega* form in the *Koin.*. It pictures the whole nation (save the leaders in verse 47) hanging upon the words of Jesus as if in suspense in mid-air, rapt attention that angered these same leaders. Tyndale renders it “stuck by him.”



## CHAPTER 20

◀211▶ **Luke 20:1** *On one of the days (en mi fi toon heemeroon)*. Luke's favourite way of indicating time. It was the last day of the temple teaching (Tuesday). ▶211▶ Luke 20:1-19 is to be compared with ▶4112▶ Mark 11:27-12:12; ▶1223▶ Matthew 21:23-46.

*There came upon him (epesteesan)*. Second aorist active indicative, ingressive aorist of *ephisteemi*, old and common verb, stood up against him, with the notion of sudden appearance. These leaders (cf. ▶2194▶ Luke 19:47) had determined to attack Jesus on this morning, both Sadducees (chief priests) and Pharisees (scribes), a formal delegation from the Sanhedrin.

◀211▶ **Luke 20:2** *Tell us (eipon heemin)*. Luke adds these words to what Mark and Matthew have. Second aorist active imperative for the old form *eipe* and with ending *-on* of the first aorist active. Westcott and Hort punctuate the rest of the sentence as an indirect question after *eipon*, but the Revised Version puts a semicolon after "us" and retains the direct question. The Greek manuscripts have no punctuation.

◀211▶ **Luke 20:3** *Question (logon)*. Literally, word. So in ▶4112▶ Mark 11:29; ▶1224▶ Matthew 21:24.

◀211▶ **Luke 20:5** *They reasoned with themselves (sunelogisanto)*. First aorist middle of *sullogizomai*, to bring together accounts, an old word, only here in the N.T. Mark and Matthew have *dielogizonto* (imperfect middle of *dialogizomai*, a kindred verb, to reckon between one another, confer). This form (*dielogizonto*) in verse 14 below.

*If we shall say (ean eipoomen)*. Third-class condition with second aorist active subjunctive. Suppose we say! So in verse 6.

◀211▶ **Luke 20:6** *Will stone us (katalithasei)*. Late verb and here only in the N.T. Literally, will throw stones down on us, stone us down, overwhelm us with stones.

*They be persuaded (pepeismenos estin)*. Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of *peithoo*, to persuade, a settled state of persuasion, "is persuaded" (no reason for use of "be" here).

*That John was a prophet (Iooaneen propheeteen einai).* Accusative and infinitive in indirect assertion.

<207> **Luke 20:7** *That they knew not (mee eidenai).* Accusative and infinitive in indirect assertion again with the negative *mee* rather than *ou*.

<209> **Luke 20:9** *Vineyard (ampeloona).* Late word from *ampelos* (vine), place of vines. So in <4111> Mark 12:1; <4213> Matthew 21:33.

*Let it out (exedeto).* Second aorist middle of *ekdidoomi*, but with variable vowel *e* in place of *o* of the stem *do* (*exedoto*). Same form in Mark and Matthew.

*For a long time (chronous hikanous).* Accusative of extent of time, considerable times or periods of time. Not in Mark and Matthew, though all three have *apedeemeesen* (went off from home). See on <2076> Luke 7:6 for *hikanos*.

<210> **Luke 20:10** *At the season (kairooi).* The definite season for the fruit like *ho kairos toon karpoon* (<4213> Matthew 21:34). That they should give (*hina doosousin*). Future indicative with *hina* for purpose like the aorist subjunctive, though not so frequent.

<211> **Luke 20:11** *He sent yet another (prosetheto heteron pempasai).* Literally,

*he added to send another.* A clear Hebraism repeated in verse 12 and also in 19:11.

<212> **Luke 20:12** *They wounded (traumatisantes).* First aorist active participle of *traumatizoo*. An old verb, from *trauma*, a wound, but in the N.T. only here and <4496> Acts 19:16.

<213> **Luke 20:13** *What shall I do? (Ti poieesoo;).* Deliberative future indicative or aorist subjunctive (same form). This detail only in Luke. Note the variations in all three Gospels. All three have “will reverence” (*entrapeesontai*) for which see Matthew and Mark.

*It may be (isoos).* Perhaps, from *isos*, equal. Old adverb, but only here in the N.T.

<214> **Luke 20:14** *That the inheritance may be ours (hina heemoon geneetai hee kleronomia).* That the inheritance may become (*geneetai*,

second aorist middle subjunctive of *ginomai*). Here <sup><1213></sup>Matthew 21:39 has *schoomen* “let us get, ingressive aorist active subjunctive.” Cf. *echoomen*, present subjunctive of the same verb *echoo* in <sup><6101></sup>Romans 5:1; <sup><1117></sup>Mark 12:7 has “and it will be ours” (*estai*).

<sup><2116></sup>**Luke 20:16** *God forbid (mee genoito)*. Optative of wish about the future with *mee*. Literally,

*may it not happen*. No word “God” in the Greek. This was the pious protest of the defeated members of the Sanhedrin who began to see the turn of the parable against themselves.

<sup><2117></sup>**Luke 20:17** *He looked upon them (emblepsas autois)*. Not in Mark and Matthew. First aorist active participle of *emblepoo*, to look on. It was a piercing glance. The scripture quoted is from <sup><3822></sup>Psalms 118:22 and is in <sup><1110></sup>Mark 11:10; <sup><1212></sup>Matthew 21:42, which see for the inverted attraction of the case *lithon* (stone) to that of the relative *hon* (which).

<sup><2118></sup>**Luke 20:18** *Shall be broken to pieces (sunthlastheesetai)*. Future passive indicative of *sunthlaoo*, a rather late compound, only here in the N.T. unless <sup><1244></sup>Matthew 21:44 is genuine. It means to shatter.

*Will scatter him as dust (likmeesei)*. From *likmaoo*, an old verb to winnow and then to grind to powder. Only here in the N.T. unless in <sup><1244></sup>Matthew 21:44 is genuine, which see.

<sup><2119></sup>**Luke 20:19** *To lay hands on him (epibalein ep' auton tas cheiras)*. Second aorist active infinitive of *epibaloo*, an old verb and either transitively as here or intransitively as in <sup><1067></sup>Mark 4:37. Vivid picture here where <sup><1122></sup>Mark 12:12; <sup><1246></sup>Matthew 21:46 has “to seize” (*kratesai*).

*In that very hour (en auteei teei hoorfi)*. Luke’s favourite idiom, in the hour itself. Not in Mark or Matthew and shows that the Sanhedrin were angry enough to force the climax then.

*And they feared (kai ephobeetheesan)*. Adversative use of *kai* = but they feared. Hence they refrained.

*For they perceived (egnoosan gar)*. The reason for their rage. Second aorist active indicative of *ginoosko*.

*Against them (pros autous)*. As in <sup><1122></sup>Mark 12:12. The cap fitted them and they saw it.

**<421> Luke 20:20** *They watched him* (*parateereesantes*). First aorist active participle of *parateereo*, a common Greek verb to watch on the side or insidiously or with evil intent as in **<4117> Luke 6:7** (*pareteerounto*) of the scribes and Pharisees. See on **<4182> Mark 3:2**. There is no “him” in the Greek. They were watching their chance.

*Spies* (*enkathetous*). An old verbal adjective from *enkathieemi*, to send down in or secretly. It means liars in wait who are suborned to spy out, one who is hired to trap one by crafty words. Only here in the N.T.

*Feigned themselves* (*hupokrinomenous heautous*). Hypocritically professing to be “righteous” (*dikaious*). “They posed as scrupulous persons with a difficulty of conscience” (Plummer).

*That they might take hold of his speech* (*hina epilaboontai autou logou*). Second aorist middle of *epilambanoo*, an old verb for seizing hold with the hands and uses as here the genitive case. These spies are for the purpose of (*hina*) catching hold of the talk of Jesus if they can get a grip anywhere. This is their direct purpose and the ultimate purpose or result is also stated, “so as to deliver him up” (*hooste paradounai auton*). Second aorist active infinitive of *paradidoomi*, to hand over, to give from one’s side to another. The trap is all set now and ready to be sprung by these “spies.”

*Of the governor* (*tou heegemonos*). The Sanhedrin knew that Pilate would have to condemn Jesus if he were put to death. So then all their plans focus on this point as the goal. Luke alone mentions this item here.

**<4212> Luke 20:21** *Rightly* (*orthoos*). Matthew (**<4216> Matthew 22:16**) notes that these “spies” were “disciples” (students) of the Pharisees and Mark (**<4123> Mark 12:13**) adds that the Herodians are also involved in the plot. These bright theologues are full of palaver and flattery and openly endorse the teaching of Jesus as part of their scheme.

*Acceptest not the person of any* (*ou lambaneis prosoopon*). Dost not take the face (or personal appearance) as the test. It is a Hebraism from which the word *prosoopolempsia* (**<3111> James 2:1**) comes. Originally it meant to lift the face, to lift the countenance, to regard the face, to accept the face value. See **<4123> Mark 12:13-17**; **<4215> Matthew 22:15-22** for discussion of details here. They both have *blepeis* here.

**<4212> Luke 20:22** *Tribute* (*phoron*). Old word for the annual tax on land, houses, etc. Mark and Matthew have *keenson*, which see for this Latin

word in Greek letters. The picture on the coin may have been that of Tiberius.

~~42123~~ **Luke 20:23** *Perceived* (*katanoeesas*). From *katanoeeo*, to put the mind down on. Mark has *eidoos*, “knowing,” and Matthew *gnous*, coming to know or grasping (second aorist active participle of *ginooskoo*).

*Craftiness* (*panourgian*). Old word for doing any deed. Matthew has “wickedness” (*poneerian*) and Mark “hypocrisy” (*hupokrisin*).

Unscrupulous they certainly were. They would stoop to any trick and go the limit.

~~42126~~ **Luke 20:26** *They were not able* (*ouk ischusan*). They did not have strength. An old verb *ischuoo* from *ischus* (strength). They failed “to take hold (cf. verse 20) of the saying before the people.” These “crack” students had made an ignominious failure and were not able to make a case for the surrender of Jesus to Pilate. He had slipped through their net with the utmost ease.

*Held their peace* (*esigeesan*). Ingressive aorist active of *sigao*. They became silent as they went back with the “dry grins.”

~~42127~~ **Luke 20:27** *There is no resurrection* (*anastasin mee einai*). Accusative and infinitive with negative *mee* in indirect assertion. The Sadducees rally after the complete discomfiture of the Pharisees and Herodians. They had a stock conundrum with which they had often gotten a laugh on the Pharisees. So they volunteer to try it on Jesus. For discussion of details here see on ~~41223~~ Matthew 22:23-33; ~~41228~~ Mark 12:18-27. Only a few striking items remain for Luke.

~~42128~~ **Luke 20:33** *Had her* (*eschon*). Constative second aorist indicative of *eechoo* including all seven seriatim. So ~~41228~~ Matthew 22:28; ~~41233~~ Mark 12:33

*To wife* (*gunaika*). As wife, accusative in apposition with “her.”

~~42126~~ **Luke 20:36** *Equal unto the angels* (*isaggeloi*). A rare and late word from *isos*, equal, and *aggelos*. Only here in the N.T. Mark and Matthew have “as angels” (*hoos aggeloi*). Angels do not marry, there is no marriage in heaven.

*Sons of God, being sons of the resurrection (huioi theou tees anastaseoos huioi ontes)*. This Hebraistic phrase, “sons of the resurrection” defines “sons of God” and is a direct answer to the Sadducees.

◀215▶ **Luke 20:37** *Even Moses (kai Moousees)*. Moses was used by the Sadducees to support their denial of the resurrection. This passage (◀1116▶ Exodus 3:6) Jesus skilfully uses as a proof of the resurrection. See discussion on ▶1123▶ Matthew 22:32; ▶1126▶ Mark 12:26f.

◀219▶ **Luke 20:39** *Certain of the scribes (tines toon grammateoon)*. Pharisees who greatly enjoyed this use by Jesus of a portion of the Pentateuch against the position of the Sadducees. So they praise the reply of Jesus, hostile though they are to him.

◀220▶ **Luke 20:40** *They durst not any more (ouketi etolmoon ouden)*. Double negative and imperfect active of *tolmaoo*. The courage of Pharisees, Sadducees, Herodians vanished.

◀221▶ **Luke 20:41** *How say they? (Poos legousin;)*. The Pharisees had rallied in glee and one of their number, a lawyer, had made a feeble contribution to the controversy which resulted in his agreement with Jesus and in praise from Jesus (◀1128▶ Mark 12:28-34; ▶1173▶ Matthew 27:34-40). Luke does not give this incident which makes it plain that by “they say” (*legousin*) Jesus refers to the Pharisees (rabbis, lawyers), carrying on the discussion and turning the tables on them while the Pharisees are still gathered together (◀1124▶ Matthew 22:41). The construction with *legousin* is the usual infinitive and the accusative in indirect discourse. By “the Christ” (*ton Christon*) “the Messiah” is meant.

◀222▶ **Luke 20:42** *For David himself (autos gar Daueid)*. This language of Jesus clearly means that he treats David as the author of Psalm 110. The inspiration of this Psalm is expressly stated in ▶1126▶ Mark 12:36; ▶1128▶ Matthew 22:43 (which see) and the Messianic character of the Psalm in all three Synoptics who all quote the LXX practically alike. Modern criticism that denies the Davidic authorship of this Psalm has to say either that Jesus was ignorant of the fact about it or that he declined to disturb the current acceptance of the Davidic authorship. Certainly modern scholars are not agreed on the authorship of Psalm 110. Meanwhile one can certainly be excused for accepting the natural implication of the words of Jesus here, “David himself.”

*In the book of the Psalms (en biblooi Psalmoon).* Compare <sup><1704></sup>Luke 3:4 “in the book of the words of Isaiah the prophet.”

<sup><1204></sup>**Luke 20:44** *David therefore (Daueid oun).* Without *ei* as in <sup><1205></sup>Matthew 22:45. On the basis of this definite piece of exegesis (*oun*, therefore) Jesus presses the problem (*poos*, how) for an explanation. The deity and the humanity of the Messiah in Psalm 110 are thus set forth, the very problems that disturbed the rabbis then and that upset many critics today.

<sup><1205></sup>**Luke 20:45** *In the hearing of all the people (akouontos pantos tou laou).* Genitive absolute, “while all the people were listening” (present active participle). That is the time to speak. The details in this verse and verse 47 are precisely those given in <sup><1128></sup>Mark 12:38f., which see for discussion of details. <sup><1201></sup>Matthew 23:1-39 has a very full and rich description of this last phase of the debate in the temple where Jesus drew a full-length portrait of the hypocrisy of the Pharisees and scribes in their presence. It was a solemn climax to this last public appearance of Christ in the temple when Jesus poured out the vials of his indignation as he had done before (<sup><1042></sup>Matthew 16:2; <sup><1157></sup>Luke 11:37-54; 12-1).

## CHAPTER 21

◀21:1▶ **Luke 21:1** *And he looked up* (*Anablepsas de*). He had taken his seat, after the debate was over and the Sanhedrin had slunk away in sheer defeat, “over against the treasury” (◀4124▶ Mark 12:41). The word for “treasury” (*gazophulakion*) is a compound of *gaza* (Persian word for royal treasury) and *phulakee* guard or protection. It is common in the LXX, but in the N.T. only here and (◀4124▶ Mark 12:41,43; (◀4181▶ John 8:20. Jesus was watching (◀4124▶ Mark 12:41) the rich put in their gifts as a slight diversion from the intense strain of the hours before.

◀21:2▶ **Luke 21:2** *Poor* (*penichran*). A rare word from *penees* (*penomai*, to work for one’s living). Latin *penuria* and Greek *peinaoo*, to be hungry are kin to it. Here only in the N.T. (◀4124▶ Mark 12:42 has *ptoochee*, a more common word from *ptoosoo*, to be frightened, to strike and hide from fear, to be in beggary. And Luke uses this adjective also of her in verse 3.

◀21:3▶ **Luke 21:3** *More than they all* (*pleion pantoon*). Ablative case after the comparative *pleion*.

◀21:4▶ **Luke 21:4** *All these did cast* (*pantes houtoi ebalon*). Constatative second aorist active indicative covering the whole crowd except the widow.

*Living* (*bion*). Livelihood as in (◀4124▶ Mark 12:44, not *zooeen*, principle of life.

◀21:5▶ **Luke 21:5** *As some spake* (*tinoon legontoon*). Genitive absolute. The disciples we know from (◀4131▶ Mark 13:1; (◀4191▶ Matthew 24:1.

*How* (*hoti*). Literally, “that.”

*It was adorned* (*kekosmeetai*). Perfect passive indicative, state of completion, stands adorned, tense retained in indirect discourse, though English has to change it. *Kosmeoo*, old and common verb for orderly arrangement and adorning.

*With goodly stones and offerings* (*lithois kalois kai anatheemasin*). Instrumental case. Some of these stones in the substructure were enormous. “The columns of the cloister or portico were monoliths of



marble over forty feet high” (Plummer). Cf. Josephus, *War*, V.5. The word *anatheema* (here only in the N.T.) is not to be confused with *anathema* from the same verb *anatitheemi*, but which came to mean a curse (<sup><8008></sup>Galatians 1:8; <sup><4234></sup>Acts 23:14). So *anathema* came to mean devoted in a bad sense, *anatheema* in a good sense. “Thus *knave*, lad, becomes a *rascal*; *villain*, a *farmer*, becomes a *scoundrel*; *cunning*, *skilful*, becomes *crafty*” (Vincent). These offerings in the temple were very numerous and costly (2Macc. 3:2-7) like the golden vine of Herod with branches as tall as a man (Josephus, *Ant.* XV. ii.3).

<sup><2206></sup>**Luke 21:6** *As for these things* (*tauta*). Accusative of general reference.

*One stone upon another* (*lithos epi lithooi*). Stone upon stone (locative). Here both <sup><4132></sup>Mark 13:2; <sup><4142></sup>Matthew 24:2 have *epi lithon* (accusative). Instead of *ouk apheethesetai* (future passive) they both have *ou mee aphetheei* (double negative with aorist passive subjunctive). It was a shock to the disciples to hear this after the triumphal entry.

<sup><2208></sup>**Luke 21:8** *That ye be not led astray* (*mee planeetheete*). First aorist passive subjunctive with *mee* (lest). This verb *planaoo* occurs here only in Luke though often in the rest of the N.T. (as <sup><4124></sup>Matthew 24:4,5,11,24, which see). Our word *planet* is from this word.

*The time is at hand* (*ho kairos eeggiken*). Just as John the Baptist did of the kingdom (<sup><4082></sup>Matthew 3:2) and Jesus also (<sup><4015></sup>Mark 1:15).

*Go ye not after them* (*mee poreutheete opisoo autoon*). First aorist passive subjunctive with *mee*. A needed warning today with all the false cries in the religious world.

<sup><2209></sup>**Luke 21:9** *Be not terrified* (*mee ptoeetheete*). First aorist passive subjunctive with *mee* from *ptoo* an old verb to terrify, from *ptoa*, terror. In the N.T. only here and <sup><4257></sup>Luke 24:37.

*First* (*Prooton*). It is so easy to forget this and to insist that the end is “immediately” in spite of Christ’s explicit denial here. See <sup><4241></sup>Matthew 24:4-42; <sup><4130></sup>Mark 13:1-37 for discussion of details for <sup><2208></sup>Luke 21:8-36, the great eschatological discourse of Jesus

<sup><2211></sup>**Luke 21:11** *Famines and pestilences* (*loimoi kai limoi*). Play on the two words pronounced just alike in the *Koin*, (itacism).

*And terrors (phobeethra te)*. The use of *te ... te* in this verse groups the two kinds of woes. This rare word *phobeethra* is only here in the N.T. It is from *phobeoo*, to frighten, and occurs only in the plural as here.

◀212▶ **Luke 21:12** *But before all these things (pro de toutoon pantoon)*. In  
◀117▶ Mark 13:8; ▶118▶ Matthew 24:8 these things are termed “the beginning of travail.” That may be the idea here. Plummer insists that priority of time is the point, not magnitude.

*Bringing you (apagomenous)*. Present passive participle from *apagoo*, an old verb to lead off or away. But here the participle is in the accusative plural, not the nominative like *paradidontes* (present active participle, delivering you up), agreeing with *humas* not expressed the object of *paradidontes*, “you being brought before or led off.” “A technical term in Athenian legal language” (Bruce).

◀213▶ **Luke 21:13** *It shall turn unto you (apobeesetai humin)*. Future middle of *apobainoo*. It will come off, turn out for you (dative of advantage).

*For a testimony (eis marturion)*. To their loyalty to Christ. Besides, “the blood of the martyrs is the seed of the church.”

◀214▶ **Luke 21:14** *Not to meditate beforehand (mee promeletfin)*. The classical word for conning a speech beforehand. ▶131▶ Mark 13:11 has *promerimnaoo*, a later word which shows previous anxiety rather than previous preparation.

*How to answer (apologeetheenai)*. First aorist passive infinitive. It is the preparation for the speech of defence (apology) that Jesus here forbids, not the preparation of a sermon.

◀215▶ **Luke 21:15** *Your adversaries (hoi antikeimenoi humin)*. Those who stand against, line up face to face with (note *anti-*).

*To withstand or to gainsay (antisteenai ee anteipein)*. Two second aorist active infinitives with *anti-* in composition again. But these “antis” will go down before the power of Christ.

◀216▶ **Luke 21:16** *Shall they cause to be put to death (thanatoosousin)*. Future active of *thanatoo*, to put to death or to make to die (causative). Either makes sense here. Old and common verb.

◀2117▶ **Luke 21:17** *Not a hair of your head shall perish* (*thrix ek tees kephalees humoon ou mee apoleetai*). Only in Luke. Second aorist middle subjunctive of *apollumi* with *ou mee* (double negative). Jesus has just said that some they will put to death. Hence it is spiritual safety here promised such as Paul claimed about death in ▶302▶ Philippians 1:21.

◀2119▶ **Luke 21:19** *Ye shall win* (*kteesesthe*). Future middle of *ptaomai*, to acquire. They will win their souls even if death does come.

◀2121▶ **Luke 21:20** *Compassed with armies* (*kukloumeneen hupo stratopedoon*). Present passive participle of *kuklooo*, to circle, encircle, from *kuklos*, circle. Old verb, but only four times in N.T. The point of this warning is the present tense, being encircled. It will be too late after the city is surrounded. It is objected by some that Jesus, not to say Luke, could not have spoken (or written) these words before the Roman armies came. One may ask why not, if such a thing as predictive prophecy can exist and especially in the case of the Lord Jesus. The word *stratopedoon* (*stratos*, army, *pedon*, plain) is a military camp and then an army in camp. Old word, but only here in the N.T.

*Then know* (*tote gnoote*). Second aorist active imperative of *ginooskoo*. Christians did flee from Jerusalem to Pella before it was too late as directed in ▶2121▶ Luke 21:21; ▶1134▶ Mark 13:14f.; ▶1246▶ Matthew 24:16f.

◀2122▶ **Luke 21:22** *That may be fulfilled* (*tou pleestheenai*). Articular infinitive passive to express purpose with accusative of general reference. The O.T. has many such warnings (▶2007▶ Hosea 9:7; ▶1389▶ Deuteronomy 28:49-57, etc.).

◀2124▶ **Luke 21:24** *Edge of the sword* (*stomati machairees*). Instrumental case of *stomati* which means “mouth” literally (▶1345▶ Genesis 34:26). This verse like the close of verse 22 is only in Luke. Josephus (*War*, VI. 9.3) states that 1,100,000 Jews perished in the destruction of Jerusalem and 97,000 were taken captive. Surely this is an exaggeration and yet the number must have been large.

*Shall be led captive* (*aichmalootistheesontai*). Future passive of *aichmalootizoo* from *aichmee*, spear and *halootos* (*haliskomai*). Here alone in the literal sense in the N.T.

*Shall be trodden under foot* (*estai patoumenee*). Future passive periphrastic of *pateoo*, to tread, old verb.

*Until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled* (*achri hou pleeroothoosin kairoi ethnoon*). First aorist passive subjunctive with *achri hou* like *heoos hou*. What this means is not clear except that Paul in <sup><6125></sup>Romans 11:25 shows that the punishment of the Jews has a limit. The same idiom appears there also with *achri hou* and the aorist subjunctive.

<sup><4225></sup>**Luke 21:25** *Distress* (*sunochee*). From *sunechoo*. In the N.T. only here and <sup><4004></sup>2 Corinthians 2:4. Anguish.

*In perplexity* (*en aporifi*). State of one who is *aporos*, who has lost his way (*a* privative and *poros*). Here only in the N.T. though an old and common word.

*For the roaring of the sea* (*eechous thalassees*). Our word echo (Latin *echo*) is this word *eechos*, a reverberating sound. Sense of rumour in <sup><4467></sup>Luke 4:37.

*Billows* (*salou*). Old word *salos* for the swell of the sea. Here only in the N.T.

<sup><4226></sup>**Luke 21:26** *Men fainting* (*apopsuchontoon anthroopoon*). Genitive absolute of *apopsuchoo*, to expire, to breathe off or out. Old word. Here only in N.T.

*Expectation* (*prosdokias*). Old word from *prosdokaoo*, to look for or towards. In the N.T. only here and <sup><4421></sup>Acts 12:11.

*The world* (*teei oikoumeneei*). Dative case, “the inhabited” (earth, *geei*).

<sup><4227></sup>**Luke 21:27** *And then shall they see* (*kai tote opsontai*). As much as to say that it will be not till then. Clearly the promise of the second coming of the Son of man in glory here (<sup><4136></sup>Mark 13:26f.; <sup><4283></sup>Matthew 24:30f.) is pictured as not one certain of immediate realization. The time element is left purposely vague.

<sup><4228></sup>**Luke 21:28** *Look up* (*anakupsate*). First aorist active imperative of *anakuptoo*, to raise up. Here of the soul as in <sup><4307></sup>John 8:7,10, but in <sup><4231></sup>Luke 13:11 of the body. These the only N.T. examples of this common verb.

*Redemption (apolutroosis)*. Act of redeeming from *apolutrooo*. The final act at the second coming of Christ, a glorious hope.

◀213▶ **Luke 21:29** *The fig tree, and all the trees (teen sukeen kai panta ta dendra)*. This parable of the fig-tree (◀4133▶ Mark 13:28-32; ▶4133▶ Matthew 24:32-35) Luke applies to “all the trees.” It is true about all of them, but the fig tree was very common in Palestine.

◀213▶ **Luke 21:30** *Shoot forth (probalooisin)*. Second aorist active subjunctive of *probaloo*, common verb, but in the N.T. only here and ▶4133▶ Acts 19:33.

*Summer (theros)*. Not harvest, but summer. Old word, but in the N.T. only here (◀4133▶ Mark 13:28; ▶4133▶ Matthew 24:32).

◀213▶ **Luke 21:31** *Coming to pass (ginomena)*. Present middle participle of *ginomai* and so descriptive of the process.

*Nigh (eggus)*. The consummation of the kingdom is here meant, not the beginning.

◀213▶ **Luke 21:32** *This generation (hee genea hautee)*. Naturally people then living.

*Shall not pass away (ou mee pareltheei)*. Second aorist active subjunctive of *parerchomai*. Strongest possible negative with *ou mee*.

*Till all things be accomplished (heoos an panta geneetai)*. Second aorist middle subjunctive of *ginomai* with *heoos*, common idiom. The words give a great deal of trouble to critics. Some apply them to the whole discourse including the destruction of the temple and Jerusalem, the second coming and the end of the world. Some of these argue that Jesus was simply mistaken in his eschatology, some that he has not been properly reported in the Gospels. Others apply them only to the destruction of Jerusalem which did take place in A.D. 70 before that generation passed away. It must be said for this view that it is not easy in this great eschatological discourse to tell clearly when Jesus is discussing the destruction of Jerusalem and when the second coming. Plummer offers this solution: “The reference, therefore, is to the destruction of Jerusalem regarded as the type of the end of the world.”

◀2133▶ **Luke 21:33** *My words shall not pass away* (*hoi logoi mou ou mee pareusontai*). Future middle indicative with *ou mee*, a bit stronger statement than the subjunctive. It is noteworthy that Jesus utters these words just after the difficult prediction in verse 32.

◀2134▶ **Luke 21:34** *Lest haply your hearts be overcharged* (*mee pote bareethoosin hai kardiai humoon*). First aorist passive subjunctive of *bareoo*, an old verb to weigh down, depress, with *mee pote*.

*With surfeiting* (*en krepaleei*). A rather late word, common in medical writers for the nausea that follows a debauch. Latin *crapula*, the giddiness caused by too much wine. Here only in the N.T.

*Drunkenness* (*metheei*). From *methu* (wine). Old word but in the N.T. only here and ▶5133▶ Romans 13:13; ▶4162▶ Galatians 5:21.

*Cares of this life* (*merimnais biootikais*). Anxieties of life. The adjective *biootikos* is late and in the N.T. only here and ▶4168▶ 1 Corinthians 6:3f.

*Come on you* (*episteei*). Second aorist active subjunctive of *ephisteemi*, ingressive aorist. Construed also with *mee pote*.

*Suddenly* (*ephnidios*). Adjective in predicate agreeing with *heemera* (day).

*As a snare* (*hoos pagis*). Old word from *peegnumi*, to make fast a net or trap. Paul uses it several times of the devil's snares for preachers (▶5487▶ 1 Timothy 3:7; ▶5126▶ 2 Timothy 2:26).

◀2135▶ **Luke 21:36** *But watch ye* (*agrupneite de*). *Agrupneoo* is a late verb to be sleepless (*a* privative and *hupnos*, sleep). Keep awake and be ready is the pith of Christ's warning.

*That ye may prevail to escape* (*hina katischuseete ekphugein*). First aorist active subjunctive with *hina* of purpose. The verb *katischuo* means to have strength against (cf. ▶4168▶ Matthew 16:18). Common in later writers. *Ekphugein* is second aorist active infinitive, to escape out.

*To stand before the Son of man* (*statheenai emprosthen tou huiou tou anthroopou*). That is the goal. There will be no dread of the Son then if one is ready. *Statheenai* is first aorist passive infinitive of *histeemi*.

◀2137▶ **Luke 21:37** *Every day* (*tas heemeras*). During the days, accusative of extent of time.

*Every night* (*tas nuktas*). “During the nights,” accusative of extent of time.

*Lodged* (*eeulizeto*). Imperfect middle, was lodging, *aulizomai* from *aulee* (court).

~~42138~~ **Luke 21:38** *Came early* (*oorthrizen*). Imperfect active of *orthrizoo* from *orthros*, late form for *orthreuoo*, to rise early. Only here in the N.T.

## CHAPTER 22

◀221▶ **Luke 22:1** *The Passover* (*pascha*) Both names (unleavened bread and passover) are used here as in ▶1141▶ Mark 14:1. Strictly speaking the passover was Nisan 14 and the unleavened bread 15-21. This is the only place in the N.T. where the expression “the feast of unleavened bread” (common in LXX, Ex. 23:15, etc.) occurs, for ▶1141▶ Mark 14:1 has just “the unleavened bread.” ▶4167▶ Matthew 26:17 uses unleavened bread and passover interchangeably.

*Drew nigh* (*eggizen*). Imperfect active. ▶1141▶ Mark 14:1; ▶4167▶ Matthew 26:2 mention “after two days” definitely.

◀221▶ **Luke 22:2** *Sought* (*ezeetoun*). Imperfect active of *zeeteoo*, were seeking, conative imperfect.

*How they might put him to death* (*to poos aneloosin auton*). Second aorist active deliberative subjunctive (retained in indirect question) of *anaireoo*, to take up, to make away with, to slay. Common in Old Greek. Luke uses it so here and in ▶223▶ Luke 23:32 and eighteen times in the Acts, a favourite word with him. Note the accusative neuter singular article *to* with the whole clause, “as to the how, etc.”

*For they feared* (*ephobounto gar*). Imperfect middle describing the delay of the “how.” The triumphal entry and the temple speeches of Jesus had revealed his tremendous power with the people, especially the crowds from Galilee at the feast. They were afraid to go on with their plan to kill him at the feast.

◀221▶ **Luke 22:3** *Satan entered into Judas* (*eiseelthen eis Ioudan*).

Ingressive aorist active indicative. Satan was now renewing his attack on Jesus suspended temporarily (▶1143▶ Luke 4:13) “until a good chance.” He had come back by the use of Simon Peter (▶4163▶ Mark 8:33; ▶4163▶ Matthew 16:23). The conflict went on and Jesus won ultimate victory (▶2108▶ Luke 10:18). Now Satan uses Judas and has success with him for Judas allowed him to come again and again (▶4137▶ John 13:27). Judas evidently opened the door to his heart and let Satan in. Then Satan took charge and he became a devil as Jesus said (▶4167▶ John 6:70). This surrender to Satan in no way relieves Judas of his moral responsibility.



◀227▶ **Luke 22:4** *Went away (apelthoon)*. Second aorist active participle of *aperchomai*. He went off under the impulse of Satan and after the indignation over the rebuke of Jesus at the feast in Simon's house (◀312▶ John 12:4-6).

*Captains (strategois)*. Leaders of the temple guards (◀401▶ Acts 4:1), the full title, "captains of the temple," occurs in verse 52.

*How he might deliver him unto them (to poos autois paradooi auton)*. The same construction as in verse 2, the article *to* with the indirect question and deliberative subjunctive second aorist active (*paradooi*).

◀228▶ **Luke 22:5** *Were glad (echareesan)*. Second aorist passive indicative of *chairoo* as in ◀414▶ Mark 14:11. Ingressive aorist, a natural exultation that one of the Twelve had offered to do this thing.

*Covenanted (sunethento)*. Second aorist indicative middle of *suntitheemi*. An old verb to put together and in the middle with one another. In the N.T. outside of ◀312▶ John 9:22 only in Luke (here and ◀231▶ Acts 23:20; 24:9). Luke only mentions "money" (*argurion*), but not "thirty pieces" (◀415▶ Matthew 26:15).

◀229▶ **Luke 22:6** *Consented (exoomologeesen)*. Old verb, but the ancients usually used the simple form for promise or consent rather than the compound. This is the only instance of this sense in the N.T. It is from *homologos* (*homos*, same, and *legoo*, to say), to say the same thing with another and so agree.

*Opportunity (eukarian)*. From *eukairos* (*eu*, *kairos*), a good chance. Old word, but in the N.T. only here and parallel passage ◀416▶ Matthew 26:16.

*In the absence of the multitude (ater ochlou)*. *Ater* is an old preposition, common in the poets, but rare in prose. Also in verse 35. It means "without," "apart from," like *chooris*. The point of Judas was just this. He would get Jesus into the hands of the Sanhedrin during the feast in spite of the crowd. It was necessary to avoid tumult (◀415▶ Matthew 26:5) because of the popularity of Jesus.

◀230▶ **Luke 22:7** *The day of unleavened bread came (eelthen hee heemera toon azumoon)*. The day itself came, not simply was drawing nigh (verse 1).

*Must be sacrificed (edei thuesthai)*. This was Nisan 14 which began at sunset. Luke is a Gentile and this fact must be borne in mind. The lamb must be slain by the head of the family (<sup><0136></sup>Exodus 12:6). The controversy about the day when Christ ate the last passover meal has already been discussed (<sup><0137></sup>Matthew 26:17; <sup><0142></sup>Mark 14:12). The Synoptics clearly present this as a fact. Jesus was then crucified on Friday at the passover or Thursday (our time) at the regular hour 6 P.M. (beginning of Friday). The five passages in John (<sup><0138></sup>John 13:1f.; 13:27; 18:28; 19:14; 19:31) rightly interpreted teach the same thing as shown in my *Harmony of the Gospels for Students of the Life of Christ* (pp.279-284).

<sup><0218></sup>**Luke 22:8** *Peter and John (Petron kai Iooaneen)*. <sup><0143></sup>Mark 14:13 has only “two” while <sup><0137></sup>Matthew 26:17 makes the disciples take the initiative. The word passover in this context is used either of the meal, the feast day, the whole period (including the unleavened bread). “Eat the passover” can refer to the meal as here or to the whole period of celebration (<sup><0138></sup>John 18:28).

<sup><0219></sup>**Luke 22:9** *Where wilt thou that we make ready? (Pou theleis hetoimasoomen;)*. Deliberative first aorist active subjunctive without *hina* after *theleis*, perhaps originally two separate questions.

<sup><0220></sup>**Luke 22:10** *When you are entered (eiselthontoon humoon)*. Genitive absolute.

*Meet you (sunanteesei humin)*. An old verb *sunantaoo* (from *sun*, with, and *antaoo*, to face, *anti*) with associative instrumental (*humin*). See on <sup><0143></sup>Mark 14:13 about the “man bearing a pitcher of water.”

<sup><0221></sup>**Luke 22:11** *Goodman of the house (oikodespoteei)*. Master of the house as in <sup><0144></sup>Mark 14:14; <sup><0025></sup>Matthew 10:25. A late word for the earlier *despotees oikou*.

*I shall eat (phagoo)*. Second aorist futuristic (or deliberative) subjunctive as in <sup><0144></sup>Mark 14:14.

<sup><0222></sup>**Luke 22:12** *And he (k'akeinos)*. *Kai* and *ekeinos (crasis)* where <sup><0145></sup>Mark 14:15 has *kai autos*. Literally, And that one. See on Mark for rest of the verse.

<2213> **Luke 22:13** *He had said (eireekei)*. Past perfect active indicative of *eipon* where <4146> Mark 14:16 has *eipen* (second aorist).

<2214> **Luke 22:14** *Sat down (anepesen)*. Reclined, fell back (or up). Second aorist active of *anapiptoo*.

<2215> **Luke 22:15** *With desire I have desired (epithumifi epethumeesa)*. A Hebraism common in the LXX. Associative instrumental case of substantive and first aorist active indicative of same like a cognate accusative. Peculiar to Luke is all this verse. See this idiom in <4147> John 3:29; <4147> Acts 4:17.

*Before I suffer (pro tou me pathein)*. Preposition *pro* with articular infinitive and accusative of general reference, “before the suffering as to me.” *Pathein* is second aorist active infinitive of *paschoo*.

<2216> **Luke 22:16** *Until it be fulfilled (heoos hotou pleeroothei)*. First aorist passive subjunctive of *pleerooo* with *heoos (hotou)*, the usual construction about the future. It seems like a Messianic banquet that Jesus has in mind (cf. <2145> Luke 14:15).

<2217> **Luke 22:17** *He received a cup (dexamenos poteerion)*. This cup is a diminutive of *poteer*. It seems that this is still one of the four cups passed during the passover meal, though which one is uncertain. It is apparently just before the formal introduction of the Lord’s Supper, though he gave thanks here also (*eucharisteesas*). It is from this verb *eucharisteoo* (see also verse 19) that our word Eucharist comes. It is a common verb for giving thanks and was used also for “saying grace” as we call it.

<2218> **Luke 22:18** *The fruit of the vine (tou geneematos tees ampelou)*. So <4145> Mark 14:25; <4145> Matthew 26:29 and not *oinos* though it was wine undoubtedly. But the language allows anything that is “the fruit of the vine.”

*Come (eltheei)*. Second aorist active subjunctive with *heoos* as in verse 16. Here it is the consummation of the kingdom that Jesus has in mind, for the kingdom had already come.

<2219> **Luke 22:19** *Which is given for you (to hyper humoon didomenon)*. Some MSS. omit these verses though probably genuine. The correct text in <4124> 1 Corinthians 11:24 has “which is for you,” not “which is broken for

you.” It is curious to find the word “broken” here preserved and justified so often, even by Easton in his commentary on Luke, p. 320.

*In remembrance of me* (*eis teen emeen anamneesin*). Objective use of the possessive pronoun *emeen*, not the subjective.

*This do* (*touto poieite*). Present active indicative, repetition, keep on doing this.

◀ Luke 22:20 *After the supper* (*meta to deipneesai*). Preposition *meta* and the accusative articular infinitive. The textual situation here is confusing, chiefly because of the two cups (verses 17,20). Some of the documents omit the latter part of verse 19 and all of verse 20. It is possible, of course, that this part crept into the text of Luke from ~~1~~1 Corinthians 11:24f. But, if this part is omitted, Luke would then have the order reversed, the cup before the bread. So there are difficulties whichever turn one takes here with Luke’s text whether one cup or two cups.

*The New Covenant* (*he kainee diatheekee*). See on ~~26:28~~ Matthew 26:28; ~~14:24~~ Mark 14:24 for “covenant.” Westcott and Hort reject “new” there, but accept it here and in ~~11:25~~1 Corinthians 11:25. See on ~~5:38~~ Luke 5:38 for difference between *kainee* and *nea*. “The ratification of a covenant was commonly associated with the shedding of blood; and what was written in blood was believed to be indelible” (Plummer).

*Poured out* (*ekchunnomenon*). Same word in ~~14:24~~ Mark 14:24; ~~26:28~~ Matthew 26:28 translated “shed.” Late form present passive participle of *ekchunnoo* of *ekcheoo*, to pour out.

◀ Luke 22:21 *That betrayeth* (*tou paradidontos*). Present active participle, actually engaged in doing it. The hand of Judas was resting on the table at the moment. It should be noted that Luke narrates the institution of the Lord’s Supper before the exposure of Judas as the traitor while Mark and Matthew reverse this order.

◀ Luke 22:22 *As it hath been determined* (*kata to hoorismenon*). Perfect passive participle of *horizoo*, to limit or define, mark off the border, our “horizon.” But this fact does not absolve Judas of his guilt as the “woe” here makes plain.

◀2223▶ **Luke 22:23** *Which of them it was (to tis ara eiee ex autoon)*. Note the article *to* with the indirect question as in verses 2,4. The optative *eiee* here is changed from the present active indicative *estin*, though it was not always done, for see *dokei* in verse 24 where the present indicative is retained. They all had their hands on the table. Whose hand was it?

◀2224▶ **Luke 22:24** *Contention (philoneikia)*. An old word from *philoneikos*, fond of strife, eagerness to contend. Only here in the N.T.

*Greatest (meizoon)*. Common use of the comparative as superlative.

◀2225▶ **Luke 22:25** *Have lordship over (kurieuousin)*. From *kurios*. Common verb, to lord it over.

*Benefactors (euergetai)*. From *eu* and *ergon*. Doer of good. Old word. Here only in the N.T. Latin Benefactor is exact equivalent.

◀2226▶ **Luke 22:26** *Become (ginesthoo)*. Present middle imperative of *ginomai*. Act so. True greatness is in service, not in rank.

◀2227▶ **Luke 22:27** *But I (Egoo de)*. Jesus dares to cite his own conduct, though their leader, to prove his point and to put a stop to their jealous contention for the chief place at this very feast, a wrangling that kept up till Jesus had to arise and give them the object lesson of humility by washing their feet (◀830▶ John 13:1-20).

◀2228▶ **Luke 22:28** *In my temptations (en tois peirasmis mou)*. Probably “trials” is better here as in ◀500▶ James 1:2 though temptations clearly in ◀501▶ James 1:13ff. This is the tragedy of the situation when Jesus is facing the Cross with the traitor at the table and the rest chiefly concerned about their own primacy and dignity.

◀2229▶ **Luke 22:29** *And I appoint unto you (k’agoo diatitheemai humin)*. They had on the whole been loyal and so Jesus passes on to them (*diatheemai* verb from which *diatheeke* comes).

◀2230▶ **Luke 22:30** *And ye shall sit (katheesesthe)*. But Westcott and Hort read in the text *katheesthe* (present middle subjunctive with *hina*). The picture seems to be that given in ◀0128▶ Matthew 19:28 when Jesus replied to Peter’s inquiry. It is not clear how literally this imagery is to be taken. But there is the promise of honour for the loyal among these in the end.

◀2231▶ **Luke 22:31** *Asked to have you* (*exeiteesato*). First aorist indirect middle indicative of *exaiteoo*, an old verb to beg something of one and (middle) for oneself. Only here in the N.T. The verb is used either in the good or the bad sense, but it does not mean here “obtained by asking” as margin in Revised Version has it.

*That he might sift you* (*tou siniasai*). Genitive articular infinitive of purpose. First aorist active infinitive of *siniazoo*, to shake a sieve, to sift, from *sinion*, a winnowing fan. Later word. Here only in the N.T.

◀2232▶ **Luke 22:32** *That thy faith fail not* (*hina mee eklipeei he pistis mou*). Second aorist active subjunctive of purpose with *hina* after *edeetheen* (

*I prayed*) of *ekleipoo*, old verb. Our word *eclipse* is this word. Evidently Jesus could not keep Satan from attacking Peter. He had already captured Judas. Did he not repeatedly attack Jesus? But he could and did pray for Peter’s faith and his praying won in the end, though Peter stumbled and fell.

*And do thou* (*kai su*). The words single out Peter sharply.

*Once thou hast turned again* (*pote epistrepas*). First aorist active participle of *epistrepheo*, common verb to turn to, to return. But the use of this word implied that Peter would fall though he would come back and “strengthen thy brethren.”

◀2233▶ **Luke 22:33** *To prison and to death* (*eis phulakeen kai eis thanaton*). Evidently Peter was not flattered by the need of Christ’s earnest prayers for his welfare and loyalty. Hence this loud boast.

◀2234▶ **Luke 22:34** *Until thou shalt thrice deny that thou knowest me* (*heoos tris me aparneeseei eidenai*). “Thrice” is in all four Gospels here for they all give this warning to Peter (◀1430▶ Mark 14:30; ▶1734▶ Matthew 26:34; ▶2234▶ Luke 22:34; ▶6888▶ John 18:38). Peter will even deny knowing Jesus (*eidenai*).

◀2235▶ **Luke 22:35** *Without purse* (*ater ballantiou*). Money bag or purse. Old word, but in the N.T. only in Luke (◀2104▶ Luke 10:4; 12:33; 22:35ff.).

*Wallet* (*peeras*). See on ▶0000▶ Matthew 10:10.

*Lacked ye anything* (*mee tinos hustereesate*);. Answer No expected (*outhenos* below). Ablative case after *hustereo*.

**Luke 22:36** *Buy a sword (agorasatoo machairan)*. This is for defence clearly. The reference is to the special mission in Galilee (**Luke** 9:1-6; **Mark** 6:6-13; **Matthew** 9:35-11:1). They are to expect persecution and bitter hostility (**John** 15:18-21). Jesus does not mean that his disciples are to repel force by force, but that they are to be ready to defend his cause against attack. Changed conditions bring changed needs. This language can be misunderstood as it was then.

**Luke 22:38** *Lord, behold, here are two swords (kurie idou machairai hoode duo)*. They took his words literally. And before this very night is over Peter will use one of these very swords to try to cut off the head of Malchus only to be sternly rebuked by Jesus (**Mark** 14:47; **Matthew** 26:51f.; **Luke** 22:50f.; **John** 18:10f.). Then Jesus will say: “For all that take the sword shall perish with the sword” (**Matthew** 26:52). Clearly Jesus did not mean his language even about the sword to be pressed too literally. So he said: “It is enough” (*Hikanon estin*). It is with sad irony and sorrow that Jesus thus dismisses the subject. They were in no humour now to understand the various sides of this complicated problem. Every preacher and teacher understands this mood, not of impatience, but of closing the subject for the present.

**Luke 22:39** *As his custom was (kata to ethos)*. According to the custom (of him). It was because Judas knew the habit of Jesus of going to Gethsemane at night that he undertook to betray him without waiting for the crowd to go home after the feast.

**Luke 22:40** *At the place (epi tou topou)*. The place of secret prayer which was dear to Jesus.

*Pray that ye enter not into temptation (proseuchesthe mee eiselthein eis peirasmon)*. “Keep on praying not to enter (ingressive aorist infinitive, not even once) into temptation.” It is real “temptation” here, not just “trial.” Jesus knew the power of temptation and the need of prayer. These words throw a light on the meaning of his language in **Matthew** 6:13. Jesus repeats this warning in verse 46.

**Luke 22:41** *About a stone’s throw (hoosei lithou boleen)*. Accusative of extent of space. Luke does not tell of leaving eight disciples by the entrance to Gethsemane nor about taking Peter, James, and John further in with him.

*Kneeled down (theis ta gonata)*. Second aorist active participle from *titheemi*. <sup><4145></sup>Mark 14:35 says “fell on the ground” and <sup><4159></sup>Matthew 26:39 “fell on his face.” All could be true at different moments.

*Prayed (proseeucheto)*. Imperfect middle, was praying, kept on praying.

<sup><222></sup>**Luke 22:42** *If thou be willing (ei boulei)*. This condition is in the first petition at the start.

*Be done (ginesthoo)*. Present middle imperative, keep on being done, the Father’s will.

<sup><223></sup>**Luke 22:43** *An angel (aggelos)*. The angels visited Jesus at the close of the three temptations at the beginning of his ministry (<sup><4041></sup>Matthew 4:11). Here the angel comes during the conflict.

<sup><224></sup>**Luke 22:44** *In an agony (en agoonifi)*. It was conflict, contest from *agoon*. An old word, but only here in the N.T. Satan pressed Jesus harder than ever before.

*As it were great drops of blood (hoosei thromboi haimatos)*. Thick, clotted blood. An old word (*thromboi*) common in medical works, but here only in the N.T. This passage (verses 43,44) is absent from some ancient documents. Aristotle speaks of a bloody sweat as does Theophrastus.

<sup><225></sup>**Luke 22:45** *Sleeping for sorrow (koimoomenous apo tees lupees)*. Luke does not tell of the three turnings of Jesus to the trusted three for human sympathy.

<sup><226></sup>**Luke 22:46** *Why sleep ye? (Ti katheudete;)*. This reproach Luke gives, but not the almost bitter details in <sup><4145></sup>Mark 14:37-42; <sup><4160></sup>Matthew 26:40-46).

<sup><227></sup>**Luke 22:47** *Went before them (proercheto)*. Imperfect middle. Judas was leading the band for he knew the place well (<sup><618D></sup>John 18:2).

<sup><228></sup>**Luke 22:48** *With a kiss (phileemati)*. Instrumental case. Jesus challenges the act of Judas openly and calls it betrayal, but it did not stop him.

<sup><229></sup>**Luke 22:49** *What would follow (to esomenon)*. Article and the future middle participle of *imi*, to be.



*Shall we smite with a sword?* (*ei pataxomen en machaireei*);). Note *ei* in a direct question like the Hebrew. Luke alone gives this question. Instrumental use of *en*. They had the two swords already mentioned (<sup><1228></sup>Luke 22:38).

<sup><1251></sup>**Luke 22:50** *His right ear* (*to ous autou to dexion*). <sup><1147></sup>Mark 14:47; <sup><1151></sup>Matthew 26:51 do not mention “right,” but Luke the Physician does. <sup><1310></sup>John 18:10 follows Luke in this item and also adds the names of Peter and of Malchus since probably both were dead by that time and Peter would not be involved in trouble.

<sup><122></sup>**Luke 22:51** *Suffer us thus far* (*efte heoos toutou*). Present active imperative of *eaoo*, to allow. But the meaning is not clear. If addressed to Peter and the other disciples it means that they are to suffer this much of violence against Jesus. This is probably the idea. If it is addressed to the crowd, it means that they are to excuse Peter for his rash act.

*He touched his ear and healed him* (*hapsamenos tou otiou iasato auton*). Whether Jesus picked up the piece of the ear and put it back is not said. He could have healed the wound without that. This miracle of surgery is given alone by Luke.

<sup><122></sup>**Luke 22:52** *As against a robber?* (*hoos epi leeisteen*);). They were treating Jesus as if he were a bandit like Barabbas.

<sup><1225></sup>**Luke 22:53** *But this is your hour* (*all' hautee estin humoon hee hoora*). So Jesus surrenders. The moral value of his atoning sacrifice on the Cross consists in the voluntariness of his death. He makes it clear that they have taken undue advantage of him in this hour of secret prayer and had failed to seize him in public in the temple. But “the power of darkness” (*hee exousia tou skotous*), had its turn. A better day will come. The might, authority of darkness.

<sup><1225></sup>**Luke 22:54** *Into the high priest's house* (*eis teen oikian tou archiereeos*). Luke alone mentions “the house.” Though it is implied in <sup><1145></sup>Mark 14:53; <sup><1157></sup>Matthew 26:57.

*Followed* (*ekolouthei*). Imperfect, was following, as <sup><1158></sup>Matthew 26:58; <sup><1315></sup>John 18:15. Curiously <sup><1154></sup>Mark 14:54 has the aorist.

◀225▶ **Luke 22:55** *When they had kindled a fire (periapsantoon pur).*

Genitive absolute, first aorist active participle of *periaptoo*, an old verb, but here only in the N.T. Kindle around, make a good fire that blazes all over. It was April and cool at night. The servants made the fire.

*And had sat down together (kai sunkathisantoon).* Genitive absolute again. Note *sun-* (together), all had taken seats around the fire.

*Peter sat in the midst of them (ekatheeto ho Petros mesos autoon).*

Imperfect tense, he was sitting, and note *mesos*, nominative predicate adjective with the genitive, like ▶3015▶ John 1:26, good Greek idiom.

◀226▶ **Luke 22:56** *In the light (pros to phoos).* Facing (*pros*) the light, for the fire gave light as well as heat. ▶4145▶ Mark 14:65 has “warming himself in the light,” John (▶4183▶ John 18:18,25) “warming himself.”

*Looking steadfastly (atenisasa).* Favourite word in Luke (▶4040▶ Luke 4:20, etc.) for gazing steadily at one.

*This man also (kai houtos).* As if pointing to Peter and talking about him. The other Gospels (▶4145▶ Mark 14:67; ▶4169▶ Matthew 26:69; ▶4185▶ John 18:25) make a direct address to Peter. Both could be true, as she turned to Peter.

◀227▶ **Luke 22:57** *I know him not (ouk oida auton).* Just as Jesus had predicted that he would do (▶4223▶ Luke 22:34).

◀228▶ **Luke 22:58** *After a little while another (meta brachu heteros).*

▶4187▶ Matthew 26:71 makes it after Peter had gone out into the porch and mentions a maid as speaking as does ▶4149▶ Mark 14:69, while here the “other” (*heteros*) is a man (masculine gender). It is almost impossible to co-ordinate the three denials in the four accounts unless we conceive of several joining in when one led off. This time Peter’s denial is very blunt, “I am not.”

◀229▶ **Luke 22:59** *After the space of about one hour (diastasees hoosei hooras mias).* Genitive absolute with second aorist active participle feminine singular of *diisteemi*. This classical verb in the N.T. is used only by Luke (▶4229▶ Luke 22:59; 24:51; ▶4273▶ Acts 27:28). It means standing in two or apart, about an hour intervening.

*Confidently affirmed* (*diischurizeto*). Imperfect middle, he kept affirming strongly. An old verb (*dia, ischurizomai*), to make oneself strong, to make emphatic declaration. In the N.T. only here and <sup><4425></sup>Acts 12:15.

*For he is a Galilean* (*kai gar Galilaios estin*). <sup><4167></sup>Matthew 26:73 makes it plain that it was his speech that gave him away, which see.

<sup><4221></sup>**Luke 22:60** *I know not what thou sayest* (*ouk oida ho legeis*). Each denial tangles Peter more and more.

*While he yet spake* (*eti lalountos autou*). Genitive absolute. Peter could hear the crowing all right.

<sup><4221></sup>**Luke 22:61** *The Lord turned* (*strapheis ho kurios*). Second aorist passive participle of *strephoo*, coming verb. Graphic picture drawn by Luke alone.

*Looked upon Peter* (*eneblepsen tooi Petrooi*). Ingressive aorist active indicative of *enblepo*, an old and vivid verb, to glance at.

*Remembered* (*hupemneesthee*). First aorist passive indicative of *hupomimneeskoo*, common verb to remind one of something (*hupo* giving a suggestion or hint). The cock crowing and the look brought swiftly back to Peter's mind the prophecy of Jesus and his sad denials. The mystery is how he had forgotten that warning.

<sup><4221></sup>**Luke 22:62** *And he went out and wept bitterly* (*kai exelthoon exoo eklausen pikroos*). A few old Latin documents omit this verse which is genuine in <sup><4165></sup>Matthew 26:75. It may be an insertion here from there, but the evidence for the rejection is too slight. It is the ingressive aorist (*eklausen*), he burst into tears. "Bitter" is a common expression for tears in all languages and in all hearts.

<sup><4221></sup>**Luke 22:63** *That held* (*hoi sunechontes*). See on <sup><4185></sup>Luke 8:45; 19:43 for this verb *sunechoo*. Here alone in the N.T. for holding a prisoner (holding together). The servants or soldiers, not the Sanhedrin.

*Mocked* (*enepaizon*). Imperfect active, were mocking, inchoative, began to mock, to play like boys.

*And beat him* (*derontes*). Present active participle of *deroo*, to flay, tan, or hide. Literally, "beating."

◀225▶ **Luke 22:64** *Blindfolded* (*perikalupsantes*). First aorist active participle of *perikaluptoo*, old verb, to put a veil around. In the N.T. only here and ▶4146▶ Mark 14:65. See ▶4146▶ Mark 14:65; ▶1067▶ Matthew 26:67f. for further discussion.

◀225▶ **Luke 22:65** *Many other things* (*hetera polla*). These are just samples.

◀225▶ **Luke 22:66** *As soon as it was day* (*hoos egeneto heemera*). ▶4150▶ Mark 15:1 (▶4201▶ Matthew 27:1) has “morning.”

*The assembly of the people* (*to presbuterion tou laou*). The technical word for “the eldership” (from *presbuteros*, an old man or elder) or group of the elders composing the Sanhedrin. The word occurs in the LXX for the Sanhedrin. In the N.T. occurs only here and ▶4275▶ Acts 22:5 of the Sanhedrin. In ▶5044▶ 1 Timothy 4:14 Paul uses it of the elders in a church (or churches). The Sanhedrin was composed of the elders and scribes and chief priests (▶4150▶ Mark 15:1) and all three groups are at this meeting. Luke’s language (both chief priests and scribes, *te ... kai*) seems to apply the word *presbuterion* to the whole Sanhedrin. Sadducees (chief priests) and Pharisees (scribes) were nearly equally represented.

*Into their council* (*eis to sunedrion autoon*). The place of the gathering is not given, but Jesus was led into the council chamber.

◀225▶ **Luke 22:67** *If thou art the Christ* (*Ei su ei ho Christos*). The Messiah, they mean. The condition is the first class, assuming it to be true.

*If I tell you* (*Ean humin eipoo*). Condition of the third class, undetermined, but with likelihood of being determined. This is the second appearance of Jesus before the Sanhedrin merely mentioned by ▶4150▶ Mark 15:1; ▶4201▶ Matthew 27:1 who give in detail the first appearance and trial. Luke merely gives this so-called ratification meeting after daybreak to give the appearance of legality to their vote of condemnation already taken (▶4146▶ Mark 14:64; ▶4266▶ Matthew 26:66).

*Ye will not believe* (*ou mee pisteuseete*). Double negative with the aorist subjunctive, strongest possible negative. So as to verse 68.

◀225▶ **Luke 22:69** *The Son of man* (*ho huios tou anthroopou*). Jesus really answers their demand about “the Messiah” by asserting that he is “the Son

of man” and they so understand him. He makes claims of equality with God also which they take up.

◀227▶ **Luke 22:70** *Art thou the Son of God? (Su oun ei ho huios tou theou;)*. Note how these three epithets are used as practical equivalents. They ask about “the Messiah.” Jesus affirms that he is the Son of Man and will sit at the right hand of the power of God. They take this to be a claim to be the Son of God (both humanity and deity). Jesus accepts the challenge and admits that he claims to be all three (Messiah, the Son of man, the Son of God).

*Ye say (Humeis legete)*. Just a Greek idiom for “Yes” (compare “I am” in ▶1142▶ Mark 14:62 with “Thou has said” in ▶1264▶ Matthew 26:64).

◀227▶ **Luke 22:71** *For we ourselves have heard (autoi gar eekousamen)*. They were right if Jesus is not what he claimed to be. They were eternally wrong for he is the Christ, the Son of man, the Son of God. They made their choice and must face Christ as Judge.

## CHAPTER 23

**◀221▶ Luke 23:1** *The whole company (hapan to pleethos)*. All but Nicodemus and Joseph of Arimathea who were probably not invited to this meeting.

**◀231▶ Luke 23:2** *Began to accuse (eerxanto kateegorein)*. They went at it and kept it up. Luke mentions three, but neither of them includes their real reason nor do they mention their own condemnation of Jesus. They had indulged their hatred in doing it, but they no longer have the power of life and death. Hence they say nothing to Pilate of that.

*We found (heuramen)*. Second aorist active indicative with first aorist vowel *a*. Probably they mean that they had caught Jesus in the act of doing these things (*in flagrante delicto*) rather than discovery by formal trial.

*Perverting our nation (diastrephonta to ethnos heemoon)*. Present active participle of *diastrephoo*, old verb to turn this way and that, distort, disturb. In the N.T. only here and **◀4130▶** Acts 13:10. The Sanhedrin imply that the great popularity of Jesus was seditious.

*Forbidding to give tribute to Caesar, (kooluonta phorous kaisari didonai)*. Note object infinitive *didonai* after the participle *kooluonta*. Literally, hindering giving tribute to Caesar. This was a flat untruth. Their bright young students had tried desperately to get Jesus to say this very thing, but they had failed utterly (**◀2015▶** Luke 20:25).

*Saying that he himself is Christ a king (legonta hauton Christon basilea einai)*. Note the indirect discourse here after the participle *legonta* with the accusative (*hauton* where *auton* could have been used), and the infinitive. This charge is true, but not in the sense meant by them. Jesus did claim to be the Christ and the king of the kingdom of God. But the Sanhedrin wanted Pilate to think that he set himself up as a rival to Caesar. Pilate would understand little from the word “Christ,” but “King” was a different matter. He was compelled to take notice of this charge else he himself would be accused to Caesar of winking at such a claim by Jesus.

**◀221▶ Luke 23:3** *Thou sayest (su legeis)*. A real affirmative as in **◀2271▶** Luke 22:70. The Gospels all give Pilate’s question about Jesus asking of the

Jews in precisely the same words ( <sup><415D></sup>Mark 15:2; <sup><4271></sup>Matthew 27:11; <sup><427B></sup>Luke 23:3; <sup><5183></sup>John 18:33).

<sup><4274></sup>**Luke 23:4** *The multitude* (*tous ochlous*). The first mention of them. It is now after daybreak. The procession of the Sanhedrin would draw a crowd (Plummer) and some may have come to ask for the release of a prisoner ( <sup><415B></sup>Mark 15:8). There was need of haste if the condemnation went through before friends of Jesus came.

*I find no fault* (*ouden heuriskoo aition*). In the N.T. Luke alone uses this old adjective *aitios* ( <sup><4274></sup>Luke 23:4,14,22; <sup><419D></sup>Acts 19:40) except <sup><387D></sup>Hebrews 5:9. It means one who is the author, the cause of or responsible for anything. Luke does not give the explanation of this sudden decision of Pilate that Jesus is innocent. Evidently he held a careful examination before he delivered his judgment on the case. That conversation is given in <sup><5183></sup>John 18:33-38. Pilate took Jesus inside the palace from the upper gallery ( <sup><5183></sup>John 18:33) and then came out and rendered his decision to the Sanhedrin ( <sup><5183></sup>John 18:38) who would not go into the palace of Pilate ( <sup><483></sup>John 18:28).

<sup><4275></sup>**Luke 23:5** *But they were the more urgent* (*hoi de epischuon*). Imperfect active of *epischuoo*, to give added (*epi*) strength (*ischuoo*). And they kept insisting. Evidently Pilate had taken the thing too lightly.

*He stirred up the people* (*anaseiei ton laon*). This compound is rare, though old (Thucydides), to shake up (back and forth). This is a more vigorous repetition of the first charge (verse 2, “perverting our nation”).

*Beginning from Galilee* (*arxamenos apo tees Galilaias*). These very words occur in the address of Peter to the group in the house of Cornelius ( <sup><410B></sup>Acts 10:37). The idiomatic use of *arxamenos* appears also in <sup><4102></sup>Acts 1:22. Galilee (Grote) was the mother of seditious men (see Josephus).

<sup><4276></sup>**Luke 23:6** *A Galilean* (*Galilaios*). If so, here was a way out for Herod without going back on his own decision.

<sup><4277></sup>**Luke 23:7** *When he knew* (*epignous*). Second aorist active participle from *epiginooskoo*, having gained full (*epi*, added knowledge).

*Of Herod's jurisdiction* (*ek tees exousias Heerooidou*). Herod was naturally jealous of any encroachment by Pilate, the Roman Procurator of

Judea. So here was a chance to respect the prerogative (*exousia*) of Herod and get rid of this troublesome case also.

*Sent him up (anepempsen)*. First aorist active indicative of *anapempoo*. This common verb is used of sending back as in verse 11 or of sending up to a higher court as of Paul to Caesar (<sup><427></sup>Acts 25:21).

*Who himself also was (onta kai auton)*. Being also himself in Jerusalem. Present active participle of *eimi*.

<sup><238></sup>**Luke 23:8** *Was exceeding glad (echaree lian)*. Second aorist passive indicative of *chairoo*, ingressive aorist, became glad.

*Of a long time (ex hikanoon chronoon)*. For this idiom see <sup><487></sup>Luke 8:27; 20:9; <sup><481></sup>Acts 8:11).

*He hoped (eelpizen)*. Imperfect active. He was still hoping. He had long ago gotten over his fright that Jesus was John the Baptist come to life again (<sup><407></sup>Luke 9:7-9).

*Done (ginomenon)*. Present middle participle. He wanted to see a miracle happening like a stunt of a sleight-of-hand performer.

<sup><279></sup>**Luke 23:9** *He questioned (epeeroof)*. Imperfect active, kept on questioning.

*In many words (en logois hikanois)*. Same use of *hikanos* as in verse 8.

<sup><230></sup>**Luke 23:10** *Stood (histeekesan)*. Second perfect active intransitive of *histeemi* with sense of imperfect. They stood by while Herod quizzed Jesus and when he refused to answer, they broke loose with their accusations like a pack of hounds with full voice (*eutonoos*, adverb from adjective *eutonos*, from *eu*, well, and *teinoo*, to stretch, well tuned). Old word, but in the N.T. only here and <sup><488></sup>Acts 18:28.

<sup><231></sup>**Luke 23:11** *Set him at nought (exoutheneesas)*. First aorist active participle from *exoutheneoo*, to count as nothing, to treat with utter contempt, as zero.

*Arraying him in gorgeous apparel (peribaloon estheeta lampran)*. Second aorist active participle of *peribaloo*, to fling around one. *Lampran* is brilliant, shining as in <sup><302></sup>James 2:2, so different from the modest dress of the Master. This was part of the shame.



◀2312▶ **Luke 23:12** *For before they were at enmity between themselves* (*proupeerchon gar en echthrfi ontes pros heautous*). A periphrastic imperfect of the double compound *prouperchoo*, an old verb, to exist (*huparchoo*) previously (*pro-*), here alone in the N.T., with *ontes* (participle of *eimi*) added.

◀2313▶ **Luke 23:13** *Called together* (*sunkalesamenos*). First aorist middle participle (to himself). Pilate included “the people” in the hope that Jesus might have some friends among them.

◀2314▶ **Luke 23:14** *As one that perverteth the people* (*hoos apostrephonta ton laon*). Pilate here condenses the three charges in verse 2 into one (Plummer). He uses a more common compound of *strophoo* here, *apostrophoo*, to turn away from, to seduce, to mislead, whereas *diastrophoo* in verse 2 has more the notion of disturbing (turning this way and that). Note the use of *hoos* with the particle, the alleged reason. Pilate understands the charge against Jesus to be that he is a revolutionary agitator and a dangerous rival to Caesar, treason in plain words.

*Having examined him before you* (*enoopion humoon anakrinias*). Right before your eyes I have given him a careful examination (*ana*) up and down, *krinoo*, to judge, sift. Old and common verb in the general sense and in the forensic sense as here and which Luke alone has in the N.T. (◀2314▶ Luke 23:14; 4:9; 12:19; 28:18; ▶4218▶ Acts 24:8) except ▶4111B▶ 1 Corinthians 9:3.

*Whereof* (*hoon*). Attraction of the relative *ha* to the case (genitive) of the unexpressed antecedent *toutoon*.

◀2315▶ **Luke 23:15** *No nor yet* (*all' oude*). But not even.

*Hath been done by him* (*estin pepragmenon autooi*). Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of *prassoo*, common verb, to do. The case of *autooi* can be regarded as either the dative or the instrumental (Robertson, *Grammar*, pp. 534,542).

◀2316▶ **Luke 23:16** *Chastise* (*paideusas*). First aorist active participle of *paideuoo*, to train a child (*pais*), and then, as a part of the training, punishment. Our English word chasten is from the Latin *castus*, pure, chaste, and means to purify (cf. ▶581216▶ Hebrews 12:6f.). Perhaps Pilate may have split a hair over the word as Wycliff puts it: “I shall deliver him

amended.” But, if Jesus was innocent, Pilate had no doubt to “chastise” him to satisfy a mob. Verse 17 is omitted by Westcott and Hort as from <sup><41516></sup>Mark 15:6; <sup><42715></sup>Matthew 27:15.

<sup><42318></sup>**Luke 23:18** *All together* (*panpleethei*). An adverb from the adjective *panpleethees*, all together. Used by Dio Cassius. Only here in the N.T.

*Away* (*aire*). Present active imperative, Take him on away and keep him away as in <sup><42135></sup>Acts 21:36; 22:22, of Paul. But

*release* (*apoluson*) is first aorist active imperative, do it now and at once.

<sup><42319></sup>**Luke 23:19** *Insurrection* (*stasin*). An old word for sedition, standing off, the very charge made against Jesus (and untrue). If Jesus had raised insurrection against Caesar, these accusers would have rallied to his standard.

*And for murder* (*kai phonon*). They cared nought for this. In fact, the murderer was counted a hero like bandits and gangsters today with some sentimentalists.

*Was cast* (*een bleetheis*). Periphrastic aorist passive indicative of *balloo*, a quite unusual form.

<sup><42321></sup>**Luke 23:21** *But they shouted* (*hoi de epephoonoun*). Imperfect active of *epiphoooneo*, to call to. Old verb and a verb pertinent here. They kept on yelling.

*Crucify, crucify* (*staurou, staurou*). Present active imperative. Go on with the crucifixion. <sup><41513></sup>Mark 15:13 has *staurooson* (first aorist active imperative), do it now and be done with it. No doubt some shouted one form, some another.

<sup><42322></sup>**Luke 23:22** *Why, what evil?* (*Ti gar kakon;*). Note this use of *gar* (explanatory and argumentative combined).

<sup><42323></sup>**Luke 23:23** *But they were instant* (*hoi de epekeinto*). Imperfect middle of *epikeimai*, an old verb for the rush and swirl of a tempest.

*With loud voices* (*phoonais megalais*). Instrumental case. Poor Pilate was overwhelmed by this tornado.

*Prevailed* (*katischuon*). Imperfect active of *katischuoo* (see <0168> Matthew 16:18; <0216> Luke 21:36). The tempest Pilate had invited (<0213> Luke 23:13).

<0224> **Luke 23:24** *Gave sentence* (*epekrinen*). Pronounced the final sentence. The usual verb for the final decision. Only here in the N.T.

<0225> **Luke 23:25** *Whom they asked for* (*hon eeitounto*). Imperfect middle, for whom they had been asking for themselves. Luke repeats that Barabbas was in prison “for insurrection and murder.”

*To their will* (*tooi theleemati autoon*). This is mob law by the judge who surrenders his own power and justice to the clamour of the crowd.

<0226> **Luke 23:26** *They laid hold* (*epilabomenoi*). Second aorist middle participle of the common verb *epilambanoo*. The soldiers had no scruples about taking hold of any one of themselves (middle voice). <0152> Mark 15:21; Luke 27:32 use the technical word for this process *aggareuoo*, which see for discussion and also about Cyrene.

*Laid on him* (*epetheekan*). *K* first aorist of *epititheemi*.

*To bear it* (*pherein*). Present infinitive, to go on bearing.

<0227> **Luke 23:27** *Followed* (*eekolouthi*). Imperfect active, was following. Verses 27-32 are peculiar to Luke.

*Bewailed* (*ekoptonto*). Imperfect middle of *koptoo*, to cut, smite, old and common verb. Direct middle, they were smiting themselves on the breast. “In the Gospels there is no instance of a woman being hostile to Christ” (Plummer). Luke’s Gospel is appropriately called the Gospel of Womanhood (<0113> Luke 1:39-56; 2:36-38; 7:11-15, 37-50; <0101> Luke 8:1-3; 10:38-42; 11:27; <0131> Luke 13:11-16).

*Lamented* (*ethreenoun*). Imperfect active of *threeneoo*, old verb from *threomai*, to cry aloud, lament.

<0228> **Luke 23:28** *Turning* (*strapheis*). Luke is fond of this second aorist passive participle of *strephoo* (<0109> Luke 7:9,44,55; 10:23). If he had been still carrying the Cross, he could not have made this dramatic gesture.

*Weep not* (*mee klaiete*). Present active imperative with *mee*, Stop weeping.

◀2739▶ **Luke 23:29** *Blessed (makariai)*. A beatitude to the barren, the opposite of the hopes of Jewish mothers. Childless women are commiserated (◀4025▶ Luke 1:25,36).

*To the hills (tois bounois)*. A Cyrenaic word. In the N.T. only here and 3:5. Quotation from ◀28108▶ Hosea 10:8.

◀42781▶ **Luke 23:31** *In the green tree (en hugrooi xulooi)*. Green wood is hard to burn and so is used for the innocent.

*In the dry (en tooi xeerooi)*. Dry wood kindles easily and is a symbol for the guilty. This common proverb has various applications. Here the point is that if they can put Jesus to death, being who he is, what will happen to Jerusalem when its day of judgment comes?

*What shall be done (ti geneetai)*. Deliberative subjunctive.

◀42782▶ **Luke 23:32** *Were led (gonto)*. Imperfect passive of *agoo*, were being led.

*Malefactors (kakourgoi)*. Evil (*kakon*), doers (work, *ergon*). Old word, but in the N.T. only in this passage (32,33,39) and ◀3119▶ 2 Timothy 2:9. Luke does not call them “robbers” like ◀4157▶ Mark 15:27; ◀41738▶ Matthew 27:38,44.

*To be put to death (anairetheenai)*. First aorist passive infinitive of *anairoo*, old verb, to take up, to take away, to kill.

◀42783▶ **Luke 23:33** *The skull (to kranion)*. Probably because it looked like a skull. See on ◀41733▶ Matthew 27:33; ◀41572▶ Mark 15:22.

*There they crucified him (ekei estauroosan)*. There between the two robbers and on the very cross on which Barabbas, the leader of the robber band, was to have been crucified.

*One (hon men), the other (hon de)*. Common idiom of contrast with this old demonstrative *hos* and *men* and *de*.

◀42784▶ **Luke 23:34** *Father forgive them (Pater, aphas autois)*. Second aorist active imperative of *aphieemi*, with dative case. Some of the oldest and best documents do not contain this verse, and yet, while it is not certain that it is a part of Luke’s Gospel, it is certain that Jesus spoke these words, for they are utterly unlike any one else. Jesus evidently is praying for the Roman soldiers, who were only obeying, but not for the Sanhedrin.

*Cast lots (ebalon kleeron)*. Second aorist active indicative of *balloo*. See <sup><4154></sup>Mark 15:24; <sup><4275></sup>Matthew 27:35. <sup><6123></sup>John 19:23f. shows how the lot was cast for the seamless garment, the four soldiers dividing the other garments.

<sup><235></sup>**Luke 23:35** *The people stood beholding (histeeki)*. Past perfect active of *histeemi*, intransitive and like imperfect. A graphic picture of the dazed multitude, some of whom may have been in the Triumphal Entry on Sunday morning.

*Scoffed (exemukteerizon)*. Imperfect active, perhaps inchoative, began to turn up (out, *ex*) at the dying Christ. The language comes from <sup><3211></sup>Psalms 22:7.

*The Christ of God (ho Christos tou theou)*. He had claimed to be just this (<sup><227></sup>Luke 22:67,70). The sarcastic sneer (he saved others; let him save others, for himself he cannot save) is in <sup><4151></sup>Mark 15:31; <sup><4242></sup>Matthew 27:42. Luke alone gives the contemptuous use of *houtos* (this fellow) and the fling in “the elect” (*ho eklektos*). These rulers were having their day at last.

<sup><236></sup>**Luke 23:36** *Mocked (enepaixan)*. Even the soldiers yielded to the spell and acted like boys in their jeers. Aorist tense here and different verb also from that used of the rulers. They were not so bitter and persistent.

<sup><237></sup>**Luke 23:37** *If (ei)*. Condition of the first class as is text in verse 35 used by the rulers. The soldiers pick out “the king of the Jews” as the point of their sneer, the point on which Jesus was condemned. But both soldiers and rulers fail to understand that Jesus could not save himself if he was to save others.

<sup><238></sup>**Luke 23:38** *A superscription (epigraphē)*. <sup><4156></sup>Mark 15:26 has “the superscription of his accusation” <sup><4275></sup>Matthew 27:37, “his accusation,” <sup><3199></sup>John 19:19 “a title.” But they all refer to the charge written at the top on the cross giving, as was the custom, the accusation on which the criminal was condemned, with his name and residence. Put all the reports together and we have: This is Jesus of Nazareth the King of the Jews. This full title appeared in Latin for law, in Aramaic for the Jews, in Greek for everybody (<sup><3121></sup>John 19:20).

◀239▶ **Luke 23:39** *Railed (eblaspheemei)*. Imperfect active, implying that he kept it up. His question formally calls for an affirmative answer (*ouchi*), but the ridicule is in his own answer: “Save thyself and us.” It was on a level with an effort to break prison. Luke alone gives this incident (39-43), though ▶4153▶ Mark 15:32; ▶4274▶ Matthew 27:44 allude to it.

◀234▶ **Luke 23:40** *Rebuking (epitimoon)*. From what Mark and Matthew say both robbers sneered at Jesus at first, but this one came to himself and turned on his fellow robber in a rage.

*Dost thou not even fear God? (Oude phobeei ton theon;)*. *Oude* here goes with the verb. *Phobeei* (second person singular present indicative middle of *phobeomai*). Both of you will soon appear before God. Jesus has nothing to answer for and you have added this to your other sins.

◀234▶ **Luke 23:41** *Nothing amiss (ouden atopon)*. Nothing out of place (*a* privative, *topos*, place). Old word, three times in the N.T. (◀234▶ Luke 23:44; ▶4216▶ Acts 28:6; ▶5102▶ 2 Thessalonians 3:2). This can only mean that this robber accepts the claims of Jesus to be true. He is dying for claiming to be Messiah, as he is.

◀232▶ **Luke 23:42** *In thy kingdom (eis teen basileian sou, text of Westcott and Hort or en tei basileifi sou, margin)*. Probably no difference in sense is to be found, for *eis* and *en* are essentially the same preposition. He refers to the Messianic rule of Jesus and begs that Jesus will remember him. It is not clear whether he hopes for immediate blessing or only at the judgment.

◀238▶ **Luke 23:43** *Today shalt thou be with me in Paradise (Seemeron met' emou eseei en tooi paradeisooi)*. However crude may have been the robber's Messianic ideas Jesus clears the path for him. He promises him immediate and conscious fellowship after death with Christ in Paradise which is a Persian word and is used here not for any supposed intermediate state; but the very bliss of heaven itself. This Persian word was used for an enclosed park or pleasure ground (so Xenophon). The word occurs in two other passages in the N.T. (▶4114▶ 2 Corinthians 12:4; ▶4117▶ Revelation 2:7), in both of which the reference is plainly to heaven. Some Jews did use the word for the abode of the pious dead till the resurrection, interpreting “Abraham's bosom” (▶4262▶ Luke 16:22f.) in this sense also. But the evidence for such an intermediate state is too weak to warrant belief in it.

**<0236> Luke 23:45** *The sun's light failing* (*tou heeliou ekleipontos*).

Genitive absolute of the present active participle of *ekleipoo*, an old verb, to leave out, omit, pass by, to fail, to die. The word was used also of the eclipse of the sun or moon. But this was impossible at this time because the moon was full at the passover. Hence many documents change this correct text to “the sun was darkened” (*eskotisthee ho heelios*) to obviate the difficulty about the technical eclipse. But the sun can be darkened in other ways. In a London fog at noon the street lights are often turned on. The Revised Version translates it correctly, “the sun’s light failing.” Leave the darkness unexplained.

*In the midst* (*meson*). In the middle. **<4153>** Mark 15:38; **<4251>** Matthew 27:51 have “in two” (*eis duo*).

**<0236> Luke 23:46** *Father* (*Pater*). Jesus dies with the words of **<3815>** Psalm 31:5 on his lips.

*Gave up the ghost* (*exepneusen*). First aorist active indicative of *ekpneoo*, to breathe out, to expire, old word, but in the N.T. only here and **<4157>** Mark 15:37,39. There is no special reason for retaining “ghost” in the English as both **<4251>** Matthew 27:50 (yielded up his spirit, *apheeken to pneuma*) and **<3815>** John 19:30 (gave up his spirit, *paredooken to pneuma*) use *pneuma* which is the root of *ekpneoo*, the verb in Mark and Luke.

**<0237> Luke 23:47** *Glorified* (*edoxazen*). Imperfect active. Began to glorify (inchoative) or kept on glorifying.

**<0238> Luke 23:48** *Certainly* (*ontoos*). Really, old adverb from the participle *on* from *emi*, to be. Used also in **<0234>** Luke 24:34 of the resurrection of Jesus.

*A righteous man* (*dikaios*). **<4159>** Mark 15:39 (**<4254>** Matthew 27:54) which see, represents the centurion as saying *theou huios* (God’s Son) which may mean to him little more than “righteous man.”

*That came together* (*sunparagenomenoi*). Double compound (*sun*, together, *para*, along), that came along together.

*To this sight* (*epi teen theoorian tauteen*). This spectacle (*theoorian* from *theooreoo*, verse 35).

*Returned (hupestrephon)*. Imperfect active of *hupostrephoo*. See them slowly wending their way back to the city from this Tragedy of the Ages which they had witnessed in awe.

◀234▶ **Luke 23:49** *Stood afar off (histeekisan apo makrothen)*. Same verb as in verse 35. Melancholy picture of the inner circle of the acquaintances of Jesus and the faithful band of women from Galilee.

*Seeing these things (horoosai tauta)*. And helpless either to prevent them or to understand them. They could only stand and look with blinded eyes.

◀235▶ **Luke 23:51** *He had not consented to their counsel and deed (houtos ouk een sunkatatetheimenos teei bouleei kai teei praxei autoon)*. This parenthesis is given by Luke alone and explains that, though a councillor (*bouleutees*, ▶4153▶ Mark 5:43) he had not agreed to the vote of the Sanhedrin. It is fairly certain that both Joseph and Nicodemus were suspected of sympathy with Jesus and so were not invited to the trial of Jesus.

*Was looking for (prosedecheto)*. Imperfect middle. ▶4153▶ Mark 15:43 has the periphrastic imperfect (*een prosdechomenos*).

◀236▶ **Luke 23:52** *Asked for (eeiteesato)*. First aorist middle (indirect) indicative as in ▶4153▶ Mark 15:43; ▶4178▶ Matthew 27:58. The middle voice shows that Joseph of Arimathea asked the body of Jesus as a personal favour.

◀237▶ **Luke 23:53** *Took it down (katheloon)*. Second aorist active participle of *kathaireoo* as in ▶4154▶ Mark 15:46.

*Wrapped (enetulixen)*, as in ▶4179▶ Matthew 27:59 where ▶4156▶ Mark 15:46 has *eneileesen* (wound), which see. ▶4190▶ John 19:40 has “bound” (*edeesan*). See Matt. and Mark also for the linen cloth (*sindoni*).

*Hewn in stone (laxeutooi)*. From *laxeuo* (*las*, a stone, *xeoo*, to polish). In the LXX and here only in the N.T. Nowhere else so far as known. See the usual Greek verb *latomeoo* in ▶4156▶ Mark 15:46; ▶4170▶ Matthew 27:60.

*Where never man had yet lain (hou ouk en oudeis oupoo keimenos)*. Triple negative and periphrastic past perfect passive in sense (*keimai*), though periphrastic imperfect passive in form. Same item in ▶4190▶ John 19:40 who uses *een tetheimenos* (periphrastic past perfect passive in form).



◀2254▶ **Luke 23:54** *The day of the Preparation* (*heemera paraskeuees*). The technical Jewish phrase for the day before the sabbath for which see discussion on ▶1773▶ Matthew 27:62.

*Drew on* (*epephoosken*). Imperfect active, began to dawn or give light. However, it was sundown, not sunrise when the Jewish sabbath (twenty-four-hour day) began. The confusion is to us, not to the Jews or the readers of the Greek New Testament. Luke is not speaking of the twelve-hour day which began with sunrise, but the twenty-four-hour day which began with sunset.

◀4235▶ **Luke 23:55** *Had come with him* (*eesan suneleeluthuiai*). Periphrastic past perfect active of *sunerchomai*.

*Followed after* (*katakoulouthesasai*). Aorist active participle of *katakouloutheo*, an old verb, but in the N.T. only here and ▶4467▶ Acts 16:17. It is possible that they followed after Joseph and Nicodemus so that they “beheld the tomb,” (*etheasanto to mneemeion*), and also “how his body was laid” (*hoos etethee to sooma autou*). First aorist passive indicative of *titheemi*. They may in fact, have witnessed the silent burial from a distance. The Syriac Sinaitic and the Syriac Curetonian give it thus: “and the women, who came with Him from Galilee went to the sepulchre in their footsteps, and saw the body when they had brought it in there.” At any rate the women saw “that” and “how” the body of Jesus was laid in this new tomb of Joseph in the rocks.

◀4236▶ **Luke 23:56** *On the sabbath they rested* (*to sabbaton heesuchasan*). They returned and prepared spices before the sabbath began. Then they rested all during the sabbath (accusative of extent of time, *to sabbaton*).

## CHAPTER 24

◀241▶ **Luke 24:1** *At early dawn (orthrou batheos)*. Genitive of time. Literally, at deep dawn. The adjective *bathus* (deep) was often used of time. This very idiom occurs in Aristophanes, Plato, et cetera. ▶430▶ John 20:1 adds “while it was yet dark.” That is, when they started, for the sun was risen when they arrived (▶416▶ Mark 16:2).

*Which they had prepared (ha heetoimasan)*. ▶416▶ Mark 16:1 notes that they bought other spices after the sabbath was over besides those which they already had (▶236▶ Luke 23:56).

◀242▶ **Luke 24:2** *Rolled away (apokekulismenon)*. Perfect passive participle of *apokulioo*, late verb and in the N.T. only in this context (▶416▶ Mark 16:3; ▶18▶ Matthew 28:2) while ▶430▶ John 20:1 has *eremenon* (taken away).

◀243▶ **Luke 24:3** *Of the Lord Jesus (tou kuriou Ieesou)*. The Western family of documents does not have these words and Westcott and Hort bracket them as Western non-interpolations. There are numerous instances of this shorter Western text in this chapter. For a discussion of the subject see my *Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the New Testament*, pp. 225-237. This precise combination (the Lord Jesus) is common in the Acts, but nowhere else in the Gospels.

◀244▶ **Luke 24:4** *While they were perplexed thereabout (en tooi aporeisthai autas peri toutou)*. Luke’s common Hebraistic idiom, *en* with the articular infinitive (present passive *aporeisthai* from *aporeoo*, to lose one’s way) and the accusative of general reference.

*Two men (andres duo)*. Men, not women. ▶416▶ Mark 16:5 speaks of a young man (*neaniskon*) while ▶436▶ Matthew 28:5 has “an angel.” We need not try to reconcile these varying accounts which agree in the main thing. The angel looked like a man and some remembered two. In verse 23 Cleopas and his companion call them “angels.”

*Stood by (epesteesan)*. Second aorist active indicative of *ephisteemi*. This common verb usually means to step up suddenly, to burst upon one.

*In dazzling apparel (en estheeti astraptouseei)*. This is the correct text. This common simplex verb occurs only twice in the N.T., here and

<21724> Luke 17:24 (the Transfiguration). It has the same root as *astrapee* (lightning). The “men” had the garments of “angels.”

<2215> **Luke 24:5** *As they were affrighted* (*emphoboon genomenoon autoon*). Genitive absolute with second aorist middle of *ginomai*, to become. Hence,

*when they became affrighted*. They had utterly forgotten the prediction of Jesus that he would rise on the third day.

<2216> **Luke 24:6** *He is not here, but is risen* (*ouk estin hoode, alla eegerthee*). Another Western non-interpolation according to Westcott and Hort. The words are genuine at any rate in <41616> Mark 16:6; <41807> Matthew 28:7.

*The third day rise again* (*teei triteei heemerfi anasteenai*). See <4192> Luke 9:22; 18:32,33 where Jesus plainly foretold this fact. And yet they had forgotten it, for it ran counter to all their ideas and hopes.

<2219> **Luke 24:9** *From the tomb* (*apo tou mneemeiou*). Some documents omit these words. This word for tomb is like our “memorial” from *mimneeskoo*, to remind.

*Told* (*apeggeilan*). It was a wonderful proclamation. Luke does not separate the story of Mary Magdalene from that of the other women as John does (<4310> John 20:2-18).

<22111> **Luke 24:11** *As idle talk* (*hoos leeros*). Old word for nonsense, only here in the N.T. Medical writers used it for the wild talk of those in delirium or hysteria.

*Disbelieved* ( $\hat{\pi}$  pistoun). Imperfect active of *apisteeo*, old verb from *apistos*, without confidence or faith in. They kept on distrusting the story of the women.

<22112> **Luke 24:12** This entire verse is a Western non-interpolation. This incident is given in complete form in <4312> John 18:2-10 and most of the words in this verse are there also. It is of a piece with many items in this chapter about which it is not easy to reach a final conclusion.

*Stooping and looking in (parakupsas)*. First aorist active participle of *parakuptoo*, to stoop besides and peer into. Old verb used also in <sup><4316></sup>John 20:5,11; <sup><3025></sup>James 1:25; <sup><4012></sup>1 Peter 1:12.

*By themselves (mona)*. Without the body.

*To his home (pros hauton)*. Literally, “to himself.”

<sup><2413></sup>**Luke 24:13** *Were going (eesan poreuomenoi)*. Periphrastic imperfect middle of *poreuomai*.

*Sixty stadia (stadious hexeekonta)*. About seven miles.

<sup><2414></sup>**Luke 24:14** *They communed (hoomiloun)*. Imperfect active of *homileo*, old and common verb (from *homilos*, in company with). In the N.T. only here (and verse 15) and <sup><4011></sup>Acts 20:11; 24:26. Our word homiletics is derived from this word for preaching was at first largely conversational in style and not declamatory.

<sup><2415></sup>**Luke 24:15** *While they communed and questioned together (en tooi homilein autous kai sunzeetein)*. Same idiom as in verse 14, which see. Note *sunzeetein*; each questioned the other.

*Jesus himself (autos Iesus)*. In actual person.

*Went with them (suneporeueto autois)*. Imperfect middle, was going along with them.

<sup><2416></sup>**Luke 24:16** *Were holden that they should not know him (ekratounto tou mee epignoonai auton)*. Imperfect passive of *krateo*, continued being held, with the ablative case of the articular infinitive, “from recognizing him,” from knowing him fully (*epi-gnoonai*, ingressive aorist of *epiginoosko*). The *mee* is a redundant negative after the negative idea in *ekratounto*.

<sup><2417></sup>**Luke 24:17** *That you have with another (hous antiballete pros alleelous)*. *Anti-balloo* is an old verb and means to throw in turn, back and forth like a ball, from one to another, a beautiful picture of conversation as a game of words. Only here in the N.T.

*They stood still (estatheesan)*. First aorist passive of *histeemi*, intransitive. They stopped.

*Looking sad* (*skuthroopoi*). This is the correct text. It is an old adjective from *skuthros*, gloomy and *ops*, countenance. Only here in the N.T.

◀218▶ **Luke 24:18** *Dost thou alone sojourn?* (*su monos paroikeis*);. *Monos* is predicate adjective. “Hast thou been dwelling alone (all by thyself)?”

*And not know?* (*kai ouk egnoos*);. Second aorist active indicative and difficult to put into English as the aorist often is. The verb *paroikeoo* means to dwell beside one, then as a stranger like *paroikoi* (◀419▶ Ephesians 2:19). In Jerusalem everybody was talking about Jesus.

◀221▶ **Luke 24:21** *But we hoped* (*heemeis de eelpizomen*). Imperfect active, we were hoping. Note emphasis in *heemeis* (we).

*Redeem* (*lutrousthai*). From the bondage of Rome, no doubt.

*Yea and beside all this* (*alla ge kai sun pfsin toutois*). Particles pile up to express their emotions.

*Yea* (*alla* here affirmative, as in verse 22, not adversative) at least (*ge*) also (*kai*) together with all these things (*sun pfsin toutois*). Like Pelion on Ossa with them in their perplexity.

*Now the third day* (*triteen tauteen heemeran agei*). A difficult idiom for the English. “One is keeping this a third day.” And he is still dead and we are still without hope.

◀222▶ **Luke 24:22** *Amazed us* (*exesteesan heemas*). First aorist active (transitive) indicative with accusative *heemas* of *existeemi*. The second aorist active is intransitive.

*Early* (*orthrinai*). A poetic and late form for *orthrios*. In the N.T. only here and Revelation 24:22. Predicate adjective agreeing with the women.

◀223▶ **Luke 24:23** *Had seen* (*heorakenai*). Perfect active infinitive in indirect assertion after *legousai*. Same construction for *zein* after *legousin*. But all this was too indirect and uncertain (women and angels) for Cleopas and his companion.

◀225▶ **Luke 24:25** *Foolish men* (*anoetoi*). Literally without sense (*nous*), not understanding. Common word.

*Slow of heart* (*bradeis teei kardifi*). Slow in heart (locative case). Old word for one dull, slow to comprehend or to act.

*All that* (*pfsin hois*). Relative attracted from the accusative *ha* to the case of the antecedent *pfsin* (dative). They could only understand part of the prophecies, not all.

◀226▶ **Luke 24:26** *Behooved it not?* (*ouchi edei;*). Was it not necessary? The very things about the death of Jesus that disturbed them so were the strongest proof that he was the Messiah of the Old Testament.

◀227▶ **Luke 24:27** *Interpreted* (*dieermeeneusen*). First aorist active (constative aorist) indicative of *diermeeneuoo* (Margin has the imperfect *dieermeeneuen*), intensive compound (*dia*) of *hermeeneuoo*, the old verb to interpret from *hermeeneus*, interpreter, and that from *Hermees*, the messenger of the gods as the people of Lystra took Paul to be (◀4142▶ Acts 14:12). But what wonderful exegesis the two disciples were now hearing!

*Concerning himself* (*peri heauton*). Jesus found himself in the Old Testament, a thing that some modern scholars do not seem able to do.

◀228▶ **Luke 24:28** *Made as though* (*prosepoieesato*). First aorist active middle (Some MSS. have *prosepoieito* imperfect) indicative of *prospoieoo*, old verb to conform oneself to, to pretend. Only here in the N.T. Of course he would have gone on if the disciples had not urged him to stay.

◀229▶ **Luke 24:29** *Constrained* (*parebiasanto*). Strong verb *parabiazomai*, to compel by use of force (Polybius and LXX). In the N.T. only here and ◀4165▶ Acts 16:15. It was here compulsion of courteous words.

*Is far spent* (*kekliken*). Perfect active indicative of *klinoo*. The day “has turned” toward setting.

◀230▶ **Luke 24:30** *When he had sat down* (*en tooi kataklitheenai auton*). Luke’s common idiom as in verses 4,15. Note first aorist passive infinitive (on the reclining as to him).

*Gave* (*epedidou*). Imperfect, inchoative idea, began to give to them, in contrast with the preceding aorist (punctiliar) participles.

◀231▶ **Luke 24:31** *Were opened* (*dieenoichtheesan*). Ingressive first aorist passive indicative of *dianoigoo*.

*Knew* (*epegnoosan*). Effective first aorist active indicative fully recognized him. Same word in verse 16.

*Vanished* (*aphantos egeneto*). Became invisible or unmanifested. *Aphantos* from *a* privative and *phainomai*, to appear. Old word, only here in the N.T.

◀226▶ **Luke 24:32** *Was not our heart burning?* (*Ouchi hee kardia hemoon kaiomenee een*); Periphrastic imperfect middle.

*Spake* (*elalei*). Imperfect active, was speaking. This common verb *laleoo* is onomatopoeic, to utter a sound, *la-la* and was used of birds, children chattering, and then for conversation, for preaching, for any public speech.

*Opened* (*dieenoigen*). Imperfect active indicative of the same verb used of the eyes in verse 31.

◀226▶ **Luke 24:33** *That very hour* (*auteei teei hoorfi*). Locative case and common Lukan idiom, at the hour itself. They could not wait.

*Gathered* (*ethroismenous*). Perfect passive participle of *athroizoo*, old verb from *athroos* (copulative *a* and *throos*, crowd). Only here in the N.T.

◀226▶ **Luke 24:34** *Saying* (*legontas*). Accusative present active participle agreeing with “the eleven and those with them” in verse 33.

*Indeed* (*ontoos*). Really, because “he has appeared to Simon” (*oopthee Simooni*). First aorist passive indicative of *horaoo*. This is the crucial evidence that turned the scales with the disciples and explains “indeed.” Paul also mentions it (◀435▶ 1 Corinthians 15:5).

◀226▶ **Luke 24:35** *Rehearsed* (*exeegounto*). Imperfect middle indicative of *exegeomai*, verb to lead out, to rehearse. Our word exegesis comes from this verb. Their story was now confirmatory, not revolutionary. The women were right then after all.

*Of them* (*autois*). To them, dative case. They did not recognize Jesus in his exegesis, but did in the breaking of bread. One is reminded of that saying in the *Logia of Jesus*: “Raise the stone and there thou shalt find me, cleave the wood and there am I.”

◀226▶ **Luke 24:36** *He himself stood* (*autos estee*). He himself stepped and stood. Some documents do not have “Peace be unto you.”

◀2257▶ **Luke 24:37** *Terrified* (*ptoethentes*). First aorist passive participle of *ptoeoo*, old verb and in the N.T. only here and ▶2219▶ Luke 21:9 which see.

*Affrighted* (*emphoboi genomenoi*). Late adjective from *en* and *phobos* (fear). Both these terms of fear are strong.

*Supposed* (*edokoun*). Imperfect active of *dokeoo*, kept on thinking so.

◀2258▶ **Luke 24:38** *Why are ye troubled?* (*ti tetaragmenoi este;*).

Periphrastic perfect passive indicative of *tarassoo*, old verb, to agitate, to stir up, to get excited.

◀2259▶ **Luke 24:39** *Myself* (*autos*). Jesus is patient with his proof. They were convinced before he came into the room, but that psychological shock had unnerved them all.

*Handle* (*pseelapheesate*). This very word is used in ▶3100▶ 1 John 1:1 as proof of the actual human body of Jesus. It is an old verb for touching with the hand.

*Flesh and bones* (*sarka kai ostea*). At least this proves that he is not just a ghost and that Jesus had a real human body against the Docetic Gnostics who denied it. But clearly we are not to understand that our resurrection bodies will have “flesh and bones.” Jesus was in a transition state and had not yet been glorified. The mystery remains unsolved, but it was proof to the disciples of the identity of the Risen Christ with Jesus of Nazareth.

◀2240▶ **Luke 24:40** Another Western non-interpolation according to Westcott and Hort. It is genuine in ▶3311▶ John 20:20.

◀2241▶ **Luke 24:41** *Disbelieved for joy* (*apistountoon autoon apo tees charas*). Genitive absolute and a quite understandable attitude. They were slowly reconvinced, but it was after all too good to be true.

*Anything to eat* (*broosimon*). Only here in the N.T., though an old word from *bibrooskoo*, to eat.

◀2242▶ **Luke 24:42** *A piece of broiled fish* (*ichthuos optou meros*). *Optos* is a verbal from *optaoo*, to cook, to roast, to broil. Common word, but only here in the N.T. The best old documents omit “and a honeycomb” (*kai apo melissiou keeriu*).

◀2244▶ **Luke 24:44** *While I was yet with you* (*eti oon sun humin*). Literally,



*Being yet with you.* The participle *oon* takes the time of the principal verb.

<245> **Luke 24:45** *Opened he their mind* (*dieenoixen autoon ton noun*).

The same verb as that in verses 31,32 about the eyes and the Scriptures. Jesus had all these years been trying to open their minds that they might understand the Scriptures about the Messiah and now at last he makes one more effort in the light of the Cross and the Resurrection. They can now see better the will and way of God, but they will still need the power of the Holy Spirit before they will fully know the mind of Christ.

<246> **Luke 24:46** *It is written* (*gegraptai*). Perfect passive indicative of *graphoo*, to write, the usual phrase for quoting Scripture. Jesus now finds in the Old Testament his suffering, his resurrection, and the preaching of repentance and forgiveness of sins to all nations. Note the infinitives *pathein*, *anasteenai*, *keeruchtheenai*.

<247> **Luke 24:47** *Beginning* (*arxamenoi*). Aorist middle participle of *archoo*, but the nominative plural with no syntactical connection (an anacoluthon).

<249> **Luke 24:49** *Until ye be clothed* (*heoos hou enduseesthe*). First aorist middle subjunctive of *enduo* or *endunoo*. It is an old verb for putting on a garment. It is here the indirect middle, put on yourselves power from on high as a garment. They are to wait till this experience comes to them. This is “the promise of the Father.” It is an old metaphor in Homer, Aristophanes, Plutarch, and Paul uses it often.

<250> **Luke 24:50** *Over against Bethany* (*heoos pros Beethanian*). That is on Olivet. On this blessed spot near where he had delivered the great Eschatological Discourse he could see Bethany and Jerusalem.

<251> **Luke 24:51** *He parted from them* (*diestee ap' autoon*). Second aorist active (intransitive) indicative of *diisteemi*. He stood apart (*dia*) and he was gone. Some manuscripts do not have the words “and was carried into heaven.” But we know that Jesus was taken up into heaven on a cloud (<400> Acts 1:9).

<252> **Luke 24:52** *Worshipped him* (*proskuneesantes auton*). Here again we have one of Westcott and Hort’s Western non-interpolations that may be genuine or not.

*With great joy (meta charas megalees)*. Now that the Ascension has come they are no longer in despair. Joy becomes the note of victory as it is today. No other note can win victories for Christ. The bells rang in heaven to greet the return of Jesus there, but he set the carillon of joy to ringing on earth in human hearts in all lands and for all time.